

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007**

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934**

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 0-50363

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

02-0681276

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200
MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102**

(Address of principal executive office)

(703) 287-5800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share
7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share
7.50% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share

NASDAQ Global Market
NASDAQ Global Market
NASDAQ Global Market

(Title of Each Class)

(Name of exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the Act. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No .

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2007, based on the closing price on that date of \$19.60 on the Nasdaq National Market, was \$156,540,552. For the purposes of calculating this amount only, all directors and executive officers of the registrant have been treated as affiliates.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of February 22, 2008 was 8,565,264.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to the Registrant's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
PART I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	18
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	28
Item 2. Properties	29
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	31
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	31
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	32
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	33
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	36
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	50
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	51
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	85
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	85
Item 9B. Other Information	85
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	86
Item 11. Executive Compensation	86
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	86
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	86
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	86
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	87
SIGNATURES	

Forward-Looking Statements

All statements contained herein, other than historical facts, may constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”), as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). These statements may relate to, among other things, future events or our future performance or financial condition. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “might,” “believe,” “will,” “provided,” “anticipate,” “future,” “could,” “growth,” “plan,” “intend,” “expect,” “should,” “would,” “if,” “seek,” “possible,” “potential,” “likely” or the negative of such terms or comparable terminology. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others: (1) general volatility of the capital markets and the market price of our securities; (2) risks associated with negotiation and consummation of pending and future transactions; (3) the loss of one or more of our executive officers, in particular David Gladstone, Terry Lee Brubaker, or George Steljes III; (4) changes in our business strategy; (5) availability, terms and deployment of capital, including the ability to maintain and borrow under our existing credit facility, arrange for long-term mortgages on our properties; secure one or more additional long-term credit facilities, and to raise equity capital; (6) changes in our industry, interest rates, exchange rates or the general economy; (7) the degree and nature of our competition; and (8) those factors described in the “Risk Factors” section of this Form 10-K. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which are made pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and, as such, speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, after the date of this Form 10-K.

Item 1. Business

Overview

Gladstone Commercial Corporation (which we refer to as “we,” “us,” or the “Company,”) is a real estate investment trust, or REIT, that was incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on February 14, 2003 primarily for the purpose of investing in and owning net leased industrial and commercial real property and selectively making long-term industrial and commercial mortgage loans. Most of the portfolio of real estate we currently own is leased to a wide cross section of tenants ranging from small businesses to large public companies, many of which are corporations that do not have publicly rated debt. We have in the past entered into, and intend in the future to enter into, purchase agreements for real estate having triple net leases with terms of approximately 10 to 15 years and built in rental increases. We are actively communicating with buyout funds, real estate brokers and other third parties to locate properties for potential acquisition or to provide mortgage financing in an effort to build our portfolio. We currently own a total of 55 properties and hold one mortgage loan.

We conduct substantially all of our activities, including the ownership of all of our properties, through Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership formed on May 28, 2003 that we refer to as our Operating Partnership. We control our Operating Partnership through our ownership of GCLP Business Trust II, a Massachusetts business trust, which is the general partner of our Operating Partnership, and of GCLP Business Trust I, a Massachusetts business trust, which currently holds all of the limited partnership units of our Operating Partnership. We expect that our Operating Partnership may issue limited partnership units from time to time in exchange for industrial and commercial real property. Limited partners who hold limited partnership units in our Operating Partnership will be entitled to redeem these units for cash or, at our election, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis at any time.

Our Operating Partnership is also the sole member of Gladstone Lending, LLC, which we refer to as Gladstone Lending. Gladstone Lending is a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on January 27, 2004 to hold all of our real estate mortgage loans.

Significant Recent Developments

Investment Activities: During the year ended December 31, 2007, we acquired 14 properties and one leasehold interest totaling approximately 930,000 square feet, for a total gross investment of approximately \$104.2 million.

Financing Activities: During the year ended December 31, 2007, we borrowed approximately \$48.5 million pursuant to four long-term notes payable collateralized by security interests in 10 of our properties, closed a one-year term loan with KeyBank for \$20.0 million, and borrowed \$45.5 million under our line of credit, of which \$4.4 million remained outstanding at December 31, 2007, in order to fund acquisitions during the year.

Our Investment Objectives and Our Strategy

Our principal investment objectives are to generate income from rental properties and, to a much lesser extent, mortgage loans, which we use to fund our continuing operations and to pay out monthly cash distributions to our stockholders. We will seek to grow the distribution to stockholders over time, and to increase the value of our common stock. Our primary strategy to achieve our investment objectives is to invest in and own a diversified portfolio of leased industrial, commercial and retail real estate that we believe will produce stable cash flow and increase in value. We expect to sell some of our real estate assets from time to time when our external adviser, Gladstone Management Corporation, which we refer to as our Adviser, determines that doing so would be advantageous to us and our stockholders. We also expect to occasionally make mortgage loans secured by income-producing commercial or industrial real estate, which loans may have some form of equity participation.

Our strategy includes the use of leverage so that we may make more investments than would otherwise be possible in order to maximize potential returns to stockholders. We are not limited with respect to the amount of leverage that we may use for the acquisition of any specific property. We intend to use non-recourse mortgage financing that will allow us to limit our loss exposure on any property to the amount of equity invested in such property.

Investment Policies and Policies with Respect to Certain Activities

Types of Investments

Overview

We intend that substantially all of our investments will be income-producing real property or, to a much lesser extent, mortgage loans secured by real property. We expect that the vast majority of our investments will be structured as net leases, but if a net lease would have an adverse impact on a potential tenant, or would otherwise be inappropriate for us, we may structure our investment as a mortgage loan. Investments are not restricted as to geographical areas, but we expect that most of our investments in real estate will be made within the continental United States. Some of our investments may also be made through joint ventures that would permit us to own interests in large properties without restricting the diversity of our portfolio. Our stockholders are not afforded the opportunity to evaluate the economic merits of our investments or the terms of any dispositions of properties. See *“Risk Factors—Our success depends on the performance of our Adviser and if our Adviser makes inadvisable investment or management decisions, our operations could be materially adversely impacted.”*

We anticipate that we will make substantially all of our investments through our Operating Partnership and Gladstone Lending. Our Operating Partnership and Gladstone Lending may acquire interests in real property or mortgage loans in exchange for the issuance of limited partnership units, for cash or through a combination of both. Units issued by our Operating Partnership will be redeemable for cash or, at our election, shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis at any time. However, we may in the future also conduct some of our business and hold some of our interests in real properties or mortgage loans through one or more wholly-owned subsidiaries, each classified as a qualified REIT subsidiary, or QRS.

Property Acquisitions and Net Leasing

While we had originally anticipated that a majority of the properties we purchased would be acquired from companies that would simultaneously lease the properties back from us, to date a majority of our properties have been purchased from owners that have leased their properties to non-affiliated tenants. While we have engaged in some transactions with tenants who want to consummate sale-leaseback transactions, we do not anticipate that this will become the dominant portion of our portfolio. We expect that some of our sale-leaseback transactions will be in conjunction with acquisitions, recapitalizations or other corporate transactions affecting our tenants. In these transactions, we may act as one of several sources of financing for these transactions by purchasing one or more properties from the tenant and by net leasing it to the tenant or its successor in interest. For a discussion of the risks associated with leasing property to leveraged tenants, see “*Risk Factors—Highly leveraged tenants or borrowers may be unable to pay rent or make mortgage payments, which could adversely affect our cash available to make distributions to our stockholders.*”

In some circumstances, we have granted tenants an option to purchase the leased property, and we anticipate granting these options to select tenants in the future. In these cases, we generally seek to fix the option purchase price at the greater of our purchase price for the property and the fair market value of the property at the time the option is exercised.

Our portfolio consists primarily of single-tenant commercial and industrial real property, however, we also consider multi-tenant commercial and industrial properties, as well as single-tenant retail properties. We have not in the past and do not anticipate in the future we will invest in residential properties. Generally, we lease properties to tenants that our Adviser deems creditworthy under leases that will be full recourse obligations of our tenants or their affiliates. In most cases, our leases will be “triple net leases” that require the tenant to pay all the operating costs, costs of maintenance, insurance and real estate taxes on the property. We seek to obtain lease terms of approximately 10 to 15 years with built in rental increases.

Investments in Mortgage Loans

Although we expect such investments to be made sparingly, we may elect to structure our investment in a particular property as a mortgage loan secured by the property in situations where a standard net lease transaction would have an adverse tax impact on the seller of a property or would otherwise be inappropriate for us. We anticipate that most of our lending transactions will be loans secured by industrial or commercial property. We have not in the past and do not anticipate in the future we will invest in residential mortgages. Our Adviser will attempt to structure mortgage loans in a manner that would provide us with current income substantially similar to that which we could expect to receive had the investment been structured as a net lease transaction.

To the extent that we invest in mortgage loans, we will generally originate those loans. However, we may also purchase mortgage loans from other lenders if such transactions are consistent with our investment objectives. Our Adviser will service the mortgage loans in our portfolio by monitoring the collection of monthly principal and interest payments on our behalf. From time to time, we may sell mortgage loans that we hold to third parties.

Underwriting Criteria, Due Diligence Process and Negotiating Lease Provisions

We consider underwriting the real estate and the tenant for the property (or the borrower in the case of a mortgage loan) to be the most important aspects of making an investment. Evaluating the creditworthiness of the tenant or borrower and its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to make payments to us pursuant to the lease or the mortgage loan is the most important aspect of our underwriting procedures. In analyzing potential acquisitions of properties and leases, our Adviser reviews all aspects of the potential transaction, including tenant and real estate fundamentals, to determine whether potential acquisitions and leases can be structured to satisfy our acquisition criteria. The criteria listed below provide general guideposts that our Adviser may consider when underwriting leases and mortgage loans:

- *Credit Evaluation.* Our Adviser evaluates each potential tenant for its creditworthiness, considering factors such as management experience, industry position and fundamentals, operating history and capital structure. A prospective tenant that is deemed creditworthy does not necessarily mean that we will consider the tenant's property to be "investment grade." Our Adviser seeks tenants that range from small businesses to large public companies, many of the smaller companies do not have publicly rated debt. Our Adviser's investment professionals have substantial experience in locating and financing these types of companies. By leasing properties to these tenants, we believe that we will generally be able to charge rent that is higher than the rent charged to tenants with unleveraged balance sheets and recognized credit, thereby enhancing current return from these properties as compared with properties leased to companies whose credit potential has already been recognized by the market. Furthermore, if a tenant's credit does improve, the value of our lease or investment will likely increase (if all other factors affecting value remain unchanged). In evaluating a possible investment, we believe that the creditworthiness of a prospective tenant is normally a more significant factor than the unleased value of the property itself. While our Adviser selects tenants it believes to be creditworthy, tenants are not required to meet any minimum rating established by an independent credit rating agency. Our Adviser's standards for determining whether a particular tenant is creditworthy vary in accordance with a variety of factors relating to specific prospective tenants. The creditworthiness of a tenant is determined on a tenant by tenant and case by case basis. Therefore, general standards for creditworthiness cannot be applied.
- *Leases with Increasing Rent.* Our Adviser seeks to include a clause in each lease that provides for annual rent escalations over the term of the lease. These increases will generally be fixed, however certain leases are tied to increases in indices such as the consumer price index.
- *Diversification.* Our Adviser attempts to diversify our portfolio to avoid dependence on any one particular tenant, facility type, geographic location or tenant industry. By diversifying our portfolio, our Adviser intends to reduce the adverse effect on our portfolio of a single under-performing investment or a downturn in any particular industry or geographic region.
- *Property Valuation.* The business prospects for the tenant and the financial strength of the tenant are an important aspect of the evaluation of any sale and leaseback of property, or acquisition of property subject to a net lease, particularly a property that is specifically suited to the needs of the tenant. We generally require quarterly unaudited and annual audited financial statements of the tenant in order to continuously monitor the financial performance of the property. On a quarterly basis we evaluate the financial capability of the tenant and its ability to perform per the terms of the lease. We may also examine the available operating results of prospective investment properties to determine whether or not projected rental levels are likely to be met. We then compute the value of the property based on historical and projected operating results. We generally limit our property acquisition cost or value to between \$3 million and \$30 million.
- *Properties Important to Tenant Operations.* Our Adviser generally seeks to acquire investment properties that are essential or important to the ongoing operations of the prospective tenant. We believe that these investment properties provide better protection in the event a tenant becomes bankrupt, since leases on properties essential or important to the operations of a bankrupt tenant are typically less likely to be rejected in the bankruptcy or otherwise terminated.
- *Lease Provisions that Enhance and Protect Value.* When appropriate, our Adviser attempts to include provisions in our leases that require our consent to specified tenant activity or require the tenant to satisfy specific operating tests. These provisions may include, for example, operational or financial covenants of the tenant, as well as indemnification of us by the tenant against environmental and other contingent liabilities. We believe that these provisions serve to protect our investments from changes in the operating and financial characteristics of a tenant that may impact its ability to satisfy its obligations to us or that could reduce the value of our properties. We generally also seek covenants requiring tenants to receive our consent prior to any change in control of the tenant.

- *Credit Enhancement.* Our Adviser may also seek to enhance the likelihood of a tenant's lease obligations being satisfied through a cross-default with other tenant obligations, a letter of credit or a guaranty of lease obligations from each tenant's corporate parent. We believe that this type of credit enhancement, if obtained, provides us with additional financial security.
- *Appraisals.* Each property that we propose to purchase will be appraised by an independent appraiser. These appraisals may take into consideration, among other things, the terms and conditions of the particular lease transaction, the quality of the tenant's credit and the conditions of the credit markets at the time the lease transaction is negotiated. The appraised value may be greater than the construction cost or the replacement cost of a property, and the actual sale price of a property, if we resell the property in the future, may be greater or less than its appraised value.

Underwriting of the Real Estate and Due Diligence Process

In addition to underwriting the tenant or borrower, we also underwrite the real estate to be acquired or secured by one of our mortgages. On our behalf, our Adviser performs a due diligence review with respect to each property, such as evaluating the physical condition of a property, zoning and site requirements to ensure the property is in conformance with all zoning regulations as well as an environmental site assessment, in an attempt to determine potential environmental liabilities associated with a property prior to its acquisition, although there can be no assurance that hazardous substances or wastes (as defined by present or future federal or state laws or regulations) will not be discovered on the property after we acquire it. See "*Risk Factors—Potential liability for environmental matters could adversely affect our financial condition*"

Our Adviser also reviews the structural soundness of the improvements on the property and may engage a structural engineer to review all aspects of the structures in order to determine the longevity of each building on the property. This review normally also includes the components of each building, such as the roof, the electrical wiring, the heating and air-conditioning system, the plumbing, parking lot and various other aspects such as compliance with state and federal building codes.

Our Adviser also physically inspects the real estate and surrounding real estate as part of determining the value of the real estate. All of our Adviser's due diligence is aimed at arriving at a valuation of the real estate under the assumption that it was not rented to the tenant we are considering. As part of this process, our Adviser may consider one or more of the following items:

- The comparable value of similar real estate in the same general area of the prospective property. In this regard, comparable property is hard to define since each piece of real estate has its own distinct characteristics. But to the extent possible, comparable property in the area that has sold or is for sale will be used to determine if the price being paid for the property is reasonable. The question of comparable properties' sale prices is particularly relevant if a property might be sold by us at a later date.
- The comparable real estate rental rates for similar properties in the same area of the prospective property.
- Alternative property uses that may offer higher value.
- The cost of replacing the property if it were to be sold.
- The assessed value as determined by the local real estate taxing authority.

In addition, our Adviser supplements its valuation with a real estate appraisal in connection with each investment that we consider. When appropriate, our Adviser may engage experts to undertake some or all of the due diligence efforts described above.

Additional Investment Considerations

Terms of Mortgage Loans

Some of the mortgage loans that we may make, purchase or otherwise acquire in the future, in addition to providing for base interest at a fixed or variable rate, may allow us to participate in the economic benefits of any increase in the value of the property securing repayment of the loan as though we were an equity owner of a portion of the property. In addition, it is possible that participation may take other forms where our Adviser deems participation available or otherwise appropriate, provided that such participation does not jeopardize our REIT tax status. The form and extent of our participation, if any, will vary with each transaction depending on factors such as credit support provided by the borrower, the interest rate on our mortgage loans and the anticipated and actual cash flow from the underlying real property. Our mortgage loans may include first mortgage loans, leasehold mortgage loans or conventional mortgage loans without equity enhancements. We have not in the past, nor do we intend in the future to make or invest in sub-prime mortgage loans.

Except as described below, any mortgage loan in our portfolio will generally be secured by real property with a demonstrable income-producing potential as well as a security interest in personal or mixed property connected with the real property.

In the event that we make or invest in a mortgage loan, we will generally require a mortgagee's title insurance policy or commitment as to the lien priority of a mortgage or the condition of title in connection with each mortgage loan. We also may obtain an independent appraisal for underlying real property, that our Adviser may consider when determining whether or not to make or invest in a particular mortgage loan. In making mortgage loans that, when combined with existing loans that are on a parity with or senior to our mortgage loan, exceed 85% of the appraised value of any underlying real property, our Adviser considers additional underwriting criteria such as the net worth of the borrower, the borrower's credit rating, if any, the anticipated cash flow of the borrower, any additional collateral or other credit enhancements provided by the borrower or its affiliates and other factors our Adviser deems appropriate. Where we think it is appropriate we may make mortgage loans that are subordinated to a first mortgage on a property. For example, if the property is subject to an economic development loan as a first mortgage at a particularly low interest rate, we may make a second mortgage loan on the property. However, we will not make a second mortgage loan on any property that we would not consider owning, subject to the existing senior financing, and leasing to the tenant.

From time to time, we may purchase mortgage loans, including loans being sold at a discount, from banks and other financial institutions if the subject property otherwise satisfies our underwriting criteria.

Other Investments

We may invest up to an aggregate of 10% of our net equity in unimproved or non-income-producing real property and in "equity interests." "Equity interests" are defined generally to mean stock, warrants or other rights to purchase the stock of, or other equity interests in, a tenant of a property, an entity to which we lend money or a parent or controlling person of a borrower or tenant, and we will not acquire equity interests in any entity other than in connection with a lease or mortgage loan transaction. We anticipate that equity interests will not exceed 5% of our net equity in the aggregate, and we will not make any such investment in equity interests if such investment would adversely affect our qualification as a REIT for tax purposes. To the extent that we hold equity interests in tenants or borrowers, we anticipate that they will generally be "restricted securities" as defined in Rule 144 under the Securities Act. Under this rule, we may be prohibited from reselling the equity securities without limitation until we have fully paid for and held any such securities for one year. The issuer of equity interests in which we invest may never register these interests under the federal securities laws, since any decision of an issuer to register its securities may depend on any number of factors, including the success of its operations.

We will generally invest in unimproved or non-income-producing property only when our Adviser believes that such property will appreciate in value or will increase the value of an adjoining or neighboring property that we own.

We might use taxable REIT subsidiaries to acquire or hold property, including equity interests, that may not be deemed to be REIT-qualified assets. Taxable REIT subsidiaries are taxed as ordinary corporations and any taxes paid by such entity will reduce cash available to us for payment of distributions to our stockholders.

Temporary Investments

We may purchase interests in mortgage-backed securities, including mortgage pass-through certificates, collateralized mortgage obligations and other securities representing interests in, or obligations backed by, pools of mortgage loans. Mortgage-backed securities represent interests in cash flows from mortgage loans or interests in other mortgage-backed securities. The securities are often sold in tranches representing at least two rated securities which are usually “AAA” and “A,” and at least one unrated security. We may purchase either rated or unrated securities. To the extent we purchase mortgage-backed securities, we will attempt to use substantially the same investment guidelines as if we were purchasing the mortgage loans or real estate underlying these securities. That is, we will seek to generate net income for distribution to our stockholders from the spread between the interest income on mortgage-backed securities and the costs of borrowing to finance the acquisition of these securities.

If at any time the character of our investments would cause us to be deemed to be an “investment company” for purposes of the Investment Company Act of 1940, which we refer to as the 1940 Act, we will take the necessary action to ensure that we are not deemed to be an “investment company.” Our Adviser will continually review our investment activity and the composition of our portfolio to ensure that we do not come within the application of the 1940 Act. If we were to be deemed an investment company under the 1940 Act, it would result in penalties and substantial additional operating costs.

Our working capital and other reserves are invested in permitted temporary investments. Our Adviser evaluates the relative risks and rates of return, our cash needs and other appropriate considerations when making short-term investments on our behalf. The rates of return of permitted temporary investments may be less than or greater than what would be obtainable from real estate investments.

Qualified REIT Subsidiaries

While we intend to conduct substantially all of our activities through our Operating Partnership and Gladstone Lending, we may also form one or more wholly-owned qualified REIT subsidiaries, or QRSs, to purchase properties. These QRSs would be formed for the sole purpose of acquiring a specific property or properties located in one or more states and would have organizational documents that are substantially similar in all relevant ways to our organizational documents and comply with all applicable state securities laws and regulations.

Joint Ventures

In the future, we may also enter into joint ventures, partnerships and other mutual arrangements with real estate developers, property owners and others for the purpose of obtaining an equity interest in a property in accordance with our investment policies. Joint venture investments could permit us to own interests in large properties without unduly restricting the diversity of our portfolio. We will not enter into a joint venture to make an investment that we would not otherwise be permitted to make on our own. We expect that in any joint venture the cost of structuring joint investments would be shared ratably by us and the other participating investors.

Use of Leverage

Non-recourse Financing

Our current strategy is to use long-term borrowings as a financing mechanism in amounts that we believe will maximize the return to our stockholders. Currently, all of our long-term mortgage borrowings are structured as non-recourse to us, with limited exceptions that would trigger recourse to us only upon the occurrence of certain fraud, misconduct, environmental or bankruptcy events. The use of non-recourse financing allows us to limit our exposure to the amount of equity invested in the properties pledged as collateral for our borrowings. Non-recourse financing generally restricts a lender's claim on the assets of the borrower and, as a result, the lender generally may look only to the property securing the debt for satisfaction of the debt. We believe that this financing strategy, to the extent available, protects our other assets. However, we can provide no assurance that non-recourse financing will be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and there may be circumstances where lenders have recourse to our other assets. There is no limitation on the amount we may borrow against any single investment property.

We believe that, by operating on a leveraged basis, we will have more funds available and, therefore, will make more investments than would otherwise be possible if we operated on a non-leveraged basis. We believe that this will result in a more diversified portfolio and maximize potential returns to our stockholders. We may refinance properties during the term of a loan when we believe it is advantageous.

Recourse Financing

Borrowings under our short-term line of credit are recourse financing, which means that our lenders have a claim against our assets in addition to those properties securing the borrowings under the line of credit. We currently intend to use our existing line of credit as a warehouse line of credit whereby we borrow on a short-term basis until long-term financing can be arranged.

Securitization

In the future, we may use securitization as an additional method of borrowing. In a securitization, our Operating Partnership would contribute certain of our assets to a special purpose entity, or SPE, and the SPE would issue one or more series of security interests or tranches in the SPE. We would seek to have some or all of the tranches of investment certificates rated by nationally recognized securities ratings agencies and would offer and sell the investment certificates, primarily to institutional investors. Depending on the circumstances specific to any such transaction, these types of transactions may be accounted for as financings or sales. The funds received from the sale of investment certificates would be remitted back to our Operating Partnership to be used to acquire additional properties, to make additional mortgage loans or to repay existing debt. There can be no assurance that we will be able to utilize this financing technique in the future.

Other Investment Policies

Working Capital Reserves

We may establish a working capital reserve, which we would anticipate to be sufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements. Our liquidity could be adversely affected by unanticipated costs, greater-than-anticipated operating expenses or cash shortfalls in funding our distributions. To the extent that the working capital reserve is insufficient to satisfy our cash requirements, additional funds may be produced from cash generated from operations or through short-term borrowings. In addition, subject to limitations described in this report, we may incur indebtedness in connection with:

- the acquisition of any property;
- the refinancing of the debt upon any property; or
- the leveraging of any previously unleveraged property.

For additional information regarding our borrowing strategy, see *“Investment Policies and Policies with Respect to Certain Activities—Use of Leverage”*

Holding Period for and Sale of Investments; Reinvestment of Sale Proceeds

We intend to hold each property we acquire for an extended period. However, circumstances might arise which could result in the early sale of some properties if, in the judgment of our Adviser, the sale of the property is in the best interest of us and our stockholders.

The determination of whether a particular property should be sold or otherwise disposed of will be made after consideration of all relevant factors, including prevailing economic conditions, with a view to achieving maximum capital appreciation. The selling price of a property which is subject to a net lease will be determined in large part by the amount of rent payable under the lease, the remaining term of the lease, and the creditworthiness of the tenant. In connection with our sales of properties, we may lend the purchaser all or a portion of the purchase price. In these instances, our taxable income may exceed the cash received by us in connection with any such sale, which could cause us to delay required distributions to our stockholders.

The terms of any sale will be dictated by custom in the area in which the property being sold is located and the then-prevailing economic conditions. A decision to provide financing to any purchaser would be made only after an investigation into and consideration of the same factors regarding the purchaser, such as creditworthiness and likelihood of future financial stability, as are undertaken when we consider a net lease or mortgage loan transaction.

We may continually reinvest the proceeds of property sales in investments that either we or our Adviser believe will satisfy our investment policies.

Investment Limitations

There are numerous limitations on the manner in which we may invest our funds, which unless otherwise noted below, may be amended or waived by the Board of Directors at anytime. The Board of Directors has adopted a policy that we will not:

- invest in real property owned by our Adviser, any of its affiliates or any business in which our Adviser or any of its subsidiaries have invested except that we may lease property to existing and prospective portfolio companies of current or future affiliates, such as Gladstone Capital Corporation, or Gladstone Investment Corporation and entities advised by our Adviser so long as that entity does not control the portfolio company and the transaction is approved by both companies' board of directors (this policy may not be changed without the approval of our stockholders);

- invest in commodities or commodity futures contracts, with this limitation not being applicable to futures contracts when used solely for the purpose of hedging in connection with our ordinary business of investing in properties and making mortgage loans;
- invest in contracts for the sale of real estate unless the contract is appropriately recorded in the chain of title;
- invest in any individual property with a cost in excess of 20% of our total assets at the time of investment;
- make investments in unimproved property or indebtedness secured by a deed of trust or mortgage loans on unimproved property in excess of 10% of our total assets. “Unimproved real property” is property which has the following three characteristics:
 - o the property was not acquired for the purpose of producing rental or other operating income;
 - o no development or construction is in process on the property; and
 - o no development or construction on the property is planned in good faith to commence on the property within one year of acquisition;
- issue equity securities on a deferred payment basis or other similar arrangement;
- issue debt securities in the absence of adequate cash flow to cover debt service;
- issue “redeemable securities” as defined in Section 2(a)(32) of the 1940 Act;
- grant warrants or options to purchase shares of our stock to our Adviser or its affiliates;
- engage in trading, as compared with investment activities, or engage in the business of underwriting, or the agency distribution of, securities issued by other persons;
- acquire securities in any company holding investments or engaging in activities prohibited in the foregoing clauses; or
- make or invest in mortgage loans that are subordinate to any mortgage or equity interest of any of our affiliates.

Conflict of Interest Policy

We have adopted policies to reduce potential conflicts of interest. In addition, our directors are subject to certain provisions of Maryland law that are designed to minimize conflicts. However, we cannot assure you that these policies or provisions of law will reduce or eliminate the influence of these conflicts.

Under our current conflict of interest policy, without the approval of a majority of our disinterested directors, we will not:

- acquire from or sell to any of our officers, directors or employees, or any entity in which any of our officers, directors or employees has an interest of more than 5%, any assets or other property;
- borrow from any of our directors, officers or employees, or any entity in which any of our officers, directors or employees has an interest of more than 5%; or
- engage in any other transaction with any of our directors, officers or employees, or any entity in which any of our directors, officers or employees has an interest of more than 5% (except that our Adviser may lease office space in a building that we own, provided that the rental rate under the lease is determined by our independent directors to be at a fair market rate).

Our policy also prohibits us from purchasing any property owned by or co-investing with our Adviser, any of its affiliates or any business in which our Adviser or any of its subsidiaries have invested, except that we may lease property to existing and prospective portfolio companies of current or future affiliates, such as Gladstone Capital Corporation or Gladstone Investment Corporation and other entities advised by our Adviser, so long as that entity does not control the portfolio company and the transaction is approved by both companies' board of directors. If we decide to change this policy on co-investments with our Adviser or its affiliates, we will seek our stockholders' approval.

Future Revisions in Policies and Strategies

Our independent directors review our investment policies at least annually to evaluate whether they are in the best interest of us and our stockholders. Our investment policies also may vary as new investment techniques are developed. Our investment procedures, objectives and policies, except as otherwise provided in our bylaws or articles of incorporation, may be altered by a majority of our directors (including a majority of our independent directors) without the approval of our stockholders, to the extent that our board of directors determines that such modification is in the best interest of our stockholders. Among other factors, developments in the market which affect the policies and strategies described in this report or which change our assessment of the market may cause our board of directors to revise our investment policies and strategies.

Our Adviser and Administrator

Our business is managed by our Adviser, Gladstone Management Corporation. The officers, directors and employees of our Adviser have significant experience in making investments in and lending to businesses of all sizes, including investing in real estate and making mortgage loans. We have entered into an advisory agreement with our Adviser under which our Adviser is responsible for managing our assets and liabilities, for operating our business on a day-to-day basis and for identifying, evaluating, negotiating and consummating investment transactions consistent with our investment policies as determined by our Board of Directors from time to time. Prior to entering into the advisory agreement with us, our Adviser conducted only limited operations and had not engaged in any real estate investing activities. Our Adviser also has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Administration, LLC, or the Administrator, which employs our chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, controller, treasurer and their respective staffs.

David Gladstone, our chairman and chief executive officer, is also the chairman, chief executive officer and the controlling stockholder of our Adviser. Terry Lee Brubaker, our vice chairman, secretary and chief operating officer and a member of our board of directors, also serves in the same capacities for our Adviser. George Stelljes III, our president and chief investment officer and a member of our board of directors, also serves in the same capacities for our Adviser. Harry Brill, our chief financial officer, serves in the same capacity for our Adviser.

Our Adviser maintains an investment committee that approves each of our investments. This investment committee is comprised of Messrs. Gladstone, Brubaker and Stelljes. We believe that our Adviser's investment committee review process gives us a unique competitive advantage over other commercial and industrial REITs because of the substantial experience and perspective that the members possess in evaluating the blend of corporate credit, real estate and lease terms that combine to provide an acceptable risk for our investments.

Our Adviser's board of directors has empowered its investment committee to authorize and approve our investments, subject to the terms of the advisory agreement. Before we acquire any property, the transaction is reviewed by our Adviser's investment committee to ensure that, in its view, the proposed transaction satisfies our investment criteria and is within our investment policies. Approval by our Adviser's investment committee is generally the final step in the property acquisition approval process, although the separate approval of our board of directors is required in certain circumstances described below. For further detail on this process, please see "*Underwriting Criteria, Due Diligence Process and Negotiating Lease Provisions.*"

Our Adviser is headquartered in McLean, Virginia, a suburb of Washington D.C., and also has offices in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Texas and Washington.

Investment Advisory and Administration Agreements

Many of the services performed by our Adviser and Administrator in managing our day-to-day activities are summarized below. This summary is provided to illustrate the material functions which our Adviser and Administrator perform for us pursuant to the terms of the advisory and administration agreements, respectively, but it is not intended to include all of the services which may be provided to us by third parties.

Investment Advisory Agreements

We have been externally managed pursuant to a contractual investment advisory arrangement with our Adviser, under which our Adviser has directly employed all of our personnel and paid its payroll, benefits, and general expenses directly. Our initial investment advisory agreement with our Adviser, which we refer to as the Initial Advisory Agreement, was in place from August 12, 2003 through December 31, 2006. On January 1, 2007, we entered into an amended and restated investment advisory agreement with our Adviser, which we refer to as the Amended Advisory Agreement, and an administration agreement, which we refer to as the Administration Agreement, with our Administrator.

Under the terms of the Initial Advisory Agreement and the Amended Advisory Agreement, we were and remain responsible for all expenses incurred for our direct benefit. Examples of these expenses include legal, accounting, interest on short-term debt and mortgages, tax preparation, directors and officers insurance, stock transfer services, stockholder related fees, consulting and related fees. All of these charges are incurred directly by us rather than by our Adviser for our benefit. Accordingly, we did not make any reimbursements to our Adviser for these amounts.

In addition, we are also responsible for all fees charged by third parties that are directly related to our business, which may include real estate brokerage fees, mortgage placement fees, lease-up fees and transaction structuring fees (although we may be able to pass some or all of such fees on to our tenants and borrowers). In the event that any of these expenses are incurred on our behalf by our Adviser, we are required to reimburse our Adviser on a dollar-for-dollar basis for all such amounts. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, none of these expenses were incurred by our Adviser or by us directly. The actual amount of such fees that we incur in the future will depend largely upon the aggregate costs of the properties we acquire, the aggregate amount of mortgage loans we make, and the extent to which we are able to shift the burden of such fees to our tenants and borrowers. Accordingly, the amount of these fees that we will pay in the future is not determinable at this time. We do not presently expect that our Adviser will incur any of these fees on our behalf.

Management Services and Fees under the Initial Advisory Agreement

Pursuant to the Initial Advisory Agreement, we were required to reimburse our Adviser for our pro rata share of our Adviser's payroll and benefits expenses on an employee-by-employee basis, based on the percentage of each employee's time devoted to our matters.

We were also required to reimburse our Adviser for our pro rata portion of all other expenses of our Adviser not reimbursed under the arrangements described above, which we refer to as overhead expenses, equal to the total overhead expenses of our Adviser, multiplied by the ratio of hours worked by our Adviser's employees on our projects to the total hours worked by our Adviser's employees. However, we were only required to reimburse our Adviser for our portion of its overhead expenses if the amount of payroll and benefits we reimbursed to our Adviser was less than 2.0% of our average invested assets for the year. Additionally, we were only required to reimburse our Adviser for overhead expenses up to the point that reimbursed overhead expenses and payroll and benefits expenses, on a combined basis, equaled 2.0% of our average invested assets for the year. Our Adviser billed us on a monthly basis for these amounts. Our Adviser was required to reimburse us annually for the amount by which amounts billed to and paid by us exceed this 2.0% limit during a given year. The amounts never exceeded the 2.0% limit, and we never received reimbursement.

Management Services and Fees under the Amended Advisory Agreement

The Amended Advisory Agreement provides for an annual base management fee equal to 2.0% of our total stockholders equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock, and an incentive fee based on funds from operations, or FFO. For purposes of calculating the incentive fee, FFO includes any realized capital gains and capital losses, less any dividends paid on preferred stock, but FFO does not include any unrealized capital gains or losses. The incentive fee would reward our Adviser if our quarterly FFO, before giving effect to any incentive fee, or pre-incentive fee FFO, exceeds 1.75%, or 7% annualized, or the hurdle rate, of total stockholders' equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock. Our Adviser will receive 100% of the amount of the pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds the hurdle rate, but is less than 2.1875% of our pre-incentive fee FFO. Our Adviser will also receive an incentive fee of 20% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds 2.1875%. The incentive fee may be reduced because of our line of credit covenant which limits distributions to our stockholders to 95% of our funds from operations, or FFO.

Administration Agreement

Under the Administration Agreement, we pay separately for our allocable portion of our Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations including, but not limited to, rent for employees of our Administrator, and our allocable portion of the salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, controller, treasurer and their respective staffs.

Adviser Duties and Authority under the Advisory Agreement

Under the terms of the advisory agreement, our Adviser is required to use its best efforts to present to us investment opportunities consistent with our investment policies and objectives as adopted by our board of directors. In performing its duties, our Adviser, either directly or indirectly by engaging an affiliate:

- finds, evaluates, and enters into contracts to purchase real estate and make mortgage loans on our behalf in compliance with our investment procedures, objectives and policies, subject to approval of our board of directors, where required;
- provides advice to us and acts on our behalf with respect to the negotiation, acquisition, financing, refinancing, holding, leasing and disposition of real estate investments;
- takes the actions and obtains the services necessary to effect the negotiation, acquisition, financing, refinancing, holding, leasing and disposition of real estate investments; and
- provides day-to-day management of our business activities and other administrative services for us as requested by our board of directors.

Our board of directors has authorized our Adviser to make investments in any property on our behalf without the prior approval of our board if the following conditions are satisfied:

- our Adviser has obtained an independent appraisal for the property indicating that the total cost of the property does not exceed its appraised value; and
- our Adviser has provided us with a representation that the property, in conjunction with our other investments and proposed investments, is reasonably expected to fulfill our investment objectives and policies as established by our board of directors then in effect.

The actual terms and conditions of transactions involving investments in properties and mortgage loans are determined in the sole discretion of our Adviser, subject at all times to compliance with the foregoing requirements. Some types of transactions, however, require the prior approval of our board of directors, including a majority of our independent directors, including the following:

- loans not secured or otherwise supported by real property;
- any acquisition or mortgage loan which at the time of investment would have a cost exceeding 20% of our total assets;
- transactions that involve conflicts of interest with our Adviser (other than reimbursement of expenses in accordance with the Amended Advisory Agreement); and
- the lease of assets to our Adviser, its affiliates or any of our officers or directors.

Our Adviser and Administrator also engage in other business ventures and, as a result, their resources are not dedicated exclusively to our business. For example, our Adviser and Administrator also serve as the external adviser to Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Investment Corporation, both publicly traded business development companies affiliated with us, and Gladstone Land Corporation, a private agricultural real estate company. However, under the Amended Advisory Agreement, our Adviser is required to devote sufficient resources to the administration of our affairs to discharge its obligations under the agreement. The Amended Advisory Agreement is not assignable or transferable by either us or our Adviser without the consent of the other party, except that our Adviser may assign the Amended Advisory Agreement to an affiliate for whom our Adviser agrees to guarantee its obligations to us. Either we or our Adviser may assign or transfer the Amended Advisory Agreement to a successor entity.

Employees

We do not currently have any employees and do not expect to have any employees in the foreseeable future. Currently, services necessary for our business are provided by individuals who are employees of our Adviser and our Administrator pursuant to the terms of the Amended Advisory Agreement and the Administration Agreement, respectively. Each of our executive officers is an employee or officer, or both, of our Adviser or our Administrator. No employee of our Adviser or our Administrator will dedicate all of his or her time to us. However, we expect that 30-35 full time employees of our Adviser or our Administrator will spend substantial time on our matters during calendar year 2008. We anticipate that the number of employees of our Adviser and our Administrator who devote time to our matters will increase as we acquire more investments.

Effective January 1, 2007, with our entrance into the Amended Advisory Agreement and Administration Agreements, accounting and compliance services are provided by the same individuals who previously provided these services to us, however, these individuals now provide these services to us through our Administrator pursuant to the Administration Agreement. All other services continue to be performed by the same individuals under the Amended Advisory Agreement.

As of December 31, 2007, our Adviser and our Administrator collectively had 60 full-time employees. A breakdown of these employees is summarized by functional area in the table below:

Number of Individuals	Functional Area
6	Executive Management
41	Investment Management, Portfolio Management and Due Diligence
13	Administration, Accounting, Compliance, Human Resources and Treasury

Competition

We compete with a number of other real estate companies and traditional mortgage lenders, many of whom have greater marketing and financial resources than we do. Principal factors of competition in our primary business of investing in and owning leased industrial and commercial real property are the quality of properties, leasing terms, attractiveness and convenience of location. Additionally, our ability to compete depends upon, among other factors, trends of the national and local economies, investment alternatives, financial condition and operating results of current and prospective tenants and borrowers, availability and cost of capital, taxes and governmental regulations.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our securities involves a number of significant risks and other factors relating to our structure and investment objectives. As a result, we cannot assure you that we will achieve our investment objectives. You should consider carefully the following information before making an investment in our securities.

Real estate industry risks

We are subject to certain risks associated with real estate ownership and lending which could reduce the value of our investments.

Our investments include net leased industrial and commercial property and mortgage loans secured by industrial and commercial real estate. Our performance, and the value of our investments, is subject to risks incident to the ownership and operation of these types of properties, including:

- changes in the general economic climate;
- changes in local conditions such as an oversupply of space or reduction in demand for real estate;
- changes in interest rates and the availability of financing;
- competition from other available space; and
- changes in laws and governmental regulations, including those governing real estate usage, zoning and taxes.

The debt obligations of our tenants and borrowers are dependent upon certain factors which neither we nor our tenants or borrowers control, such as national, local and regional business and economic conditions, government economic policies, and the level of interest rates. In recent months, difficulties in the “sub-prime” mortgage market and in the markets relating to collateralized debt obligations have resulted in a tightening of the credit markets and a significant contraction in available liquidity. Institutional lenders have increased the costs of borrowing and have otherwise tightened credit standards (more conservative loan to value ratios, etc.). Accordingly, continued or further credit market dislocations or sustained market downturns may increase the operating expenses of our tenants and borrowers and decrease their ability to make lease or mortgage payments, and thereby adversely affect our net income, stockholders’ equity, and cash distributions to stockholders.

Competition for the acquisition of real estate may impede our ability to make acquisitions or increase the cost of these acquisitions.

We compete for the acquisition of properties with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities, including financial institutions, institutional pension funds, other REITs, other public and private real estate companies and private real estate investors. These competitors may prevent us from acquiring desirable properties or may cause an increase in the price we must pay for real estate. Our competitors may have greater resources than we do, and may be willing to pay more for certain assets or may have a more compatible operating philosophy with our acquisition targets. In particular, larger REITs may enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital and enhanced operating efficiencies. Our competitors may also adopt transaction structures similar to ours, which would decrease our competitive advantage in offering flexible transaction terms. In addition, the number of entities and the amount of funds competing for suitable investment properties may increase, resulting in increased demand and increased prices paid for these properties.

Risks related to our tenants, borrowers and properties

Highly leveraged tenants or borrowers may be unable to pay rent or make mortgage payments, which could adversely affect our cash available to make distributions to our stockholders.

Some of our tenants or borrowers may have been recently restructured using leverage or been acquired in a leveraged transaction. Tenants or borrowers that are subject to significant debt obligations may be unable to make their rent or mortgage payments if there are adverse changes to their businesses or economic conditions. Tenants that have experienced leveraged restructurings or acquisitions will generally have substantially greater debt and substantially lower net worth than they had prior to the leveraged transaction. In addition, the payment of rent and debt service may reduce the working capital available to leveraged entities and prevent them from devoting the resources necessary to remain competitive in their industries.

In situations where management of the tenant or borrower will change after a transaction, it may be difficult for our Adviser to determine with certainty the likelihood of the tenant's or borrower's business success and of its ability to pay rent or make mortgage payments throughout the lease or loan term. These companies generally are more vulnerable to adverse economic and business conditions, and increases in interest rates.

Leveraged tenants and borrowers are more susceptible to bankruptcy than unleveraged tenants. Bankruptcy of a tenant or borrower could cause:

- the loss of lease or mortgage payments to us;
- an increase in the costs we incur to carry the property occupied by such tenant;
- a reduction in the value of our securities; or
- a decrease in distributions to our stockholders.

Under bankruptcy law, a tenant who is the subject of bankruptcy proceedings has the option of continuing or terminating any unexpired lease. If a bankrupt tenant terminates a lease with us, any claim we might have for breach of the lease (excluding a claim against collateral securing the claim) will be treated as a general unsecured claim. Our claim would likely be capped at the amount the tenant owed us for unpaid rent prior to the bankruptcy unrelated to the termination, plus the greater of one year's lease payments or 15% of the remaining lease payments payable under the lease (but no more than three years' lease payments). In addition, due to the long-term nature of our leases and terms providing for the repurchase of a property by the tenant, a bankruptcy court could re-characterize a net lease transaction as a secured lending transaction. If that were to occur, we would not be treated as the owner of the property, but might have additional rights as a secured creditor.

Net leases may not result in fair market lease rates over time.

We expect a large portion of our rental income to come from net leases and, net leases frequently provide the tenant greater discretion in using the leased property than ordinary property leases, such as the right to freely sublease the property, to make alterations in the leased premises and to terminate the lease prior to its expiration under specified circumstances. Further, net leases are typically for longer lease terms and, thus, there is an increased risk that contractual rental increases in future years will fail to result in fair market rental rates during those years. As a result, our income and distributions to our stockholders could be lower than it would otherwise be if we did not engage in net leases.

Many of our tenants are small and medium size businesses, which exposes us to additional risks unique to these entities.

Leasing real property or making mortgage loans to small and medium-sized businesses exposes us to a number of unique risks related to these entities, including the following:

- *Small and medium-sized businesses may have limited financial resources and may not be able to make their lease or mortgage payments.* A small or medium-sized tenant or borrower is more likely to have difficulty making its lease or mortgage payments when it experiences adverse events, such as the failure to meet its business plan, a downturn in its industry or negative economic conditions.

- *Small and medium-sized businesses typically have narrower product lines and smaller market shares than large businesses.* Because our target tenants and borrowers are smaller businesses, they will tend to be more vulnerable to competitors' actions and market conditions, as well as general economic downturns. In addition, our target tenants and borrowers may face intense competition, including competition from companies with greater financial resources, more extensive development, manufacturing, marketing and other capabilities and a larger number of qualified managerial and technical personnel.
- *There is generally little or no publicly available information about our target tenants and borrowers.* Many of our tenants and borrowers are likely to be privately owned businesses, about which there is generally little or no publicly available operating and financial information. As a result, we will rely on our Adviser to perform due diligence investigations of these tenants and borrowers, their operations and their prospects. We may not learn all of the material information we need to know regarding these businesses through our investigations.
- *Small and medium-sized businesses generally have less predictable operating results.* We expect that many of our tenants and borrowers may experience significant fluctuations in their operating results, may from time to time be parties to litigation, may be engaged in rapidly changing businesses with products subject to a substantial risk of obsolescence, may require substantial additional capital to support their operations, to finance expansion or to maintain their competitive positions, may otherwise have a weak financial position or may be adversely affected by changes in the business cycle. Our tenants and borrowers may not meet net income, cash flow and other coverage tests typically imposed by their senior lenders. The failure of a tenant or borrower to satisfy financial or operating covenants imposed by senior lenders could lead to defaults and, potentially, foreclosure on credit facilities, which could additionally trigger cross-defaults in other agreements. If this were to occur, it is possible that the ability of the tenant or borrower to make required payments to us would be jeopardized.
- *Small and medium-sized businesses are more likely to be dependent on one or two persons.* Typically, the success of a small or medium-sized business also depends on the management talents and efforts of one or two persons or a small group of persons. The death, disability or resignation of one or more of these persons could have a material adverse impact on our tenant or borrower and, in turn, on us.
- *Small and medium-sized businesses may have limited operating histories.* While we intend to target as tenants and borrowers stable companies with proven track records, we may lease properties or lend money to new companies that meet our other investment criteria. Tenants or borrowers with limited operating histories will be exposed to all of the operating risks that new businesses face and may be particularly susceptible to, among other risks, market downturns, competitive pressures and the departure of key executive officers.

We may not have funding for future tenant improvements.

When a tenant at one of our properties does not renew its lease or otherwise vacates its space in one of our buildings, it is likely that, in order to attract one or more new tenants, we will be required to expend substantial funds for tenant improvements and tenant refurbishments to the vacated space. We cannot assure you that we will have sufficient sources of funding available to us for such purposes in the future.

Our real estate investments may include special use and single or multi-tenant properties that may be difficult to sell or re-lease upon tenant defaults or early lease terminations.

We focus our investments on commercial, industrial and retail properties, a number of which include manufacturing facilities, special use storage or warehouse facilities and special use single or multi-tenant properties. These types of properties are relatively illiquid compared to other types of real estate and financial assets. This illiquidity will limit our ability to quickly change our portfolio in response to changes in economic or other conditions. With these properties, if the current lease is terminated or not renewed or, in the case of a mortgage loan, if we take such property in foreclosure, we may be required to renovate the property or to make rent concessions in order to lease the property to another tenant or sell the property. In addition, in the event we are forced to sell the property, we may have difficulty selling it to a party other than the tenant or borrower due to the special purpose for which the property may have been designed.

These and other limitations may affect our ability to sell or re-lease properties without adversely affecting returns to our stockholders.

The inability of a tenant in a single tenant property to pay rent will reduce our revenues.

Since most of our properties are occupied by a single tenant, the success of our investments will be materially dependent on the financial stability of these tenants. Lease payment defaults by these tenants could adversely affect our cash flows and cause us to reduce the amount of distributions to stockholders. In the event of a default by a tenant, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment and re-leasing our property. If a lease is terminated, there is no assurance that we will be able to lease the property for the rent previously received or sell the property without incurring a loss.

Liability for uninsured losses could adversely affect our financial condition.

Losses from disaster-type occurrences (such as wars or earthquakes) may be either uninsurable or not insurable on economically viable terms. Should an uninsured loss occur, we could lose our capital investment or anticipated profits and cash flow from one or more properties.

Potential liability for environmental matters could adversely affect our financial condition.

Our purchase of industrial, commercial and retail properties subjects us to the risk of liabilities under federal, state and local environmental laws. Some of these laws could subject us to:

- responsibility and liability for the cost of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released on our properties, generally without regard to our knowledge of or responsibility for the presence of the contaminants;
- liability for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances at disposal facilities for persons who arrange for the disposal or treatment of these substances; and
- potential liability for common law claims by third parties for damages resulting from environmental contaminants.

We generally include provisions in our leases making tenants responsible for all environmental liabilities and for compliance with environmental regulations, and requiring tenants to reimburse us for damages or costs for which we have been found liable. However, these provisions will not eliminate our statutory liability or preclude third party claims against us. Even if we were to have a legal claim against a tenant to enable us to recover any amounts we are required to pay, there are no assurances that we would be able to collect any money from the tenant. Our costs of investigation, remediation or removal of hazardous substances may be substantial. In addition, the presence of hazardous substances on one of our properties, or the failure to properly remediate a contaminated property, could adversely affect our ability to sell or lease the property or to borrow using the property as collateral.

We obtain environmental site assessments, or ESAs, on all of our properties at the time of acquisition. The ESAs are intended to identify potential environmental contamination. The ESAs include a historical review of the property, a review of certain public records, a preliminary investigation of the site and surrounding properties, screening for the presence of hazardous substances and underground storage tanks, and the preparation and issuance of a written report.

The ESAs that we have obtained have not revealed any environmental liability or compliance concerns that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, results of operations or liquidity, nor are we aware of any such liability. Nevertheless, it is possible that these ESAs do not reveal all environmental liabilities or that there are material environmental liabilities or compliance concerns that we are not aware of. Moreover, we cannot assure you that (i) future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose material environmental liability, or (ii) the current environmental condition of a property will not be affected by the condition of properties in the vicinity of the property (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us.

Risks related to our Adviser

We are dependent upon our key management personnel, who are employed by our Adviser, for our future success, particularly David Gladstone, Terry Lee Brubaker and George Stelljes III.

We are dependent on our senior management and other key management members to carry out our business and investment strategies. Our future success depends to a significant extent on the continued service and coordination of our senior management team, particularly David Gladstone, our chairman and chief executive officer, Terry Lee Brubaker, our vice chairman and chief operating officer, and George Stelljes III, our president and chief investment officer, all of whom are subject to an employment agreement with our Adviser. The departure of any of our executive officers or key employees could have a material adverse effect on our ability to implement our business strategy and to achieve our investment objectives.

Our success depends on the performance of our Adviser and if our Adviser makes inadvisable investment or management decisions, our operations could be materially adversely impacted.

Our ability to achieve our investment objectives and to pay distributions to our stockholders is dependent upon the performance of our Adviser in evaluating potential investments, selecting and negotiating property purchases and dispositions and mortgage loans, selecting tenants and borrowers, setting lease or mortgage loan terms and determining financing arrangements. Accomplishing these objectives on a cost-effective basis is largely a function of our Adviser's marketing capabilities, management of the investment process, ability to provide competent, attentive and efficient services and our access to financing sources on acceptable terms. Our stockholders have no opportunity to evaluate the terms of transactions or other economic or financial data concerning our investments and must rely entirely on the analytical and management abilities of our Adviser and the oversight of our board of directors. If our Adviser or our board of directors makes inadvisable investment or management decisions, our operations could be materially adversely impacted. As we grow, our Adviser may be required to hire, train, supervise and manage new employees. Our Adviser's failure to effectively manage our future growth could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may have conflicts of interest with our Adviser and other affiliates.

Our Adviser manages our business and locates, evaluates, recommends and negotiates the acquisition of our real estate investments. At the same time, our advisory agreement permits our Adviser to conduct other commercial activities and provide management and advisory services to other entities, including Gladstone Capital Corporation, Gladstone Investment Corporation and Gladstone Land Corporation, an entity affiliated with our chairman David Gladstone. Moreover, all of our officers and directors are also officers and directors of Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Investment Corporation, which actively make loans to and invest in small and medium-sized companies. As a result, we may from time to time have conflicts of interest with our Adviser in its management of our business and with Gladstone Capital and Gladstone Investment, which may arise primarily from the involvement of our Adviser, Gladstone Capital, Gladstone Investment, Gladstone Land and their affiliates in other activities that may conflict with our business.

Examples of these potential conflicts include:

- our Adviser may realize substantial compensation on account of its activities on our behalf, and may therefore, be motivated to approve acquisitions solely on the basis of increasing compensation to itself;
- we may experience competition with our affiliates for financing transactions;
- our Adviser may earn fee income from our borrowers or tenants; and
- our Adviser and other affiliates such as Gladstone Capital, Gladstone Investment and Gladstone Land could compete for the time and services of our officers and directors.

These and other conflicts of interest between us and our Adviser and other affiliates could have a material adverse effect on the operation of our business and the selection or management of our real estate investments.

Our Adviser is not obligated to provide a waiver of the incentive fee, which could negatively impact our earnings and our ability to maintain our current level of, or increase, distributions to our stockholders.

On January 1, 2007, the Amended Advisory Agreement became effective. In addition to providing for a base management fee based on our stockholders equity, this agreement contemplates a quarterly incentive fee based on our funds from operations. Our Adviser has the ability to issue a full or partial waiver of the incentive fee for current and future periods, however our Adviser is not required to issue this waiver. Any waiver issued by our Adviser is an unconditional and irrevocable waiver. If our Adviser does not issue this waiver in future quarters, it could negatively impact our earnings and may compromise our ability to maintain our current level of, or increase, distributions to our stockholders, which could have a material adverse impact on our stock price.

We may be obligated to pay our Adviser incentive compensation even if we incur a loss.

On January 1, 2007, the Amended Advisory Agreement became effective and entitled our Adviser to incentive compensation based on our FFO, which rewards the Adviser if our quarterly FFO (before giving effect to any incentive fee) exceeds 1.75% (7% annualized) of our total stockholders' equity (less the recorded value of any preferred stock). Our pre-incentive fee FFO for incentive compensation purposes excludes the effect of any unrealized gains, losses or other items that do not affect realized net income that we may incur in the fiscal quarter, even if such losses result in a net loss on our statement of operations for that quarter. Thus, we may be required to pay our Adviser incentive compensation for a fiscal quarter even if we incur a net loss for that quarter.

Financing Risks

Our business strategy relies heavily on external financing, which may expose us to risks associated with leverage such as restrictions on additional borrowing and payment of distributions, risks associated with balloon payments, and risk of loss of our equity upon foreclosure.

Our current business strategy involves the use of leverage so that we may make more investments than would otherwise be possible in order to maximize potential returns to stockholders. If the income generated by our properties and other assets fails to cover our debt service, we could be forced to reduce or eliminate distributions to our stockholders and may experience losses. We may borrow on a secured or unsecured basis.

Our ability to achieve our investment objectives will be affected by our ability to borrow money in sufficient amounts and on favorable terms. We expect that we will borrow money that will be secured by our properties and that these financing arrangements will contain customary covenants such as those that limit our ability, without the prior consent of the lender, to further mortgage the applicable property or to discontinue insurance coverage. In addition, our short-term line of credit contains, and any other credit facility we might enter into is likely to contain certain customary restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, and will specify debt ratios that we will be required to maintain. Accordingly, we may be unable to obtain the degree of leverage we believe to be optimal, which may cause us to have less cash for distribution to stockholders than we would have with an optimal amount of leverage. Our use of leverage could also make us more vulnerable to a downturn in our business or the economy generally. There is also a risk that a significant increase in the ratio of our indebtedness to the measures of asset value used by financial analysts may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

Some of our debt financing arrangements may require us to make lump-sum or “balloon” payments at maturity. Our ability to make a balloon payment at maturity is uncertain and may depend upon our ability to obtain additional financing or to sell the financed property. At the time the balloon payment is due, we may not be able to refinance the balloon payment on terms as favorable as the original loan or sell the property at a price sufficient to make the balloon payment, which could adversely affect the amount of distributions to our stockholders.

We intend to acquire additional properties by using our \$95 million short-term line of credit and by continuing to seek long-term financing, where we will borrow all or a portion of the purchase price of a potential acquisition and securing the loan with a mortgage on some or all of our existing real property. To date we have obtained approximately \$202 million in long-term financing, which we have used to acquire additional properties. If we are unable to make our debt payments as required, a lender could foreclose on the property securing its loan. This could cause us to lose part or all of our investment in such property which in turn could cause the value of our securities or the amount of distributions to our stockholders to be reduced.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect our results of operations.

We may experience interest rate volatility in connection with mortgage loans on our properties or other variable-rate debt that we may obtain from time to time. We currently have one variable rate loan, certain of our leases contain escalations based on market interest rates, and the interest rate on our existing line of credit is variable. We mitigate this risk by structuring such provisions to contain a minimum interest rate or escalation rate, as applicable. We are also exposed to the effects of interest rate changes as a result of the holding of our cash and cash equivalents in short-term, interest-bearing investments. A significant change in interest rates could have an adverse impact on the results of our operations. To date we have not entered into any derivative contracts, however certain of our mortgage loans and properties have embedded derivatives in the form of interest rate floors and ceilings. These embedded derivatives do not require separate accounting under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities.”

Risks of being a REIT

We may not qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, which would subject us to federal income tax on our taxable income at regular corporate rates, thereby reducing the amount of funds available for paying distributions to our stockholders.

We have historically operated and intend to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Our qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to meet various requirements set forth in the Internal Revenue Code concerning, among other things, the ownership of our outstanding common stock, the nature of our assets, the sources of our income and the amount of our distributions to our stockholders. The REIT qualification requirements are extremely complex, and interpretations of the federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that we will be successful in operating so as to qualify as a REIT. At any time new laws, interpretations or court decisions may change the federal tax laws relating to, or the federal income tax consequences of, qualification as a REIT. It is also possible that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations may cause our board of directors to revoke our REIT election, which it may do without stockholder approval.

If we lose or revoke our REIT status, we will face serious tax consequences that will substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to you because:

- we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income, we would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates and we might need to borrow money or sell assets in order to pay any such tax;

- we also could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we would be prevented from re-qualifying to be taxed as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, all distributions to stockholders would be subject to tax to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, provided, that the federal income tax rate on the taxable portion of such distributions is limited to 15% through 2008. If we were taxed as a regular corporation, corporate distributees might be eligible for the dividends received deduction, but we would not be required to make distributions to stockholders.

We have not sought a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service that we qualify as a REIT, nor do we intend to do so in the future.

An IRS determination that we do not qualify as a REIT would deprive our stockholders of the tax benefits of our REIT status only if the IRS determination is upheld in court or otherwise becomes final. To the extent that we challenge an IRS determination that we do not qualify as a REIT, we may incur legal expenses that would reduce our funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Failure to make required distributions or to satisfy certain income requirements would subject us to tax.

In order to qualify as a REIT, each year we must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income, other than any net capital gains. To the extent that we satisfy the distribution requirement but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any year are less than the sum of:

- 85% of our ordinary income for that year;
- 95% of our capital gain net income for that year; and
- 100% of our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

In addition, each year at least 95% of our gross income must be derived from passive sources in real estate and securities, and at least 75% of our gross income must be derived from real estate sources. If we fail to satisfy either of these gross income tests, but nonetheless continue to qualify as a REIT because we meet certain other requirements, we will incur a tax of up to 100% on the greater of the excess of 95% of our gross income over the amount of our qualifying income, or the excess of 75% of our gross income over the amount of our qualifying income.

We intend to pay out our income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the distribution requirement applicable to REITs and to satisfy the foregoing gross income tests and, thus, avoid corporate income taxes and the 4% excise tax. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell assets to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year. In the future, we may borrow funds to pay distributions to our stockholders and the limited partners of our Operating Partnership. Any funds that we borrow would subject us to interest rate and other market risks.

Because we must distribute a substantial portion of our net income to qualify as a REIT, we will be largely dependent on third-party sources of capital to fund our future capital needs.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our taxable income each year, excluding capital gains. Because of this distribution requirement, it is not likely that we will be able to fund a significant portion of our future capital needs, including property acquisitions, from retained earnings. Therefore, we will likely rely on public and private debt and equity capital to fund our business. This capital may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to additional capital depends on a number of things, including the market's perception of our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings. Moreover, additional debt financings may substantially increase our leverage.

Other Risks

We are subject to restrictions that may discourage a change of control. Certain provisions contained in our articles of incorporation and Maryland law may prohibit or restrict a change of control.

- Our articles of incorporation prohibit ownership of more than 9.8% of the outstanding shares of our capital stock by one person. This restriction may discourage a change of control and may deter individuals or entities from making tender offers for our capital stock, which offers might otherwise be financially attractive to our stockholders or which might cause a change in our management.
- Our board of directors are divided into three classes, with the term of the directors in each class expiring every third year. At each annual meeting of stockholders, the successors to the class of directors whose term expires at such meeting will be elected to hold office for a term expiring at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the third year following the year of their election. After election, a director may only be removed by our stockholders for cause. Election of directors for staggered terms with limited rights to remove directors makes it more difficult for a hostile bidder to acquire control of us. The existence of this provision may negatively impact the price of our securities and may discourage third-party bids to acquire our securities. This provision may reduce any premiums paid to stockholders in a change in control transaction.
- Certain provisions of Maryland law applicable to us prohibit business combinations with:
 - any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our common stock, referred to as an "interested stockholder;"
 - an affiliate of ours who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was an interested stockholder; or
 - an affiliate of an interested stockholder.

These prohibitions last for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder became an interested stockholder. Thereafter, any business combination with the interested stockholder must be recommended by our board of directors and approved by the affirmative vote of at least 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of our outstanding shares of common stock and two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of our common stock other than shares held by the interested stockholder. These requirements could have the effect of inhibiting a change in control even if a change in control were in our stockholders' interest. These provisions of Maryland law do not apply, however, to business combinations that are approved or exempted by our board of directors prior to the time that someone becomes an interested stockholder.

Market conditions could adversely affect the market price and trading volume of our securities.

The market price of our common and preferred stock may be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations and the trading volume in our common and preferred stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure you that the market price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future. Some market conditions that could negatively affect our share price or result in fluctuations in the price or trading volume of our securities include:

- price and volume fluctuations in the stock market from time to time, which are often unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies;
- significant volatility in the market price and trading volume of shares of REITs, real estate companies or other companies in our sector, which is not necessarily related to the performance of those companies;
- price and volume fluctuations in the stock market as a result of terrorist attacks, or speculation regarding future terrorist attacks, in the United States or abroad;
- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or distributions;
- changes in our funds from operations or earnings estimates or the publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry generally;
- actions by institutional stockholders;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- changes in regulatory policies or tax guidelines, particularly with respect to REITs; and
- investor confidence in the stock market.

Shares of common stock eligible for future sale may have adverse effects on our share price.

We cannot predict the effect, if any, of future sales of common stock, or the availability of shares for future sales, on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock (including shares of common stock issuable upon the conversion of units of our operating partnership that we may issue from time to time), or the perception that these sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

An increase in market interest rates may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities.

One of the factors that investors may consider in deciding whether to buy or sell our common stock or preferred stock is our distribution rate as a percentage of our share price, relative to market interest rates. If market interest rates increase, prospective investors may desire a higher distribution yield on our securities or seek securities paying higher dividends or interest. The market price of our securities likely will be based primarily on the earnings that we derive from rental income with respect to our properties, interest earned on our mortgage loans and our related distributions to stockholders, and not from the underlying appraised value of the properties themselves. As a result, interest rate fluctuations and capital market conditions are likely to affect the market price of our securities, and such effects could be significant. For instance, if interest rates rise without a corresponding increase in our distribution rate, the market price of our common stock could decrease because potential investors may require a higher distribution yield on our common stock as market rates on interest-bearing securities, such as bonds, rise. Additionally, because both our Series A and Series B Preferred Stock is entitled to receive dividends at a fixed rate (and any series of preferred stock we may authorize and issue in the future will also likely receive dividends at a fixed rate), any increase in interest rates is likely to result in a decrease in the market price of our Series A and Series B Preferred Stock or any future series of preferred stock.

Legislative or regulatory action could adversely affect investors.

In recent years, numerous legislative, judicial and administrative changes have been made in the provisions of the federal and state income tax laws applicable to investments in REIT shares. Additional changes to tax laws are likely to continue to occur in the future, and we cannot assure you that any such changes will not adversely affect the taxation of our stockholders. Any such changes could have an adverse effect on an investment in our shares or on the market value or the resale potential of our properties.

If our Operating Partnership fails to maintain its status as a partnership or other form of pass-through entity for federal income tax purposes, its income may be subject to taxation.

As we hold all of the ownership interests in our Operating Partnership, it is currently disregarded for income tax purposes. We intend that it will qualify as a partnership for income tax purposes upon the admission of additional partners; however, if the IRS were to successfully challenge the status of our Operating Partnership as a partnership, it would be taxable as a corporation. In such event, this would reduce the amount of distributions that our Operating Partnership could make to us. This could also result in our losing REIT status and becoming subject to a corporate level tax on our own income. This would substantially reduce our cash available to pay distributions and the return on your investment. In addition, if any of the entities through which our Operating Partnership owns its properties, in whole or in part, loses its characterization as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, it would be subject to taxation as a corporation, thereby reducing distributions to our Operating Partnership. Such a recharacterization of an underlying property owner could also threaten our ability to maintain REIT status.

Our potential participation in joint ventures creates additional risk.

We may participate in joint ventures or purchase properties jointly with other unaffiliated entities. There are additional risks involved in these types of transactions. These risks include the potential of our joint venture partner becoming bankrupt or our economic or business interests diverging. These diverging interests could, among other things, expose us to liabilities of the joint venture in excess of our proportionate share of these liabilities. The partition rights of each owner in a jointly owned property could reduce the value of each portion of the divided property.

Available Information

Copies of our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments, if any, to those reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge through our website at www.GladstoneCommercial.com and on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. A request for any of these reports may also be submitted to us by writing: Corporate Secretary, Gladstone Commercial Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 200, McLean, VA 22102.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

As of December 31, 2007, we owned 53 properties of which the details are outlined in the table below:

Property	Year Built/ Improvements	Date of Purchase	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy	Total Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007	Total Annualized Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (1)	Total Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot (2)	Year of Lease Expiration
208 South Rogers Lane (Raleigh NC)	1997	12/23/2003	58,926	100%	\$ 580,376	580,376	\$ 9.85	2015
3874 Highland Park NW (Canton, OH)	1994	1/30/2004	54,018	100%	\$ 412,114	412,114	\$ 7.63	2014
260 Springside Drive (Akron, OH)	1968/1999	4/29/2004	83,891	100%	\$ 1,077,943	1,077,943	\$ 12.85	2009/2015(3)
5815 Westpark Drive (Charlotte, NC)	1984/1995	6/30/2004	64,500	100%	\$ 960,323	960,323	\$ 14.89	2019
171 Great Oak Drive (Canton, NC)	1998	7/6/2004	228,000	100%	\$ 600,145	600,145	\$ 2.63	2024
Rt. 219, Tax Parcel No. 33-251-0246, (Snyder Township, PA)	1991	8/5/2004	290,000	100%	\$ 870,000	870,000	\$ 3.00	2014
9698 Old US Hwy. 52 (Lexington, NC)	1986	8/5/2004	154,000	100%	\$ 397,324	397,324	\$ 2.58	2014
9100 Highway 290 East (Austin, TX)	2001	9/16/2004	51,933	100%	\$ 751,333	751,333	\$ 14.47	2015
3701 E. Virginia Beach Blvd (Norfolk, VA)	1967	10/15/2004	25,797	100%	\$ 103,501	103,501	\$ 4.01	2021
13 Industrial Park Drive (Mt. Pocono, PA)	1995-1999	10/15/2004	223,275	100%	\$ 628,904	628,904	\$ 2.82	2021
6550 First Park Ten Boulevard (San Antonio, TX)	1999	2/10/2005	60,245	100%	\$ 769,793	769,793	\$ 12.78	2014
4630 Journal Street (Columbus, OH)	1995	2/10/2005	39,000	100%	\$ 308,105	308,105	\$ 7.90	2015
199 Sing Sing Road (Big Flats, NY)	2001	4/15/2005	120,000	100%	\$ 644,252	644,252	\$ 5.37	2013
2525 North Woodlawn Avenue (Wichita, KS)	2000	5/18/2005	69,287	100%	\$ 1,109,217	1,109,217	\$ 16.01	2012
725 & 737 Great Southwest Pkwy (Arlington, TX)	1966	5/26/2005	64,000	100%	\$ 580,115	580,115	\$ 9.06	2013
4032 Linden Avenue (Dayton, OH)	1956	6/30/2005	59,894	100%	\$ 268,042	268,042	\$ 4.48	2018
81 Corbett Way (Eatontown, NJ)	1991	7/7/2005	30,268	100%	\$ 536,324	536,324	\$ 17.72	2011
17 & 20 Veronica Avenue (Franklin Township, NJ)	1978	7/11/2005	183,000	100%	\$ 964,897	964,897	\$ 5.27	2020
150 & 170 Ridgeview Center Drive (Duncan, SC)	1984/2001/2007	7/14/2005	278,020	100%	\$ 1,996,695	1,996,695	\$ 7.18	2011
5656 Campus Parkway (St Louis, MO)	1977	8/5/2005	51,155	100%	\$ 289,928	289,928	\$ 5.67	2012

Item 2. Properties (Continued)

Property	Year Built/ Improvements	Date of Purchase	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy	Total Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007	Total Annualized Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (1)	Total Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot (2)	Year of Lease Expiration
914 Wohlert Street (Angola, IN)	1982	9/2/2005	52,080	100%	\$ 125,202	125,202	\$ 2.40	2020
800 Growth Parkway (Angola, IN)	1998	9/2/2005	50,000	100%	\$ 125,202	125,202	\$ 2.50	2020
802 East 11th Street (Rock Falls, IL)	1988	9/2/2005	52,000	100%	\$ 125,202	125,202	\$ 2.41	2020
2 Opportunity Way (Newburyport, MA)	1994	10/17/2005	70,598	100%	\$ 704,095	704,095	\$ 9.97	2012
255 Spring Street (Clintonville, WI)	1992	10/31/2005	291,142	100%	\$ 575,006	575,006	\$ 1.98	2020
5700 Lee Road (Maple Heights, OH)	1974	12/21/2005	347,218	100%	\$ 1,152,443	1,152,443	\$ 3.32	2015
7545 Midlothian Turnpike (Richmond, VA)	1972	12/30/2005	42,213	100%	\$ 722,866	722,866	\$ 17.12	2010
3930 Sunforest Court (Toledo, OH)	1979	12/30/2005	23,368	100%	\$ 327,152	327,152	\$ 14.00	2010
75 Canal Street (South Hadley, MA)	1978	2/15/2006	150,000	100%	\$ 359,673	359,673	\$ 2.40	2010
2101, 2109, 2201, 2215, 2301 Fox Drive (Champaign, IL)	1996	2/21/2006	108,262	100%	\$ 1,566,723	1,566,723	\$ 14.47	2013
2470 Highcrest Road (Roseville, MN)	1964	2/21/2006	359,540	100%	\$ 3,030,458	3,030,458	\$ 8.43	2012
12000 Portland Avenue South (Burnsville, MN)	1984	5/10/2006	114,100	100%	\$ 1,234,662	1,234,662	\$ 10.82	2015
14701 Anthony Avenue (Menomonee Falls, WI)	1986/2000	6/30/2006	125,692	100%	\$ 775,274	775,274	\$ 6.17	2016
1025 Birdsong Drive (Baytown, TX)	1997	7/11/2006	12,000	100%	\$ 254,121	254,121	\$ 21.18	2013
42400 Merrill Road (Sterling Heights, MI)	1979/1989	9/22/2006	532,869	100%	\$ 1,166,654	1,166,654	\$ 2.19	2016
2150, 2200 Pinson Valley Parkway (Birmingham, AL)	1961/1980	9/29/2006	63,514	100%	\$ 155,490	155,490	\$ 2.45	2016
2325 West Fairview Avenue (Montgomery, AL)	1962/1989	9/29/2006	29,472	100%	\$ 155,491	155,491	\$ 5.28	2016
5221 N Highway 763 (Columbia, MO)	1978	9/29/2006	16,275	100%	\$ 155,491	155,491	\$ 9.55	2016

Item 2. Properties (Continued)

Property	Year Built/ Improvements	Date of Purchase	Rentable Square Feet	Occupancy	Total Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007	Total Annualized Rental Revenue for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (1)	Total Annualized Rental Revenue per Occupied Square Foot (2)	Year of Lease Expiration
4690 Parkway Drive (Mason, OH)	2002	1/5/2007	60,000	100%	\$ 673,944	683,051	\$ 11.38	2013
201 South Rogers Lane (Raleigh, NC)	1994	2/16/2007	115,500	100%	\$ 593,611	680,356	\$ 5.89	2015
1110 West Tenkiller (Tulsa, OK)	2004	3/1/2007	238,310	100%	\$ 1,304,829	1,565,795	\$ 6.57	2019
3725 East 10th Court (Hialeah, FL)	1956/1992	3/9/2007	132,337	100%	\$ 806,780	1,000,140	\$ 7.56	2022
554 Clark Road (Tewksbury, MA)	1985/1989	5/17/2007	102,200	100%	\$ 573,107	921,888	\$ 9.02	2017
5324 Natorp Boulevard (Mason, OH)	2007	7/1/2007	21,264	100%	\$ 272,755	545,510	\$ 25.65	2027
7282 Willam Barry Boulevard (Cicero, NY)	2005	9/6/2007	71,880	100%	\$ 169,223	534,388	\$ 7.43	2020
1515 Arboretum Drive SE (Grand Rapids, MI)	2001	9/28/2007	63,235	100%	\$ 265,873	1,039,243	\$ 16.43	2016
4 Territorial Court (Bolingbrook, IL)	2002	9/28/2007	55,869	100%	\$ 159,985	625,349	\$ 11.19	2014
2339-2341 Lawrenceville Highway (Decatur, GA)	1989	12/13/2007	26,600	100%	\$ 14,775	305,690	\$ 11.49	2026
311 Phillip Boulevard (Lawrenceville, GA)	2005	12/13/2007	12,412	100%	\$ 14,775	305,690	\$ 24.63	2026
2096 McGee Road (Snellville, GA)	1986	12/13/2007	3,800	100%	\$ 14,776	305,710	\$ 80.45	2026
7174 Wheat Street (Covington, GA)	2000	12/13/2007	5,000	100%	\$ 14,776	305,710	\$ 61.14	2026
1055 Haw Creek Parkway (Cumming, GA)	2004	12/13/2007	13,919	100%	\$ 14,776	305,710	\$ 21.96	2026
1293 Wellbrook Circle (Conyers, GA)	1994	12/13/2007	6,400	100%	\$ 14,776	305,710	\$ 47.77	2026
Totals			<u>5,558,278</u>		<u>\$ 31,469,297</u>	<u>\$ 35,990,476</u>		

- (1) The amounts represented in total annualized rental revenue for the year ended December 31, 2007 have been calculated by taking the 2007 base rent and annualizing the partial-year results for properties acquired during 2007. Because annualized base rent is not derived from historical results that were accounted for in accordance with GAAP, historical results differ from the annualized amounts. Management believes this measure is useful to the readers of this table so they are able to calculate the total annualized rental revenue per square foot.
- (2) The amounts represented in this column were calculated by taking the total annualized revenue and dividing by the total rentable square feet.
- (3) Two tenants occupy this building, each with separate leases ending in different years.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not currently subject to any material legal proceedings, nor, to our knowledge, is any material legal proceeding threatened against us.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "GOOD." The following table reflects, by quarter, the high and low closing prices per share of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Market (for periods prior to July 1, 2006 the Nasdaq National Market) and the distributions per share for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Dividends are declared quarterly and paid monthly. Amounts presented represent the cumulative amount of the dividends declared for the months composing such quarter.

Quarter Ended	Market price per share		Distributions Declared Per Share
	High	Low	
3/31/2006	\$ 20.25	\$ 16.46	\$ 0.36
6/30/2006	\$ 20.40	\$ 17.68	\$ 0.36
9/30/2006	\$ 20.96	\$ 18.18	\$ 0.36
12/31/2006	\$ 22.19	\$ 19.80	\$ 0.36
3/31/2007	\$ 20.63	\$ 19.06	\$ 0.36
6/30/2007	\$ 20.40	\$ 19.01	\$ 0.36
9/30/2007	\$ 20.10	\$ 17.40	\$ 0.36
12/31/2007	\$ 19.45	\$ 15.75	\$ 0.36

In order to qualify as a REIT, we are required to make ordinary dividend distributions to our stockholders. The amount of these distributions must equal at least:

- the sum of (A) 90% of our "REIT taxable income" (computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction and capital gain) and (B) 90% of the net income (after tax), if any, from foreclosure property, less
- the sum of certain non-cash items.

For federal income tax purposes, distributions may consist of ordinary income, capital gains, nontaxable return of capital or a combination of those items. Distributions that exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits (calculated for tax purposes) constitute a return of capital rather than a dividend, which reduces a stockholder's basis in the shares of common stock and will not be taxable to the extent that the distribution equals or is less than the stockholder's basis in the stock. To the extent a distribution exceeds both current and accumulated earnings and profits and the stockholder's basis in the stock, that distribution will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of that stockholder's shares. Every year, we notify stockholders of the taxability of distributions paid during the preceding year.

As of February 15, 2008, there were approximately 7,218 beneficial owners of our common stock.

There were no unregistered sales of securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and for the period from February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003 is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. Certain amounts from prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to discontinued operations. The data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, included elsewhere in this report, and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included in Item 7 of this report.

	Year ended December 31, 2007	Year ended December 31, 2006	Year ended December 31, 2005	Year ended December 31, 2004	Period February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003
Operating Data:					
Total operating revenue	\$ 32,793,539	\$ 25,945,546	\$ 12,881,506	\$ 4,191,329	\$ 12,180
Total operating expenses	(15,821,630)	(14,067,096)	(7,185,040)	(3,276,735)	(557,148)
Other income (expense)	(10,940,394)	(8,521,419)	(2,185,509)	614,659	304,097
Income (loss) from continuing operations	6,031,515	3,357,031	3,510,957	1,529,253	(240,871)
Discontinued operations	108,714	1,015,797	90,988	94,675	—
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,140,229	\$ 4,372,828	\$ 3,601,945	\$ 1,623,928	\$ (240,871)
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(4,093,750)	(2,186,890)	—	—	—
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 2,046,479	\$ 2,185,938	\$ 3,601,945	\$ 1,623,928	\$ (240,871)

Share and Per Share Data:

Earnings per weighted average common share - basic					
Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock)	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.07)
Discontinued operations	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.01	—
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.07)

Earnings per weighted average common share - diluted					
Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock)	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.20	\$ (0.07)
Discontinued operations	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.01	—
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.07)

Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	8,565,264	7,827,781	7,670,219	7,649,855	3,229,119
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted	8,565,264	7,986,690	7,723,220	7,708,534	3,229,119
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 1.44	\$ 1.44	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.01

Supplemental Data:

Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$ 2,046,479	\$ 2,185,938	\$ 3,601,945	\$ 1,623,928	\$ (240,871)
Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	10,528,458	8,349,474	3,651,119	973,345	5,827
Less: Gain on sale of real estate, net of taxes paid	(78,667)	(1,106,590)	—	—	—
Funds from operations available to common stockholders (1)	12,496,270	9,428,822	7,253,064	2,597,273	(235,044)
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends (2)	1.4x	1.3x	2.4x	60.8x	—

Balance Sheet Data:

Real estate, before accumulated depreciation	\$ 340,500,406	\$ 243,713,542	\$ 165,043,639	\$ 61,251,455	\$ 5,440,772
Total assets	\$ 378,902,689	\$ 315,766,022	\$ 207,046,954	\$ 105,585,094	\$ 105,061,370
Mortgage notes payable, term loan and borrowings under the line of credit	\$ 226,520,471	\$ 154,494,438	\$ 105,118,961	\$ —	\$ —
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 142,368,068	\$ 152,224,176	\$ 98,948,536	\$ 102,692,693	\$ 104,750,655
Total common shares outstanding	8,565,264	8,565,264	7,672,000	7,667,000	7,642,000

- (1) Funds from Operations ("FFO") was developed by The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"), as a relative non-GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States) supplemental measure of operating performance of an equity REIT in order to recognize that income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined under GAAP. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, is net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO does not represent cash flows from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered an alternative to either net income (loss) as an indication of our performance or to cash flow from operations as a measure of liquidity or ability to make distributions. Comparison of FFO to similarly titled measures for other REITs may not necessarily be meaningful due to possible differences in the application of the NAREIT definition used by such REITs.

FFO available to common stockholders is FFO adjusted to subtract preferred share dividends. We believe that net income available to common stockholders is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO available to common stockholders.

Basic funds from operations per share, or Basic FFO per share, and diluted funds from operations per share, or Diluted FFO per share, is FFO available to common stockholders divided by weighted average common shares outstanding and FFO available to common stockholders divided by weighted average common shares outstanding on a diluted basis, respectively, during a period. We believe that FFO available to common stockholders, Basic FFO per share and Diluted FFO per share are useful to investors because they provide investors with a further context for evaluating our FFO results in the same manner that investors use net income and earnings per share, or EPS, in evaluating net income available to common stockholders. In addition, since most REITs provide FFO available to common stockholders, Basic FFO and Diluted FFO per share information to the investment community, we believe these are useful supplemental measures for comparing us to other REITs. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to FFO, Basic EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Basic FFO per share, and that diluted EPS is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to Diluted FFO per share.

The following table provides a reconciliation of our FFO for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, 2005, 2004 and for the period from February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003 to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, net income, and a computation of basic and diluted FFO per weighted average common share and basic and diluted net income per weighted average common share:

	Year ended December 31, 2007	Year ended December 31, 2006	Year ended December 31, 2005	Year ended December 31, 2004	Period February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003
Net income	\$ 6,140,229	\$ 4,372,828	\$ 3,601,945	\$ 1,623,928	\$ (240,871)
Less: Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(4,093,750)	(2,186,890)	—	—	—
Net income available to common stockholders	2,046,479	2,185,938	3,601,945	1,623,928	(240,871)
Add: Real estate depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	10,528,458	8,349,474	3,651,119	973,345	5,827
Less: Gain on sale of real estate, net of taxes paid	(78,667)	(1,106,590)	—	—	—
FFO available to common stockholders	\$ 12,496,270	\$ 9,428,822	\$ 7,253,064	2,597,723	\$ (235,044)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	8,565,264	7,827,781	7,670,219	7,649,855	3,229,119
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	8,565,264	7,986,690	7,723,220	7,708,534	3,229,119
Basic net income per weighted average common share	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.07)
Diluted net income per weighted average common share	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.21	\$ (0.07)
Basic FFO per weighted average common share	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.07)
Diluted FFO per weighted average common share	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.18	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.34	\$ (0.07)

- (2) The calculation of the ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends is below. "Earnings" consist of net income (loss) from continuing operations before fixed charges. "Fixed charges" consist of interest expense, amortization of deferred financing fees and the portion of operating lease expense that represents interest. The portion of operating lease expense that represents interest is calculated by dividing the amount of rent expense, allocated to us by our Adviser as part of the administration fee payable under the Amended Advisory Agreement, by three.

	For the year ended December 31, 2007	For the year ended December 31, 2006	For the year ended December 31, 2005	For the year ended December 31, 2004	For the period February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 6,031,515	\$ 3,357,031	\$ 3,510,957	\$ 1,529,253	\$ (240,871)
Add: fixed charges	15,670,067	11,490,476	2,494,245	25,565	7,830
Less: preferred dividends	(4,093,750)	(2,186,890)	—	—	—
Earnings	\$ 17,607,832	\$ 12,660,617	\$ 6,005,202	\$ 1,554,818	\$ (233,041)
Fixed Charges:					
Interest expense	10,847,346	8,041,412	2,187,586	—	—
Amortization of deferred financing fees	717,195	1,207,198	260,098	—	—
Estimated interest component of rent	11,776	54,976	46,561	25,565	7,830
Preferred dividends	4,093,750	2,186,890	—	—	—
Total fixed charges	15,670,067	11,490,476	2,494,245	25,565	7,830
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends	1.1	1.1	2.4	60.8	— (1)

(1) Note for the period February 14, 2003 through December 31, 2003 earnings, as defined, were insufficient to cover fixed charges by \$240,871.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and the notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

OVERVIEW**Our Investment Strategy**

We were incorporated under the General Corporation Laws of the State of Maryland on February 14, 2003 primarily for the purpose of investing in and owning net leased industrial and commercial real property and selectively making long-term industrial and commercial mortgage loans. Most of the portfolio of real estate we currently own is leased to a wide cross section of tenants ranging from small businesses to large public companies, many of which are corporations that do not have publicly rated debt. We have in the past entered into, and intend in the future to enter into, purchase agreements for real estate having triple net leases with terms of approximately 10 to 15 years and built in rental increases. Under a triple net lease, the tenant is required to pay all operating, maintenance and insurance costs and real estate taxes with respect to the leased property. We are actively communicating with buyout funds, real estate brokers and other third parties to locate properties for potential acquisition or to provide mortgage financing in an effort to build our portfolio. At December 31, 2007, we owned 53 properties totaling approximately 5.6 million square feet, and had one mortgage loan outstanding. All of our properties are fully leased and all tenants and borrowers are current and paying in accordance with their leases and loan, respectively. The total gross investment in these acquisitions and the mortgage loan investment was approximately \$387.1 million.

Recent Events

Investment Activities: During the year ended December 31, 2007, we acquired 14 properties and one leasehold interest totaling approximately 930,000 square feet, for a total gross investment of approximately \$104.2 million.

Financing Activities: During the year ended December 31, 2007, we borrowed approximately \$48.5 million pursuant to four long-term notes payable collateralized by security interests in 10 of our properties, closed a one-year term loan with KeyBank for \$20.0 million, and borrowed \$45.5 million under our line of credit, of which \$4.4 million remained outstanding at December 31, 2007, in order to fund acquisitions during the year.

Our Investment Adviser and Administrator

Gladstone Management Corporation, or our Adviser, is led by a management team which has extensive experience in our lines of business. Our Adviser also has a wholly-owned subsidiary, Gladstone Administration, LLC, or the Administrator, which employs our chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, controller, treasurer and their respective staffs. All of our executive officers are officers or directors, or both, of our Adviser and our Administrator.

Our Adviser and Administrator also provide investment advisory and administrative services to our affiliates, Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Investment Corporation, both publicly traded business development companies, as well as Gladstone Land Corporation, a private agricultural real estate company. All of our directors and executive officers serve as either directors or executive officers, or both, of Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Investment Corporation. In the future, our Adviser may provide investment advisory and administrative services to other funds, both public and private, of which it is the sponsor.

Our Adviser was organized as a corporation under the laws of the State of Delaware on July 2, 2002, and is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. Our Adviser is headquartered in McLean, Virginia, a suburb of Washington D.C., and also has offices in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Illinois, Texas and Washington.

Investment Advisory and Administration Agreements

We have been externally managed pursuant to a contractual investment advisory arrangement with our Adviser, under which our Adviser has directly employed all of our personnel and paid its payroll, benefits, and general expenses directly. Our initial investment advisory agreement with our Adviser, which we refer to as the Initial Advisory Agreement, was in place from August 12, 2003 through December 31, 2006. On January 1, 2007, we entered into an amended and restated investment advisory agreement with our Adviser, which we refer to as the Amended Advisory Agreement, and an administration agreement, which we refer to as the Administration Agreement, with our Administrator.

Under the terms of the Initial Advisory Agreement and the Amended Advisory Agreement, we were and remain responsible for all expenses incurred for our direct benefit. Examples of these expenses include legal, accounting, interest on short-term debt and mortgages, tax preparation, directors and officers insurance, stock transfer services, stockholder related fees, consulting and related fees. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the total amount of these expenses that we incurred was approximately \$13.7 million, \$11.6 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. All of these charges are incurred directly by us rather than by our Adviser for our benefit. Accordingly, we did not make any reimbursements to our Adviser for these amounts.

In addition, we are also responsible for all fees charged by third parties that are directly related to our business, which may include real estate brokerage fees, mortgage placement fees, lease-up fees and transaction structuring fees (although we may be able to pass some or all of such fees on to our tenants and borrowers). In the event that any of these expenses are incurred on our behalf by our Adviser, we are required to reimburse our Adviser on a dollar-for-dollar basis for all such amounts. During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, none of these expenses were incurred by our Adviser or by us directly. The actual amount of such fees that we incur in the future will depend largely upon the aggregate costs of the properties we acquire, the aggregate amount of mortgage loans we make, and the extent to which we are able to shift the burden of such fees to our tenants and borrowers. Accordingly, the amount of these fees that we will pay in the future is not determinable at this time. We do not presently expect that our Adviser will incur any of these fees on our behalf.

Management Services and Fees under the Initial Advisory Agreement

Pursuant to the Initial Advisory Agreement, we were required to reimburse our Adviser for our pro rata share of our Adviser's payroll and benefits expenses on an employee-by-employee basis, based on the percentage of each employee's time devoted to our matters. During the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005, these expenses were approximately \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

We were also required to reimburse our Adviser for our pro rata portion of all other expenses of our Adviser not reimbursed under the arrangements described above, which we refer to as overhead expenses, equal to the total overhead expenses of our Adviser, multiplied by the ratio of hours worked by our Adviser's employees on our projects to the total hours worked by our Adviser's employees. However, we were only required to reimburse our Adviser for our portion of its overhead expenses if the amount of payroll and benefits we reimbursed to our Adviser was less than 2.0% of our average invested assets for the year. Additionally, we were only required to reimburse our Adviser for overhead expenses up to the point that reimbursed overhead expenses and payroll and benefits expenses, on a combined basis, equaled 2.0% of our average invested assets for the year. Our Adviser billed us on a monthly basis for these amounts. Our Adviser was required to reimburse us annually for the amount by which amounts billed to and paid by us exceeded this 2.0% limit during a given year. The amounts never exceeded the 2.0% limit and, consequently, we never received reimbursement. During the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005, we reimbursed our Adviser approximately \$0.64 million and \$0.57 million, respectively, of overhead expenses.

Management Services and Fees under the Amended Advisory Agreement

The Amended Advisory Agreement provides for an annual base management fee equal to 2.0% of our total stockholders equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock, and an incentive fee based on funds from operations, or FFO. For purposes of calculating the incentive fee, FFO includes any realized capital gains and capital losses, less any dividends paid on preferred stock, but FFO does not include any unrealized capital gains or losses. The incentive fee will reward our Adviser if our quarterly FFO, before giving effect to any incentive fee ("pre-incentive fee FFO"), exceeds 1.75%, or 7% annualized, (the "hurdle rate") of total stockholders' equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock. Our Adviser will receive 100% of the amount of the pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds the hurdle rate, but is less than 2.1875% of our pre-incentive fee FFO. Our Adviser will also receive an incentive fee of 20% of the amount of our pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds 2.1875%. The incentive fee may be reduced because of our line of credit covenant which limits distributions to our stockholders to 95% of our funds from operations, or FFO.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the base management fee, based on the Amended Advisory Agreement was approximately \$1.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded an incentive fee of approximately \$2.6 million, offset by a credit from an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver issued by the Adviser of approximately \$2.3 million, for a net incentive fee for the year ended December 31, 2007 of approximately \$0.24 million. Our Board of Directors accepted our Advisers' offer to waive a portion of the incentive fee for the year ended December 31, 2007 in order to maintain the current level of distributions to our stockholders. Our Adviser has indicated that it intends to continue to waive all or a portion of the incentive fee in order to maintain the current level of distributions to our stockholders, however, our Adviser is not required to issue any waiver, in whole or in part.

Administration Agreement

Under the Administration Agreement, we pay separately for our allocable portion of our Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations including, but not limited to, rent for employees of our Administrator, and our allocable portion of the salaries and benefits expenses of our chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, controller, treasurer and their respective staffs. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we incurred approximately \$0.84 million, for the administration fee.

Critical Accounting Policies

Management believes our most critical accounting policies are revenue recognition (including straight-line rent), purchase price allocation, accounting for our investments in real estate, provision for loan losses, the accounting for our derivative and hedging activities, if any, and income taxes. Each of these items involves estimates that require management to make judgments that are subjective in nature. Management relies on its experience, collects historical data and current market data, and analyzes this information in order to arrive at what it believes to be reasonable estimates. Under different conditions or assumptions, materially different amounts could be reported related to the accounting policies described below. In addition, application of these accounting policies involves the exercise of judgments on the use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results could materially differ from these estimates. For a summary of all of our accounting policies, see Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July of 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No.48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 provides guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, and provides guidance on recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition of tax positions. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted FIN 48 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and the adoption had no impact on our results of operations.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (“SAB 108”). SAB 108 addresses how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements and requires registrants to consider the effect of all carry over and reversing effects of prior year misstatements when quantifying errors in current year financial statements. SAB 108 does not change the SEC’s previous guidance in SAB No. 99, “Materiality,” on evaluating the materiality of misstatements. A registrant applying the new guidance for the first time that identifies material errors in existence at the beginning of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006, may correct those errors through a one-time cumulative effect adjustment to beginning-of-year retained earnings. The cumulative effect alternative is available only if the application of the new guidance results in a conclusion that a material error exists as of the beginning of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006, and those misstatements were determined to be immaterial based on a proper application of the registrant’s previous method for quantifying misstatements. We adopted SAB 108 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and the adoption had no impact on our results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 beginning with the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2008. We believe there will be no impact of the adoption on our results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities” (“SFAS 159”). SFAS 159 allows entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We believe there will be no impact of the adoption on our results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS 141R”), which replaces SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations.” SFAS 141R significantly changes the accounting for acquisitions involving business combinations, as it requires that the assets and liabilities of all business combinations be recorded at fair value, with limited exceptions. SFAS 141R also requires that all expenses related to the acquisition be expensed as incurred, rather than capitalized into the cost of the acquisition as had been the previous accounting under SFAS 141. SFAS 141R is effective on a prospective basis for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual period subsequent to December 15, 2008.

Results of Operations

Our weighted-average yield on the portfolio as of December 31, 2007 was approximately 9.55%. The weighted-average yield was calculated by taking the annualized straight-line rent, reflected as rental income on our consolidated statements of operations, or mortgage interest payments, reflected as interest income from mortgage notes receivable on our consolidated statements of operations, of each acquisition or mortgage loan as a percentage of the acquisition or loan price, as applicable. The weighted-average yield does not take into account the interest expense incurred on the financings placed on our properties.

A comparison of our operating results for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 is below.

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2007	2006	\$ Change	% Change
Operating revenues				
Rental income	\$ 31,469,297	\$ 23,964,035	\$ 7,505,262	31%
Interest income from mortgage notes receivable	1,013,889	1,845,231	(831,342)	-45%
Tenant recovery revenue	310,353	136,280	174,073	128%
Total operating revenues	<u>32,793,539</u>	<u>25,945,546</u>	<u>6,847,993</u>	26%
Operating expenses				
Depreciation and amortization	10,528,458	8,297,174	2,231,284	27%
Property operating expenses	821,790	645,792	175,998	27%
Base management fee	1,858,120	2,902,053	(1,043,933)	-36%
Incentive fee	2,564,365	—	2,564,365	100%
Administration fee	837,898	—	837,898	100%
Professional fees	625,349	953,066	(327,717)	-34%
Insurance	214,141	211,562	2,579	1%
Directors fees	229,000	140,000	89,000	64%
Stockholder related expense	244,629	311,049	(66,420)	-21%
Asset retirement obligation expense	116,478	129,142	(12,664)	-10%
General and administrative	102,999	82,847	20,152	24%
Stock option compensation expense	—	394,411	(394,411)	-100%
Total operating expenses before credit from Adviser	<u>18,143,227</u>	<u>14,067,096</u>	<u>4,076,131</u>	29%
Credit to incentive fee	(2,321,597)	—	(2,321,597)	100%
Total operating expenses	<u>15,821,630</u>	<u>14,067,096</u>	<u>1,754,534</u>	12%
Other income (expense)				
Interest income from temporary investments	354,249	76,772	277,477	361%
Interest income - employee loans	222,051	125,788	96,263	77%
Other income	47,847	380,915	(333,068)	100%
Interest expense	(11,564,541)	(9,104,894)	(2,459,647)	-27%
Total other expense	<u>(10,940,394)</u>	<u>(8,521,419)</u>	<u>(2,418,975)</u>	28%
Income from continuing operations	<u>6,031,515</u>	<u>3,357,031</u>	<u>2,674,484</u>	80%
Discontinued operations				
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(3,312)	112,145	(115,457)	-103%
Net realized income (loss) from foreign currency transactions	33,359	(202,938)	236,297	-116%
Gain on sale of real estate	—	1,422,026	(1,422,026)	100%
Taxes refunded (paid) on sale of real estate	78,667	(315,436)	394,103	-100%
Total discontinued operations	<u>108,714</u>	<u>1,015,797</u>	<u>(907,083)</u>	-89%
Net income	<u>6,140,229</u>	<u>4,372,828</u>	<u>1,767,401</u>	40%
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(4,093,750)	(2,186,890)	(1,906,860)	87%
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 2,046,479</u>	<u>\$ 2,185,938</u>	<u>\$ (139,459)</u>	-6%

Operating Revenues

Rental income increased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to the acquisition of 14 properties and one leasehold interest during 2007, and properties acquired during 2006 that were held for the full year in 2007.

Interest income from mortgage loans decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, due to the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property in August 2006. We acquired the building in satisfaction of the mortgage loan in September 2006.

Tenant recovery revenue increased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, as a result of an increase in the number of tenants which reimbursed us for insurance expense and the reimbursement of the ground lease payments on our Tulsa, Oklahoma property acquired in March 2007, which was partially offset by an over-accrual of franchise taxes in 2005, which resulted in a credit to tenant recovery revenue in 2006.

Operating Expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, as a result of the 14 properties and one leasehold interest acquired during 2007, coupled with properties acquired during 2006 that were held for the full period in 2007.

Property operating expenses consist of franchise taxes, management fees, insurance, ground lease payments on our Tulsa, Oklahoma property acquired in March 2007 and overhead expenses paid on behalf of certain of our properties. Property operating expenses increased during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of the 14 properties and one leasehold interest acquired during 2007, and the corresponding increase in franchise taxes, management fees and insurance.

The base management fee for the year ended December 31, 2007 was computed under the terms of the Amended Advisory Agreement and the base management fee for the years ended December 31, 2006 was computed under the terms of the Initial Advisory Agreement. Both agreements are described above under "*Investment Advisory and Administration Agreements.*"

On January 1, 2007, the Amended Advisory Agreement, which includes an incentive fee component, became effective. The calculation of the incentive fee is described in detail above under "*Investment Advisory and Administration Agreements.*" There was no incentive fee recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006, as the Amended Advisory Agreement was not in effect.

On January 1, 2007, the Administration Agreement became effective and we began paying our Administrator amounts equal to our allocable portion of our Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations under the Administration Agreement. The calculation of the administrative fee is described above under "*Investment Advisory and Administration Agreements.*" There was no administration fee recorded during the year ended December 31, 2006, as the Administration Agreement was not in effect.

Professional fees, consisting primarily of legal and accounting fees, decreased during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of fees paid in connection with the formation of the Massachusetts Business Trusts in 2006, fees paid in connection with the audit of the modification to the stock option plan in 2006, legal fees incurred in 2006 related to the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property, and lower audit fees paid in 2007 than 2006, partially offset by an increase in accounting fees paid in 2007 related to the implementation of FIN 48 and increased tax return preparation fees associated with the increased number of states in which we were required to file tax returns.

Insurance expense consists of the premiums paid for directors and officers insurance, which is renewed annually each September. Insurance expense remained flat for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006 because of increased premiums for the period from September 2006 through September 2007 as compared to the previous year, partially offset by a decrease in premiums for the period from September 2007 through September 2008 as compared to the previous year.

Directors' fees increased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, due to an increase in the annual fees each board member collects, coupled with an increased number of committee meetings. The board member annual fees increased in 2007 as a result of the termination of our stock option plan.

Stockholder related expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of costs associated with the solicitation of the stockholder vote for the annual meeting in 2006, partially offset by the increase in our annual fees due to NASDAQ, and increased costs associated with printing the annual report.

Asset retirement obligation expense decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of the expense recorded during 2006, which included expense related to prior periods. The expense related to prior periods was immaterial to the 2006 earnings.

General and administrative expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of conferences attended during 2007 and the expense associated with traveling to these conferences, coupled with an increase in our annual fees due to The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT.

There was no stock option compensation expense recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007 as we terminated our stock option plan on December 31, 2006. Stock option compensation expense for the year ended December 31, 2006 was the result of the adoption of the SFAS 123 (R) (revised 2004) "Share-based Payment."

Other Income and Expense

Interest income from temporary investments increased during the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily a result of the increase in our average cash balances during the year ended December 31, 2007, as a result of long-term financings on 10 properties that closed subsequent to December 31, 2006.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, interest income on employee loans increased, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase was a result of 11 employee loans that were originated during 2006 in which interest was earned for the full year in 2007.

Other income decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily because of income received from defaulted interest, expenses, and late penalties from the settlement on the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property in 2006.

Interest expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. This was primarily a result of the long-term financings we closed on 10 properties in 2007, partially offset by a decreased amount outstanding on our line of credit.

Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations relates to our two Canadian properties, which were sold in July 2006. Income for the year ended December 31, 2006 was a result of operations from the Canadian properties held during that time, whereas the expense for the year ended December 31, 2007 was a result of expenses related to the entities that we incurred subsequent to the sale. We also paid and fully accrued approximately \$315,000 in taxes related to the gain on the sale in 2006. The 2006 tax returns were subsequently filed in March of 2007, and the amount owed was approximately \$236,000. We received a refund of approximately \$79,000 in 2007, which is reflected under taxes paid on sale of real estate.

Net income available to common stockholders

Net income available to common stockholders decreased for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2006. This decrease was primarily a result of the gain on sale of the two Canadian properties recognized in July of 2006, coupled with increased interest expense from the increased number of properties which have long-term financing and the preferred dividends paid. This is partially offset by the increase in our portfolio of investments in the past year and the corresponding increase in our revenues and the other events described above, and the elimination of stock option expense during 2007.

A comparison of our operating results for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 is below:

	For the year ended December 31,			
	2006	2005	\$ Change	% Change
Operating revenues				
Rental income	\$ 23,964,035	\$ 10,853,903	\$ 13,110,132	121%
Interest income from mortgage notes receivable	1,845,231	1,915,795	(70,564)	-4%
Tenant recovery revenue	136,280	111,808	24,472	22%
Total operating revenues	25,945,546	12,881,506	13,064,040	101%
Operating expenses				
Depreciation and amortization	8,297,174	3,521,128	4,776,046	136%
Property operating expenses	645,792	406,277	239,515	59%
Base management fee	2,902,053	2,118,040	784,013	37%
Professional fees	953,066	563,205	389,861	69%
Insurance	211,562	196,657	14,905	8%
Directors fees	140,000	96,219	43,781	46%
Stockholder related expense	311,049	215,907	95,142	44%
Asset retirement obligation expense	129,142	—	129,142	100%
General and administrative	82,847	67,607	15,240	23%
Stock option compensation expense	394,411	—	394,411	100%
Total operating expenses	14,067,096	7,185,040	6,882,056	96%
Other income (expense)				
Interest income from temporary investments	76,772	126,826	(50,054)	-39%
Interest income - employee loans	125,788	21,041	104,747	498%
Other income	380,915	—	380,915	100%
Interest expense	(9,104,894)	(2,333,376)	(6,771,518)	-290%
Total other expense	(8,521,419)	(2,185,509)	(6,335,910)	290%
Income from continuing operations	3,357,031	3,510,957	(153,926)	-4%
Discontinued operations				
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	112,145	309,545	(197,400)	-64%
Net realized income (loss) from foreign currency transactions	(202,938)	(6,278)	(196,660)	-3133%
Net unrealized loss from foreign currency transactions	—	(212,279)	212,279	100%
Gain on sale of real estate	1,422,026	—	1,422,026	100%
Taxes refunded (paid) on sale of real estate	(315,436)	—	(315,436)	100%
Total discontinued operations	1,015,797	90,988	924,809	1016%
Net income	4,372,828	3,601,945	770,883	21%
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(2,186,890)	—	(2,186,890)	100%
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,185,938	\$ 3,601,945	\$ (1,416,007)	-39%

Operating Revenues

Rental income increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily due to the acquisition of 10 properties during 2006, and properties acquired during 2005 that were held for the full year in 2006.

Interest income from mortgage loans decreased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, due to the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property and the resulting non-accrual of interest income beginning in August 2006 for that mortgage loan. We acquired the building in satisfaction of the mortgage loan in September of 2006. The decrease was partially offset by the mortgage loan acquired in 2005 on the McLean, Virginia property that was held for the full year in 2006.

Tenant recovery revenue increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, as a result of an increase in the number of tenants which reimburse us for insurance expense, partially offset by an over-accrual of franchise taxes in 2005, which resulted in a credit to tenant recovery revenue in 2006.

Operating Expenses

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased in the year ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, as a result of the 10 property acquisitions during 2006, coupled with properties acquired during 2005 that were held for the full year in 2006, and the approximately \$141,000 adjustment to depreciation recorded in 2006, related to the re-classification of assets from real estate to lease intangibles.

Property operating expenses consist of franchise taxes, management fees, insurance and overhead expenses paid on behalf of certain of our properties. Property operating expenses increased during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of the 10 properties acquired during 2006.

The management advisory fee for the year ended December 31, 2006 increased, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of the increased number of our Adviser's employees who spent time on our matters, coupled with an increase in overhead expenses incurred by our Adviser for our benefit. The management advisory fee consists of the reimbursement of expenses, including direct allocation of employee salaries and benefits, as well as general overhead expense, to our Adviser in accordance with the terms of the Initial Advisory Agreement.

Professional fees, consisting primarily of legal and accounting fees, increased during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of an increase to the overall accounting fees including increased fees for the audit of the financial statements, the fees associated with the modification of our stock option plan, the accounting fees associated with the formation of GCLP Business Trust I and GCLP Business Trust II which is a business trust formed under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and an increased number of tax returns filed as a result of the increased portfolio of investments. Legal fees also increased year over year as a result of the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property, increased fees related to the filing of our proxy statement, and the fees surrounding the filing of the Registration Statement on Form S-8 and the Schedule TO filed in connection with the offer to amend the terms of options outstanding under the 2003 Equity Incentive Plan.

Insurance expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily a result of an increase in premiums for directors and officers insurance from the prior year.

Directors' fees increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, because of an increased number of committee meetings and the addition of a board member during 2006.

Stockholder related expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, as a result of costs associated with the annual report, the proxy statement, and the increased costs associated with the solicitation of the shareholder vote for the annual meeting.

Asset retirement obligation expense is the result of the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 47 "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations" ("FIN 47"). FIN 47 requires an entity to recognize a liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 clarifies that the term "Conditional Asset Retirement Obligation" refers to a legal obligation (pursuant to existing laws or by contract) to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. We have accrued a liability for disposal related to all properties constructed prior to 1985 that have, or may have, asbestos present in the building. The asset retirement obligation expense is the result of the accretion of the asset retirement obligation liability accrued on our books. There was no asset retirement obligation expense recorded for the year ended December 31, 2005.

General and administrative expenses increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005, primarily as a result of the increased number of conferences attended during 2006 and the associated travel to the conferences, partially offset by a decrease in office expense.

Stock option compensation expense is the result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) replaces SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" and supersedes Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"). Under the modified prospective approach, stock-based compensation expense was recorded for the unvested portion of previously issued awards that remain outstanding at January 1, 2006 using the same estimate of the grant date fair value and the same attribution method used to determine the pro forma disclosure under SFAS No. 123. We were required to record a one-time, non-cash expense as a result of the amendment and acceleration of the options during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 of \$314,593. There was no stock option compensation expense recorded for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Other Income and Expense

Interest income on cash and cash equivalents decreased during the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. The decrease was primarily a result of the increase in our portfolio of investments in real estate and mortgage loans, resulting in lower average cash balances invested.

During the year ended December 31, 2006, interest income on employee loans increased, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This increase was a result of 12 employee loans that were originated during 2006, coupled with an employee loan that was originated during 2005, where interest was earned for the full year 2006.

Other income for the year ended December 31, 2006 consisted of income received from defaulted interest, expenses, and late penalties from the settlement on the defaulted mortgage loan on the Sterling Heights, Michigan property.

Interest expense increased for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This was primarily a result of the financings on 14 properties in 2006, coupled with the write-off of approximately \$590,000 in deferred financing fees related to our BB&T line of credit that was terminated in 2006 and an increased amount outstanding on our line of credit during 2006, partially offset by the assumption of the mortgage notes on the Canadian properties by the purchaser of the properties.

Discontinued Operations

Net realized foreign currency gains and losses represents the gains and losses in connection with the translation of monthly rental payments, the valuation of cash and the payment of quarterly taxes denominated in Canadian dollars, and the previously unrealized foreign currency losses associated with the valuation of the deferred rent assets and mortgage notes payable that became a realized foreign currency loss as of the date of sale. The net unrealized gains and losses from foreign currency transactions represents the valuation of the deferred rent asset and the mortgage notes payable related to the two Canadian properties in 2005, prior to the date of sale. Increases and decreases related to foreign currency gains and losses are a result of the fluctuation of the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and the Canadian dollar, which arise from our two Canadian properties, which were sold in July 2006.

On July 21, 2006, we sold our two properties located in Canada for approximately \$6.9 million dollars, for a gain on the sale of approximately \$1.4 million. We paid approximately \$315,000 in taxes related to the gain on the sale, the 2006 tax returns were subsequently filed in March of 2007, and the amount owed was approximately \$236,000. We received a refund of approximately \$79,000, which is reflected under taxes paid on sale of real estate in 2007.

Net income available to common stockholders

Net income available to common stockholders decreased for year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2005. This decrease is primarily a result of the increased interest expense from the increased number of properties which have long-term financing, increased depreciation from the one time depreciation adjustment discussed above, stock option expense, asset retirement obligation expense and the preferred dividends paid, partially offset by the gain on sale of the two Canadian properties, the increase in our portfolio of investments in the past year and the corresponding increase in our revenues and the other events described above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and Cash Equivalents

At December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$1.4 million in cash and cash equivalents. We have access to our existing line of credit, have obtained mortgages on 33 of our properties and have a \$20.0 million one-year term loan. We expect to obtain additional mortgages collateralized by some or all of our real property in the future. We anticipate continuing to borrow funds and issuing additional equity securities in order to obtain additional capital. We expect that the funds from our line of credit, our short-term loan and additional mortgages and securities offerings will provide us with sufficient capital to make additional investments and to fund our continuing operations for the foreseeable future.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2007, consisting primarily of the items described in "Results of Operations," was approximately \$16.1 million, compared to net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2007 was approximately \$107.6 million, which primarily consisted of the purchase of 14 properties and one leasehold interest, as described in the "Recent Events" section above, as compared to net cash used in investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2006 of approximately \$48.5 million, which primarily consisted of the purchase of 10 properties.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2007 was approximately \$56.9 million, which primarily consisted of the proceeds received from the long-term financing of 10 of our properties and the proceeds from the short-term loan, partially offset by payments for deferred financing costs, principal repayments on mortgage notes payable and dividend payments. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2006 was approximately \$71.2 million, which consisted of the proceeds received from the long-term financing of 14 of our properties, the proceeds from borrowing under our line of credit, and the proceeds from the offering of our preferred stock, partially offset by principal repayments on the mortgage notes payable, repayments on the line of credit, payments for deferred financing costs and dividend payments to our stockholders.

Future Capital Needs

As of December 31, 2007, we had investments in 53 real properties for a net value, including intangible assets, of approximately \$353.8 million and one mortgage loan receivable for \$10.0 million. During 2008 and beyond, we expect to complete additional acquisitions of real estate and to originate additional mortgage notes. We intend to fund our contractual obligations and acquire additional properties in 2008 by borrowing all or a portion of the purchase price and collateralizing the mortgages with some or all of our real property, by borrowing against our existing line of credit, or by issuing additional equity securities. We may also use these funds for general corporate needs. If we are unable to make any required debt payments on any borrowings we make in the future, our lenders could foreclose on the properties collateralizing their loans, which could cause us to lose part or all of our investments in such properties. In addition, we need sufficient capital to fund our dividends, and we may require credits to our management fees, issued from our Adviser, in order to meet these obligations.

Line of Credit

On December 29, 2006, we entered into a \$75 million senior revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of banks led by KeyBank National Association, which matures on December 29, 2009 with an option to extend for an additional year. We subsequently increased the availability under our line of credit to \$95 million in November 2007. The credit facility replaced a previous facility led by Branch Banking and Trust, or BB&T, which was terminated upon the closing of the new line. Upon termination of the credit facility with BB&T, we wrote off approximately \$590,000 in unamortized deferred financing fees. The interest rate charged on the advances under the facility is based on the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, the prime rate or the federal funds rate, depending on market conditions, and adjusts periodically. The unused portion of the line of credit is subject to a fee of 0.15% per year. Our ability to access this funding source is subject to us continuing to meet customary lending requirements such as compliance with financial and operating covenants and meeting certain lending limits. One such covenant requires us to limit distributions to our stockholders to 95% of our funds from operations, or FFO, beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2007. In addition, the maximum amount we may draw under this agreement is based on a percentage of the value of properties pledged as collateral to the banks, which must meet agreed upon eligibility standards. As we arrange for long-term mortgages for these pledged properties, the banks will release the properties from the line of credit and reduce the availability under the line of credit by the advanced amount of the removed property. Conversely, as we purchase new properties meeting the eligibility standards, we may pledge these new properties to obtain additional advances under this agreement. We may use the advances under the line of credit for both general corporate purposes and the acquisition of new investments. As of December 31 2007, there was \$4.4 million outstanding under the line of credit at an interest rate of approximately 6.95%. At December 31, 2007, the remaining borrowing capacity available under the line of credit was \$90.6 million.

Short-Term Loan

On December 21, 2007, we entered into a \$20 million unsecured term loan with KeyBank National Association ("KeyBank"), which matures on December 21, 2008, with an option to extend for an additional six months. We can exercise the option to extend the term as long as we are in compliance with all covenants under the loan at the time we exercise the option. The interest rate charged on the loan is based on LIBOR the prime rate or the federal funds rate, depending on market conditions, and adjusts periodically. Our ability to maintain this funding source is subject to us continuing to meet customary lending requirements such as compliance with financial and operating covenants and meeting certain lending limits. One such covenant requires us to limit distributions to our stockholders to 95% of our funds from operations, or FFO, beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2007. As of December 31 2007, the interest rate on the short-term loan was approximately 7.44%.

Mortgage Notes Payable

On February 8, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we borrowed approximately \$13.8 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from KeyBank which is collateralized by security interests in our Austin, Texas property, our Richmond, Virginia property and our Baytown, Texas property in the amounts of approximately \$6.5 million, \$5.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.0% per year, and we may repay this note with 60 days notice to KeyBank, but would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of March 1, 2017, and we used the proceeds from the note for acquisitions of properties.

On June 5, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we borrowed approximately \$14.2 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, which is collateralized by security interests in our Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin property, our Hazelton, Missouri property and our Raleigh, North Carolina property in the amounts of approximately \$6.9 million, \$2.4 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.11% per year and we may not repay this note prior to the last three months of the term, or we would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of June 8, 2017. We used the proceeds from the note to pay down the outstanding balance on our line of credit.

On September 6, 2007, we assumed approximately \$4.5 million of indebtedness pursuant to a long-term note payable from Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corporation, in connection with our acquisition, on the same date, of a property located in Cicero, New York. The financing was recorded at fair value at the time of acquisition. The note accrues interest at a rate of 5.81% per year, and we may not repay this note prior to the last two months of the term, or we would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note matures on December 11, 2015.

On October 15, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we borrowed \$16.0 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, which is collateralized by security interests in our Mt. Pocono, Pennsylvania property, our Raleigh, North Carolina property and our Mason, Ohio property in the amounts of approximately \$5.4 million, \$5.6 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.63% per year and we may not repay this note prior to the last three months of the term, or we would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of November 8, 2017. We used the proceeds from the note to pay down the outstanding balance on our line of credit.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have any significant off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in Item 303 (a)(4)(ii) of SEC Regulation S-K as of December 31, 2007.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007:

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long-Term Debt Obligations (1)	\$ 226,520,471	\$ 25,989,518	\$ 4,643,603	\$ 5,629,383	\$ 190,257,967
Interest on Long-Term Debt Obligations (2)	95,381,368	11,922,066	23,418,073	22,857,413	37,183,816
Lease Obligations (3)	1,814,400	134,400	268,800	268,800	1,142,400
Purchase Obligations (4)	20,700,000	20,700,000	—	—	—
Total	\$ 344,416,239	\$ 58,745,984	\$ 28,330,476	\$ 28,755,596	\$ 228,584,183

- (1) Long-term debt obligations represent borrowings under our line of credit, term loan and mortgage notes payable that were outstanding as of December 31, 2007. The line of credit matures in December 2009 and the term loan matures in December 2008.
- (2) Interest on long-term debt obligations does not include interest on our borrowings under our line of credit or term loan. The balance and interest rate on our line of credit and term loan are variable and, thus, the amount of interest can not be calculated for purposes of this table.
- (3) Lease obligations represent the ground lease payments due on our Tulsa, Oklahoma property. The lease expires in June 2021.
- (4) The purchase obligations reflected in the above table represents commitments outstanding at December 31, 2007 to purchase real estate, of which one property was purchased in January 2008 for approximately \$7.2 million.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. The primary risk that we believe we will be exposed to is interest rate risk. We currently own one variable rate loan receivable, certain of our leases contain escalations based on market interest rates, and the interest rate on our existing line of credit is variable. We seek to mitigate this risk by structuring such provisions of our loans and leases to contain a minimum interest rate or escalation rate, as applicable. We are also exposed to the effects of interest rate changes as a result of the holding of our cash and cash equivalents in short-term, interest-bearing investments.

To illustrate the potential impact of changes in interest rates on our net income in 2006 and 2007, we have performed the following analysis, which assumes that our balance sheet remains constant and no further actions beyond a minimum interest rate or escalation rate are taken to alter our existing interest rate sensitivity.

Under this analysis, if the one month LIBOR rate had increased by 1% in 2007 and 2006, our interest income and rental revenue would have increased by \$36,500 in both years and our interest expense on the line of credit and short-term loan would have increased by \$248,066 in 2007, for a net decrease in our net income available to common stockholders of \$211,566, or 10.3%, in 2007 and a net increase in our net income available to common stockholders of \$36,500, or 1.7%, in 2006. If the one month LIBOR had decreased by 1% in 2007 and 2006, our interest income and rental revenue would have decreased by \$77,167 and \$36,500, respectively, and our interest expense on the line of credit and short-term loan would have increased by \$248,066 in 2007, for a net increase in our net income available to common stockholders of \$170,899, or 8.3%, in 2007, and a net decrease in our net income available to common stockholders of \$36,500, or 1.7%, in 2006. There was no outstanding balance on our line of credit at the end of 2006 and the short-term loan was not in place in 2006, thus the hypothetical change in the LIBOR rates had no impact in 2006. Although management believes that this analysis is indicative of our existing interest rate sensitivity, it does not adjust for potential changes in credit quality, size and composition of our loan and lease portfolio on the balance sheet and other business developments that could affect net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the results under this hypothetical analysis.

As of December 31, 2007, the fair value of our fixed rate debt outstanding was approximately \$192.6 million. Interest rate fluctuations may affect the fair value of our fixed rate debt instruments. If interest rates on our fixed rate debt instruments, using rates at December 31, 2007, had been one percentage point higher or lower, the fair value of those debt instruments on that date would have decreased or increased, respectively, by approximately \$12.0 million.

In the future, we may be exposed to additional effects of interest rate changes primarily as a result of our line of credit, term loan or long-term debt used to maintain liquidity and fund expansion of our real estate investment portfolio and operations. Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower overall borrowing costs. To achieve this objective, we will borrow primarily at fixed rates or variable rates with the lowest margins available and, in some cases, with the ability to convert variable rates to fixed rates. We may also enter into derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps and caps in order to mitigate the interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. We will not enter into derivative or interest rate transactions for speculative purposes.

In addition to changes in interest rates, the value of our real estate is subject to fluctuations based on changes in local and regional economic conditions and changes in the creditworthiness of lessees, all of which may affect our ability to refinance debt if necessary.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Financial Statements

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

Schedule IV – Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of
Gladstone Commercial Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gladstone Commercial Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on criteria established in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
McLean, VA
February 27, 2008

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	<u>December 31, 2007</u>	<u>December 31, 2006</u>
ASSETS		
Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$15,738,634 and \$8,595,419, respectively	\$ 324,761,772	\$ 235,118,123
Lease intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$7,560,928 and \$4,175,685, respectively	28,989,556	23,416,696
Mortgage notes receivable	10,000,000	10,000,000
Cash and cash equivalents	1,356,408	36,005,686
Restricted cash	1,914,067	1,225,162
Funds held in escrow	1,401,695	1,635,819
Interest receivable – mortgage note	86,111	—
Interest receivable – employees	39,280	43,716
Deferred rent receivable	5,094,799	3,607,279
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,184,492 and \$1,467,297, respectively	4,405,129	3,713,004
Prepaid expenses	522,348	521,290
Deposits on real estate	300,000	300,000
Accounts receivable	<u>31,524</u>	<u>179,247</u>
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 378,902,689</u>	<u>\$ 315,766,022</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
LIABILITIES		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 202,120,471	\$ 154,494,438
Short-term loan and borrowings under line of credit	24,400,000	—
Deferred rent liability	3,933,035	4,718,599
Asset retirement obligation liability	1,811,752	1,631,294
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	778,949	673,410
Due to adviser	784,301	183,042
Rent received in advance, security deposits and funds held in escrow	<u>2,706,113</u>	<u>1,841,063</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>236,534,621</u>	<u>163,541,846</u>
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Redeemable preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; \$25 liquidation preference; 2,300,000 shares authorized and 2,150,000 shares issued and outstanding	2,150	2,150
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 17,700,000 shares authorized and 8,565,264 shares issued and outstanding	8,565	8,565
Additional paid in capital	170,640,979	170,640,979
Notes receivable - employees	(2,769,923)	(3,201,322)
Distributions in excess of accumulated earnings	<u>(25,513,703)</u>	<u>(15,226,196)</u>
Total Stockholders' Equity	<u>142,368,068</u>	<u>152,224,176</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	<u>\$ 378,902,689</u>	<u>\$ 315,766,022</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Operating revenues			
Rental income	\$ 31,469,297	\$ 23,964,035	\$ 10,853,903
Interest income from mortgage notes receivable	1,013,889	1,845,231	1,915,795
Tenant recovery revenue	310,353	136,280	111,808
Total operating revenues	<u>32,793,539</u>	<u>25,945,546</u>	<u>12,881,506</u>
Operating expenses			
Depreciation and amortization	10,528,458	8,297,174	3,521,128
Property operating expenses	821,790	645,792	406,277
Base management fee	1,858,120	2,902,053	2,118,040
Incentive fee	2,564,365	—	—
Administration fee	837,898	—	—
Professional fees	625,349	953,066	563,205
Insurance	214,141	211,562	196,657
Directors fees	229,000	140,000	96,219
Stockholder related expenses	244,629	311,049	215,907
Asset retirement obligation expense	116,478	129,142	—
General and administrative	102,999	82,847	67,607
Stock option compensation expense	—	394,411	—
Total operating expenses before credit from Adviser	<u>18,143,227</u>	<u>14,067,096</u>	<u>7,185,040</u>
Credit to incentive fee	(2,321,597)	—	—
Total operating expenses	<u>15,821,630</u>	<u>14,067,096</u>	<u>7,185,040</u>
Other income (expense)			
Interest income from temporary investments	354,249	76,772	126,826
Interest income - employee loans	222,051	125,788	21,041
Other income	47,847	380,915	—
Interest expense	(11,564,541)	(9,104,894)	(2,333,376)
Total other expense	<u>(10,940,394)</u>	<u>(8,521,419)</u>	<u>(2,185,509)</u>
Income from continuing operations	<u>6,031,515</u>	<u>3,357,031</u>	<u>3,510,957</u>
Discontinued operations			
(Loss) income from discontinued operations	(3,312)	112,145	309,545
Net realized income (loss) from foreign currency transactions	33,359	(202,938)	(6,278)
Net unrealized loss from foreign currency transactions	—	—	(212,279)
Gain on sale of real estate	—	1,422,026	—
Taxes refunded (paid) on sale of real estate	78,667	(315,436)	—
Total discontinued operations	<u>108,714</u>	<u>1,015,797</u>	<u>90,988</u>
Net income	<u>6,140,229</u>	<u>4,372,828</u>	<u>3,601,945</u>
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	<u>(4,093,750)</u>	<u>(2,186,890)</u>	<u>—</u>
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 2,046,479</u>	<u>\$ 2,185,938</u>	<u>\$ 3,601,945</u>
Earnings per weighted average common share - basic			
Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock)	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.46
Discontinued operations	0.01	0.13	0.01
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 0.28</u>	<u>\$ 0.47</u>
Earnings per weighted average common share - diluted			
Income from continuing operations (net of dividends attributable to preferred stock)	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.46
Discontinued operations	0.01	0.13	0.01
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 0.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.47</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding			
Basic	<u>8,565,264</u>	<u>7,827,781</u>	<u>7,670,219</u>
Diluted	<u>8,565,264</u>	<u>7,986,690</u>	<u>7,723,220</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock	Preferred Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Notes Receivable From Sale of Common Stock	Distributions in Excess of Accumulated Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity
Issuance of Common Stock Under Stock Option Plan	5	—	74,995	(75,000)	—	—
Repayment of Principal on Notes Receivable	—	—	—	17,718	—	17,718
Distributions Declared to Common Stockholders	—	—	—	—	(7,363,820)	(7,363,820)
Net income	—	—	—	—	3,601,945	3,601,945
Balance at December 31, 2005	<u>\$ 7,672</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 105,502,544</u>	<u>\$ (432,282)</u>	<u>\$ (6,129,398)</u>	<u>\$ 98,948,536</u>
Issuance of Common Stock Under Stock Option Plan	893	—	13,650,453	(2,769,954)	—	10,881,392
Issuance of Preferred Stock	—	2,150	53,747,850	—	—	53,750,000
Public Offering Costs	—	—	(2,654,279)	—	—	(2,654,279)
Stock Option Compensation Expense	—	—	394,411	—	—	394,411
Repayment of Principal on Notes Receivable	—	—	—	914	—	914
Distributions Declared to Common and Preferred Stockholders	—	—	—	—	(13,469,626)	(13,469,626)
Net income	—	—	—	—	4,372,828	4,372,828
Balance at December 31, 2006	<u>\$ 8,565</u>	<u>\$ 2,150</u>	<u>\$ 170,640,979</u>	<u>\$ (3,201,322)</u>	<u>\$ (15,226,196)</u>	<u>\$ 152,224,176</u>
Repayment of Principal on Notes Receivable	—	—	—	431,399	—	431,399
Distributions Declared to Common and Preferred Stockholders	—	—	—	—	(16,427,736)	(16,427,736)
Net income	—	—	—	—	6,140,229	6,140,229
Balance at December 31, 2007	<u>\$ 8,565</u>	<u>\$ 2,150</u>	<u>\$ 170,640,979</u>	<u>\$ (2,769,923)</u>	<u>\$ (25,513,703)</u>	<u>\$ 142,368,068</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 6,140,229	\$ 4,372,828	\$ 3,601,945
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	10,528,458	8,349,474	3,651,119
Amortization of deferred financing costs, including discontinued operations	717,195	1,207,198	260,099
Amortization of deferred rent asset	253,496	253,496	178,070
Amortization of deferred rent liability	(785,564)	(696,261)	—
Asset retirement obligation expense, including discontinued operations	116,478	139,074	—
Stock compensation	—	394,411	—
Increase in mortgage notes payable due to change in value of foreign currency	—	202,066	209,395
Value of building acquired in excess of mortgage note satisfied, applied to interest income	—	(335,701)	—
Gain on sale of real estate	—	(1,422,026)	—
(Increase) decrease in mortgage interest receivable	(86,111)	70,749	(5,954)
Decrease (increase) in employee interest receivable	4,436	(43,716)	4,792
Increase in deferred rent receivable	(1,741,016)	(1,270,159)	(562,133)
Decrease (increase) in prepaid expenses and other assets	146,665	(89,913)	(425,120)
Increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and amount due adviser	625,398	196,294	359,537
Increase in rent received in advance	176,145	268,037	133,798
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>16,095,809</u>	<u>11,595,851</u>	<u>7,405,548</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Real estate investments	(105,599,587)	(48,339,307)	(117,531,731)
Proceeds from sales of real estate	—	2,102,567	—
Issuance of mortgage note receivable	—	—	(10,000,000)
Principal repayments on mortgage notes receivable	—	44,742	81,902
Net payments to lenders for reserves held in escrow	(1,338,904)	(3,346,216)	(1,041,292)
(Decrease) increase in restricted cash	(688,905)	749,274	(513,761)
Deposits on future acquisitions	(2,110,000)	(900,000)	(2,686,000)
Deposits applied against real estate investments	2,110,000	1,200,000	1,986,000
Refunds of deposits on real estate	—	—	150,000
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(107,627,396)</u>	<u>(48,488,940)</u>	<u>(129,554,882)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from share issuance	—	65,089,026	—
Redemption of shares for payment of taxes	—	(457,634)	—
Offering costs	—	(2,654,279)	—
Borrowings under mortgage notes payable	48,521,690	68,055,000	61,419,179
Principal repayments on mortgage notes payable	(895,657)	(604,318)	(70,479)
Principal repayments on employee notes receivable from sale of common stock	431,399	914	17,718
Borrowings from short-term loan and line of credit	65,500,000	71,400,400	85,460,000
Repayments on line of credit	(41,100,000)	(114,960,400)	(41,900,000)
Increase in reserves from tenants	1,885,361	1,574,464	158,646
Increase in security deposits	376,572	427,951	355,115
Payments for deferred financing costs	(1,409,320)	(3,242,881)	(2,021,115)
Dividends paid for common and preferred	(16,427,736)	(13,469,627)	(8,283,860)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>56,882,309</u>	<u>71,158,616</u>	<u>95,135,204</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(34,649,278)	34,265,527	(27,014,130)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	36,005,686	1,740,159	28,754,289
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 1,356,408</u>	<u>\$ 36,005,686</u>	<u>\$ 1,740,159</u>
Cash paid during period for interest	<u>\$ 10,693,440</u>	<u>\$ 8,045,342</u>	<u>\$ 2,014,236</u>
NON-CASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Increase in asset retirement obligation	<u>\$ 180,458</u>	<u>\$ 1,631,294</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Additions to real estate included in accounts payable, accrued expenses, and amount due adviser	<u>\$ 81,400</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
NON-CASH FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Fixed rate debt assumed in connection with acquisitions	<u>\$ 4,506,689</u>	<u>\$ 30,129,654</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Assumption of mortgage notes payable by buyer	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4,846,925</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Notes receivable issued in exchange for common stock associated with the exercise of employee stock options	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,769,954</u>	<u>\$ 75,000</u>
Acquisition of building in satisfaction of mortgage note receivable	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 11,316,774</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Gladstone Commercial Corporation (the “Company”) is a Maryland corporation that operates in a manner so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for federal income tax purposes and was incorporated on February 14, 2003 under the General Corporation Law of Maryland for the purpose of engaging in the business of investing in real estate properties net leased to creditworthy entities and making mortgage loans to creditworthy entities. Subject to certain restrictions and limitations, the business of the Company is managed by Gladstone Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the “Adviser”).

Subsidiaries

The Company conducts substantially all of its operations through a subsidiary, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, (the “Operating Partnership”). As the Company currently owns all of the general and limited partnership interests of the Operating Partnership through GCLP Business Trust I and II as disclosed below, the financial position and results of operations of the Operating Partnership are consolidated with those of the Company.

Gladstone Commercial Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Commercial Partners”) and a subsidiary of the Company, was organized to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized in Delaware. Commercial Partners has the power to make and perform all contracts and to engage in all activities to carry out the purposes of the Company, and all other powers available to it as a limited liability company. As the Company currently owns all of the membership interests of Commercial Partners, the financial position and results of operations of Commercial Partners are consolidated with those of the Company.

Gladstone Lending, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“Gladstone Lending”), and a subsidiary of the Company, was created to conduct all operations related to real estate mortgage loans of the Company. As the Operating Partnership currently owns all of the membership interests of Gladstone Lending, the financial position and results of operations of Gladstone Lending are consolidated with those of the Company.

Gladstone Commercial Advisers, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Commercial Advisers”) and a subsidiary of the Company, is a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”), which was created to collect all non-qualifying income related to the Company’s real estate portfolio. It is currently anticipated that this income will predominately consist of fees received by the Company related to the leasing of real estate. There have been no such fees earned to date. Since the Company owns 100% of the voting securities of Commercial Advisers, the financial position and results of operations of Commercial Advisers are consolidated with those of the Company.

GCLP Business Trust I and GCLP Business Trust II, subsidiaries of the Company, each are business trusts formed under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on December 28, 2005. The Company transferred its 99% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership to GCLP Business Trust I in exchange for 100 trust shares. Commercial Partners transferred its 1% general partnership interest in the Operating Partnership to GCLP Business Trust II in exchange for 100 trust shares.

Investments in real estate

The Company records investments in real estate at cost and capitalizes improvements and replacements when they extend the useful life or improve the efficiency of the asset. The Company expenses costs of repairs and maintenance as incurred. The Company computes depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of 39 years for buildings and improvements, five to seven years for equipment and fixtures and the shorter of the useful life or the remaining lease term for tenant improvements and leasehold interests.

The Company accounts for its acquisitions of real estate in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 141, “Business Combinations,” which requires the purchase price of real estate to be allocated to the acquired tangible assets and liabilities, consisting of land, building, tenant improvements, long-term debt and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, the value of in-place leases, the value of unamortized lease origination costs and the value of tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values.

Management’s estimates of value are made using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers (e.g., discounted cash flow analysis). Factors considered by management in its analysis include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. The Company also considers information obtained about each property as a result of its pre-acquisition due diligence, marketing and leasing activities in estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets and liabilities acquired. In estimating carrying costs, management also includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, which primarily range from nine to eighteen months, depending on specific local market conditions. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases including leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses to the extent that such costs are not already incurred in connection with a new lease origination as part of the transaction.

The Company allocates purchase price to the fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property by valuing the property as if it were vacant. The “as-if-vacant” value is allocated to land, building, and tenant improvements based on management’s determination of the relative fair values of these assets. Real estate depreciation expense on these tangible assets, including discontinued operations, was \$7,143,215, \$5,351,412 and \$2,623,753 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 respectively.

Above-market and below-market in-place lease values for owned properties are recorded based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management’s estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease. The capitalized above-market lease values, included in the accompanying balance sheet as part of deferred rent receivable, are amortized as a reduction of rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. Total amortization related to above-market lease values was \$253,496, \$253,496 and \$178,070 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 respectively. The capitalized below-market lease values, included in the accompanying balance sheet as deferred rent liability, are amortized as an increase to rental income over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases. Total amortization related to below-market lease values was \$785,564 and \$696,261 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 respectively. There was no amortization related to below-market lease values in 2005.

The total amount of the remaining intangible assets acquired, which consist of in-place lease values, unamortized lease origination costs, and customer relationship intangible values, are allocated based on management’s evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant’s lease and the Company’s overall relationship with that respective tenant. Characteristics to be considered by management in allocating these values include the nature and extent of our existing business relationships with the tenant, growth prospects for developing new business with the tenant, the tenant’s credit quality and expectations of lease renewals (including those existing under the terms of the lease agreement), among other factors.

The value of in-place leases and unamortized lease origination costs are amortized to expense over the remaining term of the respective leases, which generally range from five to twenty years. The value of customer relationship intangibles, which is the benefit to the Company resulting from the likelihood of an existing tenant renewing its lease, are amortized to expense over the remaining term and any anticipated renewal periods in the respective leases, but in no event does the amortization period for intangible assets exceed the remaining depreciable life of the building. Should a tenant terminate its lease, the unamortized portion of the above-market and below-market lease values, in-place lease values, unamortized lease origination costs and customer relationship intangibles will be charged to expense. Total amortization expense related to these intangible assets, including discontinued operations, was \$3,385,243, \$2,998,062 and \$1,027,367 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 respectively.

The following table summarizes the net value of other intangible assets and the accumulated amortization for each intangible asset class:

	December 31, 2007		December 31, 2006	
	Lease Intangibles	Accumulated Amortization	Lease Intangibles	Accumulated Amortization
In-place leases	\$ 12,660,732	\$ (3,414,868)	\$ 10,738,319	\$ (1,907,668)
Leasing costs	9,290,026	(2,114,233)	5,891,099	(1,267,829)
Customer relationships	14,599,726	(2,031,827)	10,962,963	(1,000,188)
	<u>\$ 36,550,484</u>	<u>\$ (7,560,928)</u>	<u>\$ 27,592,381</u>	<u>\$ (4,175,685)</u>

The estimated aggregate amortization expense for the next five fiscal years is as follows:

Year	Estimated Amortization Expense
2008	\$ 3,442,888
2009	3,314,527
2010	3,232,433
2011	3,089,169
2012	2,736,211

Impairment

Investments in Real Estate

The Company accounts for the impairment of real estate in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," which requires that the Company periodically review the carrying value of each property to determine if circumstances that indicate impairment in the carrying value of the investment exist or that depreciation periods should be modified. If circumstances support the possibility of impairment, the Company prepares a projection of the undiscounted future cash flows, without interest charges, of the specific property and determines if the investment in such property is recoverable. If impairment is indicated, the carrying value of the property would be written down to its estimated fair value based on the Company's best estimate of the property's discounted future cash flows. There have been no impairments recognized on the Company's real estate assets at December 31, 2007.

Provision for Loan Losses

The Company's accounting policies require that it reflect in its financial statements an allowance for estimated credit losses with respect to mortgage loans it has made based upon its evaluation of known and inherent risks associated with its private lending assets. Management reflects provisions for loan losses based upon its assessment of general market conditions, its internal risk management policies and credit risk rating system, industry loss experience, its assessment of the likelihood of delinquencies or defaults, and the value of the collateral underlying its investments. Actual losses, if any, could ultimately differ from these estimates. There have been no provisions for loan losses in the Company's history.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to cash and have a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents; except that any such investments purchased with funds held in escrow or similar accounts are classified as restricted cash. Items classified as cash equivalents include commercial paper and money-market funds. All of the Company's cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2007 were held in the custody of two financial institutions, and the Company's balance at times may exceed federally insurable limits. The Company mitigates this risk by depositing funds with major financial institutions.

Restricted cash

Restricted cash consists of security deposits and funds held in escrow for certain tenants. The funds held in escrow are for capital improvements, taxes, insurance and other replacement reserves for certain of our tenants. These funds will be released to the tenants upon completion of agreed upon tasks as specified in the lease agreements, mainly consisting of maintenance and repairs on the buildings, and when evidence of insurance and tax payments has been submitted to the Company.

Funds held in escrow

Funds held in escrow consist of funds held by certain of the Company's lenders for properties held as collateral by these lenders. These funds consist of replacement reserves for capital improvements, repairs and maintenance, insurance and taxes. These funds will be released to the Company upon completion of agreed upon tasks as specified in the mortgage agreements, mainly consisting of maintenance and repairs on the buildings, and when evidence of insurance and tax payments has been submitted to the lenders.

Deferred financing costs

Deferred financing costs consist of costs incurred to obtain long-term financing, including legal fees, origination fees, and administrative fees. The costs are deferred and amortized using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method, over the term of the financing secured. The Company incurred \$1,409,320, \$3,242,881, and \$2,021,115 in deferred financing costs during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total amortization expense related to deferred financing costs, including discontinued operations, was \$717,195, \$1,207,198 and \$260,099 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 respectively. Amortization of financing costs are included in the interest expense line item in the consolidated financial statements.

Revenue recognition

Rental revenue includes rents that each tenant pays in accordance with the terms of its respective lease reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable term of the lease. Certain of the Company's leases currently contain rental increases at specified intervals, and straight-line basis accounting requires the Company to record an asset, and include in revenues, deferred rent receivable that will be received if the tenant makes all rent payments required through the expiration of the initial term of the lease. Deferred rent receivable in the accompanying balance sheet includes the cumulative difference between rental revenue as recorded on a straight line basis and rents received from the tenants in accordance with the lease terms, along with the capitalized above-market lease values of certain acquired properties.

Accordingly, the Company determines, in its judgment, to what extent the deferred rent receivable applicable to each specific tenant is collectible. The Company reviews deferred rent receivable, as it relates to straight line rents, on a quarterly basis and takes into consideration the tenant's payment history, the financial condition of the tenant, business conditions in the industry in which the tenant operates and economic conditions in the area in which the property is located. In the event that the collectibility of deferred rent with respect to any given tenant is in doubt, the Company records an increase in the allowance for uncollectible accounts or records a direct write-off of the specific rent receivable, which would have an adverse effect on the net income for the year in which the reserve is increased or the direct write-off is recorded and would decrease total assets and stockholders' equity. No such reserves have been recorded as of December 31, 2007.

Management considers its loans and other lending investments to be held-for-investment. The Company reflects held-for-investment investments at amortized cost less allowance for loan losses, acquisition premiums or discounts, and deferred loan fees. On occasion, the Company may acquire loans at small premiums or discounts based on the credit characteristics of such loans. These premiums or discounts are recognized as yield adjustments over the lives of the related loans. Loan origination or exit fees, as well as direct loan origination costs, are also deferred and recognized over the lives of the related loans as yield adjustments. If loans with premiums, discounts, loan origination or exit fees are prepaid, the Company immediately recognizes the unamortized portion as a decrease or increase in the prepayment gain or loss. Interest income is recognized using the effective interest method applied on a loan-by-loan basis. Prepayment penalties or yield maintenance payments from borrowers are recognized as additional income when received.

Certain of our mortgage loans and leases have embedded derivatives in the form of interest rate floors and ceilings. These embedded derivatives do not require separate accounting under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities."

Income taxes

The Company has operated and intends to continue to operate in a manner that will allow it to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and accordingly will not be subject to federal income taxes on amounts distributed to stockholders (except income from foreclosure property), provided it distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meets certain other conditions. To the extent that the Company satisfies the distribution requirement but distributes less than 100% of its taxable income, the Company will be subject to federal corporate income tax on its undistributed income.

Commercial Advisers is a wholly-owned TRS that is subject to federal and state income taxes. Though Commercial Advisers has had no activity to date, the Company would account for any future income taxes in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Under SFAS No. 109, the Company accounts for income taxes using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases.

In July of 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes-an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 provides guidance for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, and provides guidance on recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition of tax positions. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted FIN 48 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's results of operations.

Segment information

SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" provides standards for public companies relating to the reporting of financial and descriptive information about their operating segments in financial statements. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker or decision making group in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Company management is the chief decision making group. As discussed in Note 10, the Company's operations are derived from two operating segments, one segment purchases real estate (land, buildings and other improvements), which is simultaneously leased to existing users, and the other segment originates mortgage loans and collects principal and interest payments.

Foreign Currency Transactions

The Company purchased two properties in Canada in October of 2004. These properties were classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2006, and were sold in July 2006. All gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are reflected in discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Rental payments from these properties were received in Canadian dollars. In accordance with SFAS No. 52, "Foreign Currency Translation," the rental revenue received was recorded using the exchange rate as of the transaction date, which was the first day of each month. In addition to rental payments that were denominated in Canadian dollars, the Company also had a bank account in Canada and the long-term financings on the two Canadian properties were also issued in Canadian dollars. All cash, deferred rent assets and mortgage notes payable related to the Canadian properties were re-valued at each balance sheet date to reflect the then current exchange rate. The gains or losses from the valuation of the cash were recorded on the income statement as a realized gain or loss, and the valuation of the deferred rent assets and mortgage notes payable was recorded on the income statement as unrealized gains or losses on the translation of assets and liabilities. A realized foreign currency gain of \$33,359 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007. Realized foreign currency losses of \$202,938 and \$6,278 were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, and 2005, respectively. A realized gain of \$1,422,026 related to the sale of the Canadian properties was recognized for the year ended December 31, 2006. An unrealized foreign currency loss of \$212,279 was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2005. There were no unrealized foreign currency losses during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These realized gains and losses were from the valuation of cash, tax payments made to the Canadian government, and the previously unrealized foreign currency losses associated with the valuation of the deferred rent assets and mortgage notes payable that became realized foreign currency losses as of the date of sale.

Asset retirement obligations

In March of 2005, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 47, "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations" ("FIN 47"). FIN 47 requires an entity to recognize a liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation when incurred if the liability can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 clarifies that the term "Conditional Asset Retirement Obligation" refers to a legal obligation (pursuant to existing laws or by contract) to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the entity. FIN 47 also clarifies when an entity would have sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. The Company has accrued a liability and corresponding increase to the cost of the related properties for disposal related to all properties constructed prior to 1985 that have, or may have, asbestos present in the building. The Company accrued a liability during the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$63,980 related to properties acquired during the period. The Company also recorded expense of \$116,478 and \$139,074, during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 respectively, including discontinued operations, related to the cumulative accretion of the obligation. The Company adopted FIN 47 as of December 31, 2005, but did not record the liability and the related cumulative effect as of December 31, 2005 because the Company deemed the impact of its initial estimates immaterial and worked to further refine these estimates.

Real estate held for sale and discontinued operations

SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," requires that the results of operations of any properties which have been sold, or are held for sale, be presented as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements in both current and prior periods presented. Income items related to held for sale properties are listed separately on the Company's consolidated income statement. Real estate assets held for sale are measured at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value, less the cost to sell, and are listed separately on the Company's consolidated balance sheet for the current period. Once properties are listed as held for sale, no further depreciation is recorded.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ("SAB 108"). SAB 108 addresses how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements and requires registrants to consider the effect of all carry over and reversing effects of prior year misstatements when quantifying errors in current year financial statements. SAB 108 does not change the SEC's previous guidance in SAB No. 99, "Materiality," on evaluating the materiality of misstatements. A registrant applying the new guidance for the first time that identifies material errors in existence at the beginning of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006, may correct those errors through a one-time cumulative effect adjustment to beginning-of-year retained earnings. The cumulative effect alternative is available only if the application of the new guidance results in a conclusion that a material error exists as of the beginning of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006, and those misstatements were determined to be immaterial based on a proper application of the registrant's previous method for quantifying misstatements. The Company adopted SAB 108 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is required to adopt the provisions of SFAS 157 beginning with the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2008. The Company believes there will be no impact of the adoption on its results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 allows entities to measure at fair value many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company adopted SFAS 159 effective for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2007, and the adoption had no impact on the Company's results of operations. The Company believes there will be no impact of the adoption on its results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations," SFAS No. 141(R), which replaces SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). SFAS 141R significantly changes the accounting for acquisitions involving business combinations, as it requires that the assets and liabilities of all business combinations be recorded at fair value, with limited exceptions. SFAS 141R also requires that all expenses related to the acquisition be expensed as incurred, rather than capitalized into the cost of the acquisition as had been the previous accounting under SFAS 141. SFAS 141R is effective on a prospective basis for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual period subsequent to December 15, 2008.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts from prior years' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

2. Management Advisory Fee

The Company has been externally managed pursuant to a contractual investment advisory arrangement with its Adviser, under which its Adviser has directly employed all of the Company's personnel and paid its payroll, benefits, and general expenses directly. The Company's initial investment advisory agreement with its Adviser was in place from August 12, 2003 through December 31, 2006 (the "Initial Advisory Agreement"). On January 1, 2007, the Company entered into an amended and restated investment advisory agreement with its Adviser (the "Amended Advisory Agreement") and an administration agreement (the "Administration Agreement") with Gladstone Administration, LLC (the "Administrator"). The management services and fees in effect under the Initial Advisory, Amended Advisory and Administration Agreements are described below.

Initial Advisory Agreement

Under the Initial Advisory Agreement, the Company was required to reimburse its Adviser for its pro rata share of its Adviser's payroll and benefits expenses on an employee-by-employee basis, based on the percentage of each employee's time devoted to the Company's matters. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, these expenses were approximately \$2,266,000 and \$1,547,000, respectively.

The Company was also required to reimburse its Adviser for its pro rata portion of all other expenses of its Adviser not reimbursed under the Initial Advisory Agreement ("overhead expenses"), equal to the total overhead expenses of its Adviser, multiplied by the ratio of hours worked by its Adviser's employees on the Company's projects to the total hours worked by its Adviser's employees. However, the Company was only required to reimburse its Adviser for its portion of its overhead expenses if the amount of payroll and benefits the Company reimbursed to its Adviser was less than 2.0% of the Company's average invested assets for the year. Additionally, the Company was only required to reimburse its Adviser for overhead expenses up to the point that reimbursed overhead expenses and payroll and benefits expenses, on a combined basis, equaled 2.0% of the Company's average invested assets for the year. The Adviser billed the Company on a monthly basis for these amounts. The Adviser was required to reimburse the Company annually for the amount by which overhead expenses billed to and paid by the Company exceeded this combined 2.0% limit during a given year. The overhead expenses never exceeded the combined 2.0% limit and, consequently, the Company never received any reimbursement. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company reimbursed its Adviser approximately \$636,000 and \$571,000, respectively, of overhead expenses.

Amended Advisory Agreement

The Amended Advisory Agreement provides for an annual base management fee equal to 2% of the Company's total stockholders equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock, and an incentive fee based on funds from operations ("FFO"). For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded a base management fee of \$1,858,120. For purposes of calculating the incentive fee, FFO includes any realized capital gains and capital losses, less any dividends paid on preferred stock, but FFO does not include any unrealized capital gains or losses. The incentive fee will reward the Adviser if the Company's quarterly FFO, before giving effect to any incentive fee ("pre-incentive fee FFO"), exceeds 1.75%, or 7% annualized, (the "hurdle rate") of total stockholders' equity, less the recorded value of any preferred stock. The Adviser will receive 100% of the amount of the pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds the hurdle rate, but is less than 2.1875% of the Company's pre-incentive fee FFO. The Adviser will also receive an incentive fee of 20% of the amount of the Company's pre-incentive fee FFO that exceeds 2.1875%.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded an incentive fee of \$2,564,365 offset by a credit from an unconditional and irrevocable voluntary waiver issued by the Adviser of \$2,321,597, for a net incentive fee for the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$242,768. The board of directors of the Company accepted the Adviser's offer to waive a portion of the incentive fee for the year ended December 31, 2007 in order to maintain the current level of distributions to the Company's stockholders.

Administration Agreement

Under the Administration Agreement, the Company pays separately for its allocable portion of the Administrator's overhead expenses in performing its obligations including, but not limited to, rent for employees of the Administrator, and its allocable portion of the salaries and benefits expenses of its chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, controller, treasurer and their respective staffs. The amount of overhead expenses allocated to the Company is determined by calculating the percentage of total assets of the Company to the total assets managed by the Administrator. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded an administration fee of \$837,898.

3. Stock Options

In December of 2004, FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment." The new standard was effective for awards that are granted, modified, or settled in cash for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company previously accounted for its stock option plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations and disclosure requirements established by SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." In this regard, the options under the plan had been granted to individuals who are the Company's officers, and who would qualify as leased employees under FASB Interpretation No. 44 ("FIN 44"), "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an Interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25." Under APB Opinion No. 25, no expense was recorded in the income statement for the Company's stock options. The pro forma effects on income for stock options were instead disclosed in a footnote to the financial statements. Under SFAS No. 123(R), all share-based compensation cost was measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and was recognized as an expense in the income statement over an employee's requisite service period.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective approach. Under the modified prospective approach, stock-based compensation expense was recorded for the unvested portion of previously issued awards that remained outstanding at January 1, 2006 using the same estimate of the grant date fair value and the same attribution method used to determine the pro forma disclosure under SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123(R) also requires that all share-based payments to employees after January 1, 2006, including employee stock options, be recognized in the financial statements as stock-based compensation expense based on the fair value on the date of grant. The Company recorded total stock option compensation expense of \$394,411 for the year ended December 31, 2006. As the Company terminated its stock option plan on December 31, 2006 there were no stock options outstanding, and therefore, no stock option compensation expense was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the Company had applied the fair-value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units and restricted stock units for periods prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123(R).

	For the year ended December 31, 2005
Net income, as reported	\$ 3,601,945
Less: Stock-based compensation expense determined using the fair value based method	<u>(187,483)</u>
Net income, pro-forma	\$ 3,414,462
Basic, as reported	<u>\$ 0.47</u>
Basic, pro-forma	<u>\$ 0.45</u>
Diluted, as reported	<u>\$ 0.47</u>
Diluted, pro-forma	<u>\$ 0.44</u>

The stock-based compensation expense under the fair value method, as reported in the above table, was computed using an estimated weighted average fair value of \$1.26 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, based on options issued from date of inception forward, and the following weighted-average assumptions: dividend yield of 5.07%, risk-free interest rate of 2.61%, expected volatility factor of 18.15%, and expected lives of 3 years.

A summary of the status of the Company's 2003 Equity Incentive Plan from February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2006 (termination) is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding at February 14, 2003	—	
Granted	629,000	\$ 15.00
Exercised	—	
Options outstanding at December 31, 2003, of which 629,000 shares were exercisable	<u>629,000</u>	\$ 15.00
Granted	280,000	\$ 16.22
Exercised	(25,000)	\$ 15.00
Forfeited	<u>(15,000)</u>	\$ 15.73
Options outstanding at December 31, 2004, of which 599,000 shares were exercisable	<u>869,000</u>	\$ 15.39
Granted	64,500	\$ 15.47
Exercised	(5,000)	\$ 15.00
Forfeited	<u>(12,500)</u>	\$ 15.48
Options outstanding at December 31, 2005, of which 744,250 shares were exercisable	<u>916,000</u>	\$ 15.39
Granted	—	\$ —
Exercised	(916,000)	\$ 15.40
Forfeited	<u>—</u>	\$ —
Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2006	<u>—</u>	\$ —

The following table is a summary of all outstanding notes issued to employees of the Adviser for the exercise of stock options:

Date Issued	Number of Options Exercised	Strike Price of Options Exercised	Amount of Promissory Note Issued to Employees	Outstanding Balance of Employee Loans at 12/31/07	Term of Note	Interest Rate on Note
Sep-04	25,000	\$ 15.00	\$ 375,000	\$ 368,161	9 years	5.00%
May-05	5,000	15.00	75,000	57,796	9 years	6.00%
Apr-06	12,422	16.10	199,994	199,994	9 years	7.77%
May-06	50,000	16.85	842,500	842,500	10 years	7.87%
May-06	15,000	16.10	241,500	241,500	10 years	7.87%
May-06	2,500	16.01	40,000	39,012	10 years	7.87%
May-06	2,000	16.10	32,200	32,200	10 years	7.87%
May-06	2,000	16.10	32,200	32,200	10 years	7.87%
May-06	2,000	16.68	33,360	33,360	10 years	7.87%
May-06	2,000	15.00	30,000	30,000	10 years	7.87%
Oct-06	12,000	16.10	193,200	193,200	9 years	8.17%
Nov-06	25,000	15.00	375,000	325,000	9 years	8.15%
Dec-06	25,000	15.00	375,000	375,000	10 years	8.12%
	<u>204,922</u>		<u>\$ 3,219,954</u>	<u>\$ 2,769,923</u>		

In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force No. 85-1, Classifying Notes Received for Sale of Stock, receivables from employees for the issuance of capital stock to employees prior to the receipt of cash payment should be reflected in the balance sheet as a reduction to stockholders' equity. Therefore, these notes were recorded as loans to employees and are included in the equity section of the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

4. Earnings per Common Share

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 2,046,479	\$ 2,185,938	\$ 3,601,945
Denominator for basic weighted average shares	8,565,264	7,827,781	7,670,219
Dilutive effect of stock options	—	158,909	53,001
Denominator for diluted weighted average shares	<u>8,565,264</u>	<u>7,986,690</u>	<u>7,723,220</u>
Basic earnings per common share	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 0.28</u>	<u>\$ 0.47</u>
Diluted earnings per common share	<u>\$ 0.24</u>	<u>\$ 0.27</u>	<u>\$ 0.47</u>

5. Real Estate

A summary of the 53 properties held by the Company as of December 31, 2007 is as follows:

Date Acquired	Location	(unaudited)		Property Description	Net Real Estate
		Square Footage			
Dec-03	Raleigh, North Carolina	58,926		Office	\$ 4,628,337
Jan-04	Canton, Ohio	54,018		Office and Warehouse	2,923,963
Apr-04	Akron, Ohio	83,891		Office and Laboratory	8,106,036
Jun-04	Charlotte, North Carolina	64,500		Office	8,451,345
Jul-04	Canton, North Carolina	228,000		Commercial and Manufacturing	4,749,579
Aug-04	Snyder Township, Pennsylvania	290,000		Commercial and Warehouse	6,102,338
Aug-04	Lexington, North Carolina	154,000		Commercial and Warehouse	2,778,380
Sep-04	Austin, Texas	51,933		Flexible Office	6,803,840
Oct-04	Norfolk, Virginia	25,797		Commercial and Manufacturing	885,442
Oct-04	Mt. Pocono, Pennsylvania	223,275		Commercial and Manufacturing	5,699,851
Feb-05	San Antonio, Texas	60,245		Flexible Office	7,638,439
Feb-05	Columbus, Ohio	39,000		Industrial	2,615,717
Apr-05	Big Flats, New York	120,000		Industrial	6,310,146
May-05	Wichita, Kansas	69,287		Office	10,577,368
May-05	Arlington, Texas	64,000		Warehouse and Bakery	3,857,133
Jun-05	Dayton, Ohio	59,894		Office	2,339,980
Jul-05	Eatontown, New Jersey	30,268		Office	4,606,747
Jul-05	Franklin Township, New Jersey	183,000		Office and Warehouse	7,439,940
Jul-05	Duncan, South Carolina	278,020		Office and Manufacturing	15,415,164
Aug-05	Hazelwood, Missouri	51,155		Office and Warehouse	2,950,754
Sep-05	Angola, Indiana	52,080		Industrial	1,116,968
Sep-05	Angola, Indiana	50,000		Industrial	1,116,968
Sep-05	Rock Falls, Illinois	52,000		Industrial	1,116,969
Oct-05	Newburyport, Massachusetts	70,598		Industrial	6,798,268
Oct-05	Clintonville, Wisconsin	291,142		Industrial	4,502,040
Dec-05	Maple Heights, Ohio	347,218		Industrial	11,099,726
Dec-05	Richmond, Virginia	42,213		Office	5,809,099
Dec-05	Toledo, Ohio	23,368		Office	2,939,026
Feb-06	South Hadley, Massachusetts	150,000		Industrial	3,113,051
Feb-06	Champaign, Illinois	108,262		Office	13,878,032
Feb-06	Roseville, Minnesota	359,540		Office	26,408,409
May-06	Burnsville, Minnesota	114,100		Office	11,768,380
Jun-06	Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin	125,692		Industrial	2,265,105
Jul-06	Baytown, Texas	12,000		Office	2,558,544
Sep-06	Sterling Heights, Michigan	532,869		Industrial	11,072,192
Sep-06	Birmingham, Alabama	63,514		Industrial	1,527,220
Sep-06	Montgomery, Alabama	29,472		Industrial	1,527,220
Sep-06	Columbia, Missouri	16,275		Industrial	1,527,220
Jan-07	Mason, Ohio	60,000		Office	6,856,787
Feb-07	Raleigh, North Carolina	115,500		Industrial	6,993,551
Mar-07	Tulsa, Oklahoma	238,310		Manufacturing	13,706,553
Mar-07	Hialeah, Florida	132,337		Industrial	10,094,438
May-07	Tewksbury, Massachusetts	102,200		Industrial	10,134,413
Jul-07	Mason, Ohio	21,264		Retail	6,101,665
Sep-07	Cicero, New York	71,880		Industrial	5,276,588
Sep-07	Grand Rapids, Michigan	63,235		Office	12,052,923
Sep-07	Bolingbrook, Illinois	55,869		Industrial	6,238,674
Dec-07	Decatur, Georgia	26,600		Office	2,880,207
Dec-07	Lawrenceville, Georgia	12,412		Office	2,880,207
Dec-07	Snellville, Georgia	3,800		Office	2,880,207
Dec-07	Covington, Georgia	5,000		Office	2,880,207
Dec-07	Cumming, Georgia	13,919		Office	2,880,208
Dec-07	Conyers, Georgia	6,400		Office	2,880,208
	Total real estate, net	<u>5,558,278</u>			<u>\$ 324,761,772</u>

The following table sets forth the components of the Company's investments in real estate:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
Real estate:		
Land	\$ 48,867,482	\$ 33,764,113
Building	283,829,987	204,115,481
Tenant improvements	7,802,937	5,833,948
Accumulated depreciation	(15,738,634)	(8,595,419)
Real estate, net	<u>\$ 324,761,772</u>	<u>\$ 235,118,123</u>

On January 5, 2007, the Company acquired a 60,000 square foot office building in Mason, Ohio for approximately \$7.88 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately six years. The tenant has two options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.68 million.

On February 16, 2007, the Company acquired an 115,500 square foot industrial building in Raleigh, North Carolina for approximately \$7.80 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately three years. The tenant has one option to extend the lease for an additional period of five years. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.66 million.

On March 1, 2007, the Company acquired the leasehold interest in a 238,310 square foot office building in Tulsa, Oklahoma for \$15.81 million, including transaction costs. Under the terms of the leasehold interest, the Company has a ground lease on which the property is located that has a remaining term, including renewal options, of approximately 34.5 years. The annual amount of ground lease payments, reimbursable to us by the tenant, is approximately \$134,000. Upon acquisition of the leasehold interest in the building, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately 12.5 years at the time of assignment. The tenant also has two options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$1.57 million.

On March 9, 2007, the Company acquired a 132,337 square foot industrial building in Hialeah, Florida for approximately \$10.29 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company extended a 15 year triple net lease with the sole tenant, and the tenant has five options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$1.0 million.

On May 17, 2007, the Company acquired a 102,200 square foot industrial building in Tewksbury, Massachusetts for approximately \$11.25 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company extended a 10 year triple net lease with the sole tenant, and the tenant has three options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.92 million.

On July 13, 2007, the Company acquired a 21,264 square foot retail building in Mason, Ohio for approximately \$6.77 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately 20 years. The tenant has five options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.58 million.

On September 6, 2007, the Company acquired a 71,880 square foot office building in Cicero, New York for approximately \$5.81 million, including transaction costs, which was funded by a combination of cash on hand, and the assumption of approximately \$4.5 million of financing on the property. The financing was recorded at fair value at the time of acquisition. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately 13 years. The tenant has two options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.53 million.

On September 28, 2007, the Company acquired a 63,235 square foot office building in Grand Rapids, Michigan for approximately \$12.38 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately nine years. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$1.03 million.

On September 28, 2007, the Company acquired a 55,869 square foot industrial building in Bolingbrook, Illinois for approximately \$6.71 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately seven years. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.62 million.

On December 13, 2007, the Company acquired six separate medical office properties from a single seller: a 26,600 square foot building located in Decatur, Georgia; a 12,412 square foot building located in Lawrenceville, Georgia; a 3,800 square foot building located in Snellville, Georgia; a 5,000 square foot building located in Covington, Georgia; a 13,919 square foot building located in Cumming, Georgia; and a 6,400 square foot building located in Conyers, Georgia. These six properties were acquired for an aggregate cost to the Company of approximately \$19.52 million, including transaction costs, and the purchase was funded using borrowings from the Company's line of credit. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately 19 years, with four options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$1.74 million.

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," the Company allocated the purchase price of the properties acquired during the year ended December 31, 2007 as follows:

	Land	Building	Tenant Improvements	In-place leases	Leasing Costs	Customer relationships	Total Purchase Price
Mason, Ohio	\$ 797,274	\$ 5,962,067	\$ 296,277	\$ —	\$ 144,703	\$ 683,471	\$ 7,883,792
Raleigh, North Carolina	1,605,551	5,464,586	48,767	142,209	64,110	478,083	7,803,306
Tulsa, Oklahoma	—	13,858,489	198,738	437,117	587,605	723,168	15,805,117
Hialeah, Florida	3,562,455	6,619,258	—	—	817	104,508	10,287,038
Tewksbury, Massachusetts	1,394,902	8,637,010	256,233	421,446	865	535,416	11,245,872
Mason, Ohio	1,201,338	4,854,457	106,439	—	416,142	189,699	6,768,075
Cicero, New York	299,066	5,018,628	—	151,734	226,998	114,505	5,810,931
Grand Rapids, Michigan	1,629,270	10,196,137	303,929	—	246,042	—	12,375,378
Bolingbrook, Illinois	1,271,543	4,926,314	76,157	287,488	146,364	—	6,707,866
Decatur, Georgia	1,300,963	4,836,034	250,341	190,506	357,834	287,054	7,222,732
Lawrenceville, Georgia	521,243	2,817,869	134,276	100,375	188,625	172,103	3,934,491
Snellville, Georgia	449,406	429,012	37,570	21,750	43,097	39,955	1,020,790
Covington, Georgia	199,451	944,807	49,863	31,460	60,858	57,743	1,344,182
Cumming, Georgia	670,284	2,984,821	146,609	97,781	202,017	177,026	4,278,538
Conyers, Georgia	226,526	1,237,558	63,790	40,547	78,203	74,029	1,720,653
	<u>\$ 15,129,272</u>	<u>\$ 78,787,047</u>	<u>\$ 1,968,989</u>	<u>\$ 1,922,413</u>	<u>\$ 2,764,280</u>	<u>\$ 3,636,760</u>	<u>\$ 104,208,761</u>

The weighted average amortization period, for properties acquired during the year ended December 31, 2007, for in-place leases was approximately 13.1 years, for leasing costs was approximately 13.6 years, for customer relationships was approximately 25.9 years, and for all intangible assets was approximately 18.2 years. There were no above or below market lease intangibles allocated to the purchase price for the 10 acquisitions in 2007.

Future operating lease payments under non-cancelable leases, excluding customer reimbursement of expenses, in effect at December 31, 2007, were as follows:

Year	Lease Payments
2008	\$ 33,349,411
2009	32,781,897
2010	32,455,803
2011	31,969,623
2012	31,208,006
Thereafter	150,643,238

In accordance with the lease terms, substantially all tenant expenses are required to be paid by the tenant, however, the Company would be required to pay property taxes on the respective properties, and ground lease payments on the property located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, in the event the tenant fails to pay them. The total annualized property taxes for all properties outstanding as of December 31, 2007, was approximately \$5.1 million, and the total annual ground lease payments on the Tulsa, Oklahoma property were approximately \$134,000.

6. Discontinued Operations

On July 21, 2006, the Company sold its two Canadian properties for approximately \$6.9 million, for a gain on the sale of approximately \$1.4 million. The Company paid and expensed approximately \$315,000 in taxes related to the gain on the sale in 2006. The 2006 tax returns were subsequently filed in March of 2007, and the amount owed was approximately \$236,000. The Company received a refund in the amount of approximately \$79,000, which is reflected on the income statement in discontinued operations under taxes on sale of real estate. The operating expense during the year ended December 31, 2007 is legal fees related to the Canadian entities which are currently in the process of dissolution partially offset by interest income earned on letters of credit posted with the taxing agencies as part of the sale.

The Company classified its two Canadian properties as discontinued operations, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 144. The table below summarizes the components of income from discontinued operations:

	For the year ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Operating revenue	\$ —	\$ 342,629	\$ 583,830
Operating expense	(3,312)	(22,732)	(23,537)
Taxes & licenses	78,667	(11,736)	(6,448)
Interest expense	—	(143,716)	(114,309)
Depreciation expense	—	(52,300)	(129,991)
Gain on sale of real estate	—	1,106,590	—
Realized and unrealized gain (loss) on foreign currency transactions	33,359	(202,938)	(218,557)
Income from discontinued operations	<u>\$ 108,714</u>	<u>\$ 1,015,797</u>	<u>\$ 90,988</u>

7. Mortgage Note Receivable

On April 15, 2005, the Company originated a mortgage loan in the amount of \$10.0 million collateralized by an office building in McLean, Virginia, where the Company's Adviser and Administrator are subtenants in the building. The loan was funded using a portion of the net proceeds from the Company's initial public offering. This 12 year mortgage loan accrues interest at the greater of 7.5% per year or the one month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") rate plus 6.0% per year, with a ceiling of 10.0%. The interest rate as of December 31, 2007 was capped at 10.0%. The mortgage loan is interest only for the first nine years of the term, with payments of principal commencing after the initial period. The balance of the principal and all interest remaining is due at the end of the 12 year term.

8. Mortgage Notes Payable

As of December 31, 2007 the Company had 14 fixed-rate mortgage notes payable collateralized by a total of 33 properties. Each of these notes is in a separate borrowing entity which holds the real estate collateral. The Company is not a co-borrower but has limited recourse liabilities that could result from: a borrower voluntarily filing for bankruptcy, improper conveyance of a property, fraud or material misrepresentation, misapplication or misappropriation of rents, security deposits, insurance proceeds or condemnation proceeds, and physical waste or damage to the property resulting from a borrower's gross negligence or willful misconduct. The Company also indemnifies lenders against claims resulting from the presence of hazardous substances or activity involving hazardous substances in violation of environmental laws on a property. The weighted-average interest rate on the mortgage notes payable as of December 31, 2007 was approximately 5.8%. A summary of the mortgage notes payable is below:

Date of Issuance of Note	Principal Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Principal Balance Outstanding	
			December 31, 2007	December 31, 2006
3/16/2005	4/1/2030	6.33%	\$ 3,003,582	\$ 3,060,093
8/25/2005	9/1/2015	5.33%	21,664,476	21,757,000
9/12/2005	9/1/2015	5.21%	12,588,000	12,588,000
12/21/2005	12/8/2015	5.71%	19,456,000	19,456,000
2/21/2006	12/1/2013	5.91%	9,480,063	9,620,050
2/21/2006	6/30/2014	5.20%	19,782,270	20,104,716
3/29/2006	4/1/2016	5.92%	17,000,000	17,000,000
4/27/2006	5/5/2016	6.58%	14,514,214	14,753,579
11/22/2006	12/1/2016	5.76%	14,309,000	14,309,000
12/22/2006	1/1/2017	5.79%	21,846,000	21,846,000
2/8/2007	3/1/2017	6.00%	13,775,000	—
6/5/2007	6/8/2017	6.11%	14,240,000	—
9/6/2007	12/11/2015	5.81%	4,487,205	—
10/15/2007	11/8/2017	6.63%	15,974,661	—
			<u>\$ 202,120,471</u>	<u>\$ 154,494,438</u>

The fair market value of all fixed-rate debt outstanding as of December 31, 2007 was approximately \$192.6 million, as compared to the carrying value stated above of approximately \$202.1 million.

Scheduled principal payments of mortgage notes payable are as follows:

Year	Scheduled principal payments
2008	\$ 1,594,392
2009	2,254,470
2010	2,389,806
2011	2,676,907
2012	2,953,229
Thereafter	190,251,667
	<u>\$ 202,120,471</u>

On February 8, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Company borrowed approximately \$13.8 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from KeyBank, which is collateralized by security interests in its Austin, Texas property, its Richmond, Virginia property and its Baytown, Texas property in the amounts of approximately \$6.5 million, \$5.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.0% per year and the Company may not repay this note prior to maturity, or the Company would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of March 1, 2017. The Company used the proceeds from the note for acquisitions of properties.

On June 5, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Company borrowed approximately \$14.2 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, which is collateralized by security interests in its Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin property, its Hazelton, Missouri property and its Raleigh, North Carolina property in the amounts of approximately \$6.9 million, \$2.4 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.11% per year and the Company may not repay this note prior to the last three months of the term, or the Company would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of June 8, 2017. The Company used the proceeds from the note to pay down the outstanding balance on the line of credit.

On September 6, 2007, the Company assumed approximately \$4.5 million of indebtedness pursuant to a long-term note payable from Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corporation, in connection with the Company's acquisition, on the same date, of a property located in Cicero, New York. The note accrues interest at a rate of 5.81% per year, and the Company may not repay this note prior to the last two months of the term, or the Company would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note matures on December 11, 2015.

On October 15, 2007, through wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Company borrowed \$16.0 million pursuant to a long-term note payable from Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, which is collateralized by security interests in its Mt. Pocono, Pennsylvania property, its Raleigh, North Carolina property and its Mason, Ohio property in the amounts of approximately \$5.4 million, \$5.6 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The note accrues interest at a rate of 6.63% per year and the Company may not repay this note prior to the last three months of the term, or the Company would be subject to a substantial prepayment penalty. The note has a maturity date of November 8, 2017. The Company used the proceeds from the note to pay down the outstanding balance on the line of credit.

9. Stockholders' Equity

The 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock"), has a par value of \$0.001 per share, and there are currently 1,000,000 shares issued and outstanding. The Series A Preferred Stock may be redeemed at a liquidation preference in the amount of \$25.00 per share plus any unpaid dividends at the election of the Company on or after January 30, 2011. These securities have no stated maturity, sinking fund or mandatory redemption and are not convertible into any other securities of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol "GOODP."

The 7.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock"), has a par value \$0.001 per share, and there are currently 1,150,000 shares issued and outstanding. The Series B Preferred Stock may be redeemed at a liquidation preference in the amount of \$25.00 per share plus any unpaid dividends at the election of the Company on or after October 31, 2011. These securities have no stated maturity, sinking fund or mandatory redemption and are not convertible into any other securities of the Company. The Series B Preferred Stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol "GOODO."

Dividends paid per common share for the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$1.44, \$1.44 and \$0.96 per share, respectively. Dividends paid per share of Series A Preferred Stock for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were approximately \$1.94 and \$1.79 per share, respectively. Dividends paid per share of Series B Preferred Stock for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were approximately \$1.88 and \$0.34, respectively. The tax status of the distributions is reflected in the table below:

	Ordinary Income	Return of Capital	Long-Term Capital Gains
Common Stock			
For the year ended December 31, 2004	68.97050%	31.02945%	0.00000%
For the year ended December 31, 2005	50.44580%	49.55420%	0.00000%
For the year ended December 31, 2006	2.06500%	95.60560%	2.32940%
For the year ended December 31, 2007	74.13960%	25.86040%	0.00000%
Series A Preferred Stock			
For the year ended December 31, 2006	46.99260%	0.00000%	53.00740%
For the year ended December 31, 2007	100.00000%	0.00000%	0.00000%
Series B Preferred Stock			
For the year ended December 31, 2006	46.99260%	0.00000%	53.00740%
For the year ended December 31, 2007	100.00000%	0.00000%	0.00000%

10. Segment Information

As of December 31, 2007, the Company's operations were derived from two operating segments. One segment purchases real estate (land, buildings and other improvements), which is simultaneously leased to existing users and the other segment extends mortgage loans and collects principal and interest payments. The following table summarizes the Company's consolidated operating results and total assets by segment as of and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

	As of and for the year ended December 31, 2007			
	Real Estate Leasing	Real Estate Lending	Other	Total
Operating revenues	\$ 31,779,650	\$ 1,013,889	\$ —	\$ 32,793,539
Operating expenses	(23,031,267)	—	(4,354,904)	(27,386,171)
Other income	—	—	624,147	624,147
Discontinued operations	108,714	—	—	108,714
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 8,857,097</u>	<u>\$ 1,013,889</u>	<u>\$ (3,730,757)</u>	<u>\$ 6,140,229</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 360,844,356</u>	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 8,058,333</u>	<u>\$ 378,902,689</u>
	As of and for the year ended December 31, 2006			
	Real Estate Leasing	Real Estate Lending	Other	Total
Operating revenues	\$ 24,100,315	\$ 1,845,231	\$ —	\$ 25,945,546
Operating expenses	(18,177,002)	—	(4,994,988)	(23,171,990)
Other income	—	—	583,475	583,475
Discontinued operations	1,015,797	—	—	1,015,797
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 6,939,110</u>	<u>\$ 1,845,231</u>	<u>\$ (4,411,513)</u>	<u>\$ 4,372,828</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 264,511,627</u>	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 41,254,395</u>	<u>\$ 315,766,022</u>
	As of and for the year ended December 31, 2005			
	Real Estate Leasing	Real Estate Lending	Other	Total
Operating revenues	\$ 10,965,711	\$ 1,915,795	\$ —	\$ 12,881,506
Operating expenses	(6,260,781)	—	(3,257,635)	(9,518,416)
Other income	—	—	147,867	147,867
Discontinued operations	90,988	—	—	90,988
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ 4,795,918</u>	<u>\$ 1,915,795</u>	<u>\$ (3,109,768)</u>	<u>\$ 3,601,945</u>
Total Assets	<u>\$ 179,848,595</u>	<u>\$ 21,096,564</u>	<u>\$ 6,101,795</u>	<u>\$ 207,046,954</u>

The amounts included under the other column in the tables above include other income, which consists of interest income and any other miscellaneous income earned, and operating expenses that were not specifically derived from either operating segment

11. Line of Credit and Short-Term Loan

On December 29, 2006, the Company entered into a \$75 million senior revolving credit agreement with a syndicate of banks led by KeyBank National Association, which matures on December 29, 2009 with an option to extend for an additional year. The Company subsequently increased the availability under the line of credit to \$95 million in November 2007. The credit facility replaced a previous facility led by BB&T, which was terminated upon the closing of the new line. The interest rate charged on the advances under the facility is based on the LIBOR, the prime rate or the federal funds rate, depending on market conditions, and adjusts periodically. The unused portion of the line of credit is subject to a fee of 0.15% per year. The Company's ability to access this funding source is subject to the Company continuing to meet customary lending requirements such as compliance with financial and operating covenants and meeting certain lending limits. One such covenant requires the Company to limit its distributions to stockholders to 95% of its funds from operations, beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2007. In addition, the maximum amount the Company may draw under this agreement is based on a percentage of the value of properties pledged as collateral to the banks, which must meet agreed upon eligibility standards. As the Company arranges for long-term mortgages for these pledged properties, the banks will release the properties from the line of credit and reduce the availability under the line of credit by the advanced amount of the removed property. Conversely, as the Company purchases new properties meeting the eligibility standards, the Company may pledge these new properties to obtain additional advances under this agreement. The Company may use the advances under the line of credit for both general corporate purposes and the acquisition of new investments. As of December 31, 2007, there was \$4.4 million outstanding under the line of credit at an interest rate of approximately 6.95%. At December 31, 2007, the remaining borrowing capacity available under the line of credit was \$90.6 million.

On December 21, 2007, the Company entered into a \$20 million unsecured term loan with KeyBank National Association, which matures on December 21, 2008 with an option to extend for an additional six months. The Company can exercise the option to extend the term as long as it is in compliance with all covenants under the loan at the time it exercises its option. The interest rate charged on the loan is based on the LIBOR, the prime rate or the federal funds rate, depending on market conditions, and adjusts periodically. The Company's ability to maintain this funding source is subject to it continuing to meet customary lending requirements such as compliance with financial and operating covenants and meeting certain lending limits. One such covenant requires the Company to limit distributions to its stockholders to 95% of its funds from operations, or FFO, beginning with the quarter ended December 31, 2007. As of December 31 2007, the interest rate on the short-term loan was approximately 7.44%.

12. Pro Forma Financial Information (unaudited)

The Company acquired 14 properties and one leasehold interest during the year ended December 31, 2007. The following table reflects pro-forma condensed consolidated income statements as if the 14 properties and one leasehold interest were acquired as of the beginning of the periods presented:

	For the year ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
Operating Data:		
Total operating revenue	\$ 37,261,725	\$ 30,413,732
Total operating expenses	(17,176,935)	(15,422,401)
Other expense	(13,898,935)	(11,530,105)
Income from continuing operations	<u>6,185,855</u>	<u>3,461,226</u>
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(4,093,750)	(2,186,890)
Net income available to common stockholders	<u>\$ 2,092,105</u>	<u>\$ 1,274,336</u>
Share and Per Share Data:		
Basic net income	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.16
Diluted net income	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.16
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic	8,565,264	7,827,781
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted	8,565,264	7,986,690

These pro-forma consolidated income statements are not necessarily indicative of what actual results would have been had the Company acquired the specified properties and leasehold interest as of the beginning of the periods presented.

13. Quarterly Financial Information (unaudited)

The following table reflects the quarterly results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, certain amounts from prior quarters' financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current quarter's presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or stockholders' equity.

	Year ended December 31, 2007			
	Quarter ended March 31, 2007	Quarter ended June 30, 2007	Quarter ended September 30, 2007	Quarter ended December 31, 2007
Operating revenues	\$ 7,383,771	\$ 8,079,568	\$ 8,360,508	\$ 8,969,692
Operating expenses	3,711,491	3,948,741	3,984,969	4,176,429
Other expense	(2,216,609)	(2,573,068)	(2,824,541)	(3,326,176)
Income from continuing operations	1,455,671	1,557,759	1,550,998	1,467,087
Discontinued operations	74,673	(1,447)	39,462	(3,974)
Net income	1,530,344	1,556,312	1,590,460	1,463,113
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(1,023,437)	(1,023,437)	(1,023,438)	(1,023,438)
Net income available to common stockholders	506,907	532,875	567,022	439,675
Net income available to common stockholders - basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.05
Net income available to common stockholders - diluted	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	8,565,264	8,565,264	8,565,264	8,565,264
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	8,565,264	8,565,264	8,565,264	8,565,264

	Year ended December 31, 2006			
	Quarter ended March 31, 2006	Quarter ended June 30, 2006	Quarter ended September 30, 2006	Quarter ended December 31, 2006
Operating revenues	\$ 5,425,611	\$ 6,630,062	\$ 6,735,976	\$ 7,153,897
Operating expenses	3,022,998	3,523,818	3,620,149	3,900,131
Other income (expense)	(1,605,650)	(2,112,922)	(2,450,869)	(2,351,978)
Income from continuing operations	796,963	993,322	664,958	901,788
Discontinued operations	49,837	(140,557)	1,112,461	(5,944)
Net income	846,800	852,765	1,777,419	895,844
Dividends attributable to preferred stock	(344,444)	(484,375)	(484,375)	(873,696)
Net income available to common stockholders	502,356	368,390	1,293,044	22,148
Net income available to common stockholders - basic	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.00
Net income available to common stockholders - diluted	0.06	0.05	0.16	0.00
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	7,672,000	7,762,503	7,820,376	8,052,148
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	7,821,658	7,911,871	7,981,071	8,196,605

14. Subsequent Events

On January 8, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors declared cash dividends of \$0.125 per common share, \$0.1614583 per share of the Series A Preferred Stock, and \$0.15625 per share of the Series B Preferred Stock for each of the months of January, February and March of 2008. Monthly dividends will be payable on January 31, 2008, February 29, 2008 and March 31, 2008, to those stockholders of record for the dates of January 23, 2008, February 21, 2008 and March 21, 2008, respectively.

On January 29, 2008, the Company acquired a 42,900 square foot industrial building in Reading, Pennsylvania for approximately \$7.2 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company extended a 20 year triple net lease with the sole tenant, and the tenant has four options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.72 million.

On February 26, 2008, the Company acquired a 74,160 square foot office building in Fridley, Minnesota for approximately \$10.6 million, including transaction costs. At closing, the Company was assigned the previously existing triple net lease with the sole tenant, which had a remaining term of approximately five years. The tenant has two options to extend the lease for additional periods of five years each. The lease provides for prescribed rent escalations over the life of the lease, with annualized straight line rents of approximately \$0.95 million. The Company was also assigned a ground lease on the parking lot at the time of closing, which has a remaining term of approximately six years. At the end of the term, the Company has the option to purchase the land. The rent due under the ground lease has been prepaid by the current tenant through the end of the term.

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
DECEMBER 31, 2007

Location of Property	Encumbrances	Initial Cost		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Total Cost			Accumulated Depreciation (1)	Net Real Estate	Date Acquired
		Land	Buildings & Improvements		Land	Buildings & Improvements	Total (2)			
Raleigh, North Carolina, Office Building	\$ 4,940,000	\$ 960,000	\$ 4,480,772	\$ —	\$ 960,000	\$ 4,480,772	\$ 5,440,772	\$ 812,435	\$ 4,628,337	12/23/2003
Canton, Ohio, Office & Warehouse Building	2,950,000	186,739	3,082,007	—	186,739	3,082,007	3,268,746	344,783	2,923,963	1/30/2004
Akron, Ohio, Office & Laboratory Building	7,560,000	1,974,000	6,769,565	19,782	1,974,000	6,789,347	8,763,347	657,311	8,106,036	4/29/2004
Charlotte, North Carolina, Office Building	7,094,700	741,296	8,423,389	59,190	741,296	8,482,579	9,223,875	772,530	8,451,345	6/30/2004
Canton, North Carolina, Commercial & Manufacturing Building	3,003,582	150,000	5,050,000	—	150,000	5,050,000	5,200,000	450,421	4,749,579	7/6/2004
Snyder Township, Pennsylvania, Commercial & Warehouse Building	5,760,000	100,000	6,573,902	6,907	100,000	6,580,809	6,680,809	578,471	6,102,338	8/5/2004
Lexington, North Carolina, Commercial & Warehouse Building	2,881,000	850,000	2,106,845	6,637	850,000	2,113,482	2,963,482	185,102	2,778,380	8/5/2004
Austin, Texas, Office Building	6,500,000	1,000,000	6,295,794	46,095	1,000,000	6,341,889	7,341,889	538,049	6,803,840	9/16/2004
Norfolk, Virginia, Commercial & Manufacturing Building	—	190,000	739,521	18,190	190,000	757,711	947,711	62,269	885,442	10/15/2004
Mt. Pocono, Pennsylvania, Commercial & Manufacturing Building	5,346,519	350,000	5,818,703	18,430	350,000	5,837,133	6,187,133	487,282	5,699,851	10/15/2004
San Antonio, Texas, Flexible Office Building	7,260,000	843,000	7,513,750	22,673	843,000	7,536,423	8,379,423	740,984	7,638,439	2/10/2005
Columbus, Ohio, Industrial Building	2,800,000	410,000	2,385,108	—	410,000	2,385,108	2,795,108	179,391	2,615,717	2/10/2005
Big Flats, New York, Industrial Building	5,630,000	275,000	6,459,318	33,666	275,000	6,492,984	6,767,984	457,838	6,310,146	4/15/2005
Wichita, Kansas, Office Building	8,798,419	1,525,000	9,702,731	51,453	1,525,000	9,754,184	11,279,184	701,816	10,577,368	5/18/2005
Arlington, Texas, Warehouse & Bakery Building	4,168,000	635,964	3,431,307	37,604	635,964	3,468,911	4,104,875	247,742	3,857,133	5/26/2005
Dayton, Ohio, Office Building	2,078,000	525,000	1,876,992	119,736	525,000	1,996,728	2,521,728	181,748	2,339,980	6/30/2005
Eatontown, New Jersey, Office Building	4,580,000	1,350,630	3,520,062	6,681	1,350,630	3,526,743	4,877,373	270,626	4,606,747	7/7/2005
Frankling Township, New Jersey, Office & Warehouse Building	6,790,000	1,631,534	6,199,849	—	1,631,534	6,199,849	7,831,383	391,443	7,439,940	7/11/2005
Duncan, South Carolina, Office & Manufacturing Building	14,569,776	977,898	13,472,678	1,902,582	977,898	15,375,260	16,353,158	937,994	15,415,164	7/14/2005
Hazelwood, Missouri, Office & Warehouse Building	2,360,000	763,178	2,309,058	29,962	763,178	2,339,020	3,102,198	151,444	2,950,754	8/5/2005

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2007

Location of Property	Encumbrances	Initial Cost		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Total Cost			Accumulated Depreciation (1)	Net Real Estate	Date Acquired
		Land	Buildings & Improvements		Land	Buildings & Improvements	Total (2)			
Angola, Indiana, Industrial Building	727,922	65,780	1,074,758	—	65,780	1,074,758	1,140,538	66,496	1,116,968	9/2/2005
Angola, Indiana, Industrial Building	727,922	131,559	1,129,874	—	131,559	1,129,874	1,261,433	66,496	1,116,968	9/2/2005
Rock Falls, Illinois, Industrial Building	727,922	35,082	1,113,340	—	35,082	1,113,340	1,148,422	66,495	1,116,969	9/2/2005
Newburyport, Massachusetts, Industrial Building	6,846,000	628,690	6,504,056	42,895	628,690	6,546,951	7,175,641	377,373	6,798,268	10/17/2005
Clintonville, Wisconsin, Industrial Manufacturing Building	3,532,029	54,674	4,717,090	—	54,674	4,717,090	4,771,764	269,724	4,502,040	10/31/2005
Maple Heights, Ohio, Industrial Building	10,896,000	1,608,976	10,065,475	37,869	1,608,976	10,103,344	11,712,320	612,594	11,099,726	12/21/2005
Richmond, Virginia Industrial Building	5,275,000	735,820	5,335,863	36,437	735,820	5,372,300	6,108,120	299,021	5,809,099	12/30/2005
Toledo, Ohio Industrial Building	3,000,000	263,068	2,811,801	39,916	263,068	2,851,717	3,114,785	175,759	2,939,026	12/30/2005
South Hadley, Massachusetts Industrial Building	—	470,636	2,765,376	10,000	470,636	2,775,376	3,246,012	132,961	3,113,051	2/15/2006
Champaign, Illinois Office Building	9,480,063	3,645,770	10,803,824	10,546	3,645,770	10,814,370	14,460,140	582,108	13,878,032	2/21/2006
Roseville, Minnesota Office Building	19,782,270	2,587,757	25,290,127	—	2,587,757	25,290,127	27,877,884	1,469,477	26,408,409	2/21/2006
Burnsville, Minnesota Office Building	12,000,000	3,510,711	8,746,407	—	3,510,711	8,746,407	12,257,118	488,738	11,768,380	5/10/2006
Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin Industrial Building	6,940,000	624,700	6,910,616	—	624,700	6,910,616	7,535,316	270,211	7,265,105	6/30/2006
Baytown, Texas Office Building	2,000,000	221,314	2,443,469	—	221,314	2,443,469	2,664,783	106,239	2,558,544	7/11/2006
Sterling Heights, Michigan Industrial Building	—	2,734,887	8,606,190	12,676	2,734,887	8,618,866	11,353,753	281,561	11,072,192	9/22/2006
Birmingham, Alabama Industrial Building	—	326,516	1,241,666	—	326,516	1,241,666	1,568,182	40,963	1,527,220	9/29/2006
Montgomery, Alabama Industrial Building	—	326,517	1,241,667	—	326,517	1,241,667	1,568,184	40,963	1,527,220	9/29/2006
Columbia, Missouri Industrial Building	—	326,517	1,241,667	—	326,517	1,241,667	1,568,184	40,963	1,527,220	9/29/2006

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)
DECEMBER 31, 2007

Location of Property	Initial Cost			Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Total Cost			Accumulated Depreciation ⁽¹⁾	Net Real Estate	Date Acquired
	Encumbrances	Land	Buildings & Improvements		Land	Buildings & Improvements	Total ⁽²⁾			
Mason, Ohio Office Building	—	797,274	6,258,344	—	797,274	6,258,344	7,055,618	198,831	6,856,787	1/5/2007
Raleigh, North Carolina Industrial Building	5,647,043	1,605,551	5,513,353	—	1,605,551	5,513,353	7,118,904	125,353	6,993,551	2/16/2007
Tulsa, Oklahoma Manufacturing Building	—	—	14,057,227	—	—	14,057,227	14,057,227	350,674	13,706,553	3/1/2007
Hialeah, Florida Industrial Building	—	3,562,452	6,671,600	—	3,562,452	6,671,600	10,234,052	139,614	10,094,438	3/9/2007
Tewksbury, Massachusetts Industrial Building	—	1,394,902	8,893,243	—	1,394,902	8,893,243	10,288,145	153,732	10,134,413	5/17/2007
Mason, Ohio Retail Building	4,981,099	1,201,338	4,960,896	—	1,201,338	4,960,896	6,162,234	60,569	6,101,665	7/1/2007
Cicero, New York Industrial Building	4,487,205	299,066	5,018,628	—	299,066	5,018,628	5,317,694	41,106	5,276,588	9/6/2007
Grand Rapids, Michigan Office Building	—	1,629,270	10,500,066	—	1,629,270	10,500,066	12,129,336	76,413	12,052,923	9/28/2007
Bolingbrook, Illinois Industrial Building	—	1,271,543	5,002,471	—	1,271,543	5,002,471	6,274,014	35,340	6,238,674	9/28/2007
Decatur, Georgia Office Building	—	561,312	2,322,092	—	561,312	2,322,092	2,883,404	3,196	2,880,207	12/13/2007
Lawrenceville, Georgia Office Building	—	561,312	2,322,092	—	561,312	2,322,092	2,883,404	3,197	2,880,207	12/13/2007
Snellville, Georgia Office Building	—	561,312	2,322,092	—	561,312	2,322,092	2,883,404	3,197	2,880,207	12/13/2007
Covington, Georgia Office Building	—	561,312	2,322,092	—	561,312	2,322,092	2,883,404	3,197	2,880,207	12/13/2007
Cumming, Georgia Office Building	—	561,312	2,322,092	—	561,312	2,322,092	2,883,404	3,197	2,880,208	12/13/2007
Conyers, Georgia Office Building	—	561,313	2,322,092	—	561,313	2,322,092	2,883,405	3,197	2,880,208	12/13/2007
	\$ 202,120,471	\$ 48,867,482	\$ 289,062,997	\$ 2,569,927	\$ 48,867,482	\$ 291,632,924	\$ 340,500,406	\$ 15,738,634	\$ 324,761,772	

- (1) Depreciable life of all buildings is 39 years. Depreciable life of all improvements is the life of the respective leases on each building, which range from 5-20 years.
(2) The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is the same as the total gross cost.

The following table reconciles the change in the balance of real estate during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 243,713,542	\$ 165,043,640	\$ 61,251,455
Acquisitions during period	95,396,039	83,466,860	103,792,185
Improvements or other additions	1,390,825	183,000	
Dispositions during period	<u>—</u>	<u>(4,979,958)</u>	<u>—</u>
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 340,500,406</u>	<u>\$ 243,713,542</u>	<u>\$ 165,043,640</u>

The following table reconciles the change in the balance of accumulated depreciation during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 8,595,419	\$ 3,408,879	\$ 785,125
Additions during period	7,143,215	5,351,414	2,623,754
Dispositions during period	<u>—</u>	<u>(164,874)</u>	<u>—</u>
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 15,738,634</u>	<u>\$ 8,595,419</u>	<u>\$ 3,408,879</u>

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
SCHEDULE IV – MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE
DECEMBER 31, 2007

<u>Location and Type of Real Estate</u>	<u>Type of Loan</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Final Maturity Date</u>	<u>Periodic Payment Term</u>	<u>Prior Lien</u>	<u>Face Amount of Mortgage</u>	<u>Carrying Amount of Mortgage (1)</u>	<u>Principal Amount of Loans Subject to Delinquent Principal or Interest</u>
McLean, Virginia; Office Property	First Mortgage	1 month LIBOR +6%; Floor of 7.5%, Ceiling of 10%	5/30/2017	Monthly payment based upon a 24 year amortization term, which changes based on LIBOR, with a floor of 7.5% and a ceiling of 10%. Balloon payment at maturity is \$8,488,578.	—	\$ 10,000,000 <u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	\$ 10,000,000 <u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	\$ —

(1) The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is the same as the total gross cost.

The following table reconciles the change in the balance of mortgage loans on real estate during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, respectively:

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 21,025,815	\$ 11,107,717
New mortgage loans	—	—	10,000,000
Collections of principal	—	(44,742)	(81,902)
Satisfaction of mortgage loan receivable	—	(10,981,073)	—
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 10,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 21,025,815</u>

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2007, our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2007 in providing a reasonable level of assurance that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in applicable SEC rules and forms, including providing a reasonable level of assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. However, in evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated can provide only reasonable assurance of necessarily achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

b) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in *Internal Control — Integrated Framework*, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

c) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

Refer to the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm located in Item 8 of this Form 10K.

d) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

Not applicable.

PART III

We will file a definitive Proxy Statement for our 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2008 Proxy Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission, pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of our fiscal year. Accordingly, certain information required by Part III has been omitted under General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K. Only those sections of the 2008 Proxy Statement that specifically address the items set forth herein are incorporated by reference.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by Item 10 is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Election of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Code of Ethics."

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Item 11 is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation."

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by Item 12 is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2008 Proxy Statement under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by Item 13 is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Transactions with Related Persons" and "Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance."

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by Item 14 is hereby incorporated by reference from our 2008 Proxy Statement under the captions "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees" and "Pre-Approval Policy and Procedures."

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

a. DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

1. The following financial statements are filed herewith:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005
Notes to Financial Statements

2. Financial statement schedules

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation is filed herewith.

Schedule IV – Mortgage Loans on Real Estate is filed herewith.

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or because the required information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report or hereby incorporated by reference to exhibits previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission:

Exhibit Index

<u>Exhibit</u>	<u>Description of Document</u>
3.1†	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S - -11 (File No. 333-106024), filed September 11, 2003.
3.2†	Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-106024), filed September 11, 2003.
3.3†	First Amendment to Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed July 10, 2007.
4.1†	Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of Form 8-A (File No. 000-50363), filed January 19, 2006.
4.2†	Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the 7.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of Form 8-A (File No. 000-50363), filed October 19, 2006.
4.3†	Form of Certificate for 7.75% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock of Gladstone Commercial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 8-A (File No. 000-50363), filed January 19, 2006.
4.4†	Form of Certificate for 7.5% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock of Gladstone Commercial Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of Form 8-A (File No. 000-50363), filed October 19, 2006.
4.5	Amendment to the Stock Transfer Agency Agreement dated as of August 18, 2003 by and between Gladstone Commercial Corporation, and The Bank of New York.
10.1†	Agreement of Limited Partnership of Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated July 17, 2003, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Pre - Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form S -11 (File No. 333-106024), filed July 22, 2003.
10.2†	Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between 3058348 Nova Scotia Company, 3058349 Nova Scotia Company and Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated August 11, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Form 10-K (File No. 000-50363), filed March 8, 2005.
10.3†	Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement between PBC — Pocono, L.L.C., PBC- Norfolk, L.L.C. and Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated August 11, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Form 10-K (File No. 000-50363), filed March 8, 2005.
10.4†	Trademark License Agreement, dated December 20, 2004, between Gladstone Commercial Corporation and Gladstone Management Corporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Form 10-K (File No. 000-50363), filed March 8, 2005.
10.5†	First Amendment to Agreement of Limited Partnership of Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated September 1, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Form 10-K (File No. 000-50363), filed March 8, 2005.

Exhibit	Description of Document
10.6†	Promissory Note between Key Bank National Association and CMI04 Canton NC LLC, dated March 14, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 (File No. 000-50363), filed May 4, 2005.
10.7†	Loan Agreement between AFL05 Duncan SC LLC and Little Arch Charlotte NC LLC and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of August 25, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed August 29, 2005.
10.8†	Promissory Note between AFL05 Duncan SC LLC and Little Arch Charlotte NC LLC and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of August 25, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed August 29, 2005.
10.9†	Mortgage and Security Agreement between 260 Springside Drive, Akron OH LLC and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 12, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed September 13, 2005.
10.10†	Fixed Rate Note between 260 Springside Drive, Akron OH LLC and JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of September 12, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed September 13, 2005.
10.11†	Loan Agreement between PZ05 Maple Heights OH LLC, WMI05 Columbus OH LLC, and OB Crenshaw GCC, LP, and Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc., dated as of December 21, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 22, 2005.
10.12†	Promissory Note between PZ05 Maple Heights OH LLC, WMI05 Columbus OH LLC, and OB Crenshaw GCC, LP, and Countrywide Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc., dated as of December 21, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 22, 2005.
10.13†	First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 1, 2006.
10.14†	Loan agreement between Stonewater Dox Funding LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated as of November 21, 2003, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.15†	Assumption agreement between Stonewater Dox Funding LLC, ACI06 Champaign IL LLC, Gladstone Commercial Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association, dated as of February 21, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.16†	Promissory note between Stonewater Dox Funding LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated as of November 21, 2003, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.17†	Purchase agreement between Stonewater UIS Funding LLC and Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated as of November 23, 2005, as the same has been modified by that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated December 22, 2005, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated December 30, 2005, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 6, 2006, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 13, 2006, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 17, 2006 and that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 20, 2006., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.

Exhibit	Description of Document
10.18†	Loan agreement between Stonewater Dox Funding LLC and Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc, dated as of May 12, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.19†	Loan assumption agreement between Stonewater UIS Funding LLC, and UC06 Roseville MN LLC, Gladstone Commercial Corporation and LaSalle Bank National Association, dated as of February 21, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.20†	Promissory note between Stonewater UIS Funding LLC and Greenwich Capital Financial Products, Inc, dated as of May 12, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.21†	Purchase agreement between Stonewater UIS Funding LLC and Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, dated as of November 23, 2005, as the same has been modified by that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated December 22, 2005, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated December 30, 2005, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 6, 2006, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 13, 2006, that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 17, 2006 and that certain Amendment to Purchase Agreement dated January 20, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed February 24, 2006.
10.22†	Loan agreement between IXIS Real Estate Capital Inc. and 2525 N Woodlawn Vstrm Wichita KS, LLC, CI05 Clintonville WI LLC and MSI05-3 LLC, dated as of April 27, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50363), filed May 2, 2006.
10.23†	Promissory note between IXIS Real Estate Capital Inc. and 2525 N Woodlawn Vstrm Wichita KS, LLC, CI05 Clintonville WI LLC and MSI05-3 LLC, dated as of April 27, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50363), filed May 2, 2006.
10.24*†	Joint Directors Nonqualified Excess Plan of Gladstone Commercial Corporation, Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Investment Corporations, dated as of July 11, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed July 12, 2006.
10.25†	Custodial Agreement between Branch Banking and Trust Company of Virginia, as Custodian, and Gladstone Commercial Company, dated as of May 1, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-50363), filed May 2, 2006.
10.26†	Deed of Trust, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between First Park Ten COCO San Antonio, L.P. and CIBC Inc., dated as of November 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed November 28, 2006.
10.27†	Deed of Trust, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between SLEE Grand Prairie, L.P. and CIBC Inc., dated as of November 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed November 28, 2006.

Exhibit	Description of Document
10.28†	Deed of Trust, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between OB Midway NC Gladstone Commercial LLC, and CIBC Inc., dated as of November 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed November 28, 2006.
10.29†	Promissory Note First Park Ten COCO San Antonio, L.P., SLEE Grand Prairie, L.P. and OB Midway NC Gladstone Commercial LLC and CIBC Inc., N.A., dated as of November 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed November 28, 2006.
10.30†	Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between HMBF05 Newburyport MA LLC and CIBC Inc., dated as of December 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 28, 2006.
10.31†	Open-end Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between SVMCM05 Toledo OH LLC and CIBC Inc., dated as of December 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 28, 2006.
10.32†	Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement between TCI06 Burnsville MC LLC and CIBC Inc., dated as of December 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 28, 2006.
10.33†	Promissory Note between HMBF05 Newburyport MA LLC, SVMCM05 Toledo OH LLC and TCI06 Burnsville MC LLC and CIBC Inc., dated as of December 22, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 28, 2006.
10.34†	Credit Agreement dated as of December 29, 2006 among Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership as Borrower and Gladstone Commercial Corporation as Guarantor, the Initial Guarantors Listed Therein, the Banks Listed Therein and KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed January 3, 2007.
10.35†	Amended and Restated Investment Advisory Agreement between Gladstone Commercial Corporation and Gladstone Management Corporation, dated January 1, 2007 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed January 3, 2007.
10.36†	Administration Agreement between Gladstone Commercial Corporation and Gladstone Administration, LLC, dated January 1, 2007 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed January 3, 2007.
10.37†	Accordian Agreement between Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership and KeyBank National Association, dated November 13, 2007 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed November 16, 2007.
10.38†	Note Agreement between Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership and KeyBank National Association, dated December 21, 2007 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed December 27, 2007.
11	Computation of Per Share Earnings from Operations (included in the notes to the audited financial statements contained in this report).
12	Statements re: computation of ratios.

Exhibit	Description of Document
14†	Gladstone Commercial Corporation Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, dated October 11, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-50363), filed October 12, 2005.
21	Subsidiaries of Gladstone Commercial Corporation.
23	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31 .2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32 .1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32 .2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

† Incorporated by reference.

* Denotes management contract, compensation plan, contract or other arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Gladstone Commercial Corporation

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Harry Brill

Harry Brill
Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ David Gladstone

David Gladstone
Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors
(principal executive officer)

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Terry Lee Brubaker

Terry Lee Brubaker
Vice Chairman, Chief Operating Officer and Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ George Stelljes III

George Stelljes III
President, Chief Investment Officer and Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Harry Brill

Harry Brill
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial and accounting officer)

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ David A.R. Dullum

David A.R. Dullum
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Anthony W. Parker

Anthony W. Parker
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Michela A. English

Michela A. English
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Paul Adalgren

Paul Adalgren
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Maurice Coulon

Maurice Coulon
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ John Outland

John Outland
Director

Date: February 27, 2008

By: /s/ Gerard Mead

Gerard Mead
Director

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO
STOCK TRANSFER AGENCY AGREEMENT

This Amendment to the Stock Transfer Agency Agreement dated as of November 7, 2007 by and between Gladstone Commercial Corporation, a Maryland corporation (the "Customer"), and THE BANK OF NEW YORK, a New York banking corporation (the "Bank").

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the Bank and the Customer entered into a Stock Transfer Agency Agreement dated as of August 18, 2003 (the "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Customer desires to have shares maintained by the Bank in book-entry form known as the "Direct Registration System" ("DRS");

NOW, in consideration of the mutual promises hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto covenant and agree as follows:

1. The following sentence shall be added to the beginning of Article V, Section 1:

"The Bank will issue and transfer shares in certificated form as follows:"

2. Article V, Section 1 shall now be designated (a).

3. Article V, Section 2 shall now be designated (b).

4. Article V, Section 3 shall now be designated (c).

5. The following Section shall be added to Article V:

2. The Bank will issue and transfer Shares in book-entry form as follows:

(a) Shares may be maintained by the Bank in book-entry form known as the "Direct Registration System" ("DRS") through the Profile Modification System ("Profile"). DRS is the system administered by The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") pursuant to which the Bank may register the ownership of uncertificated Shares, which ownership shall be evidenced by periodic statements issued by the Bank to the Registered Owners entitled thereto. Upon issuance of Shares, the Shares of each Registered Owner will be credited to the account of each such Registered Owner. The Registered

Owner of Shares is referred to herein as, or, if there are more than one Registered Owner of the same Shares, such Registered Owners are collectively referred to herein as, the "Registered Owner".

(b) Customer understands that Profile is a required feature of DRS. Profile allows a DTC participant claiming to act on behalf of the Registered Owner of Shares, to direct the Bank to register a transfer of such Shares to such DTC participant or its nominee without receipt by the Bank of such prior written authorization from the Registered Owner to register such transfer.

(c) Customer understands the Bank will not verify, determine or otherwise ascertain that the DTC participant which is claiming to be acting on behalf of a Registered Owner in requesting registration of transfer and delivery described in subsection (b) has the actual authority to act on behalf of the Registered Owner (notwithstanding any requirements under the Uniform Commercial Code). For the avoidance of doubt, the provisions of Article VIII, Sections 5 and 6 shall apply to the matters arising from the use of DRS/Profile System. The parties agree that the Bank's reliance on and compliance with instructions received by the Bank through the DRS/Profile System in accordance with this Agreement, shall not constitute negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the Bank.

6. Except as amended hereby, the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect and is hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects.

7. This Amendment and the performance of the parties hereunder shall be interpreted, construed, and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

8. This Amendment may be executed in multiple counterparts. Each such counterpart shall be an original and all together shall constitute but one and the same Amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement on the date set forth herein.

By: _____
Name: David Gladstone
Title: Chief Executive Officer

THE BANK OF NEW YORK

By: _____
Name:
Title:

GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED DIVIDENDS

	For the year ended December 31, 2007	For the year ended December 31, 2006	For the year ended December 31, 2005	For the year ended December 31, 2004	For the period February 14, 2003 (inception) through December 31, 2003
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 6,031,515	\$ 3,357,031	\$ 3,510,957	\$ 1,529,253	\$ (240,871)
Add fixed charges and preferred dividends	<u>15,670,067</u>	<u>11,490,476</u>	<u>2,494,245</u>	<u>25,565</u>	<u>7,830</u>
Earnings	\$ 21,701,582	\$ 14,847,507	\$ 6,005,202	\$ 1,554,818	\$ (233,041)
Fixed Charges:					
Interest expense	10,847,346	8,041,412	2,187,586	—	—
Amortization of deferred financing fees	717,195	1,207,198	260,098	—	—
Estimated interest component of rent	<u>11,776</u>	<u>54,976</u>	<u>46,561</u>	<u>25,565</u>	<u>7,830</u>
Total fixed charges	11,576,317	9,303,586	2,494,245	25,565	7,830
Total preferred dividends	<u>4,093,750</u>	<u>2,186,890</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Total fixed charges and preferred dividends	15,670,067	11,490,476	2,494,245	25,565	7,830
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends	1.4	1.3	2.4	60.8	— (1)

(1) Note for the period February 14, 2003 through December 31, 2003 earnings, as defined, were insufficient to cover fixed charges by \$240,871.

SUBSIDIARIES OF GLADSTONE COMMERCIAL CORPORATION

2525 N Woodlawn Vstrm Wichita KS, LLC
260 Springside Drive, Akron OH LLC
3094174 Nova Scotia Company
3094175 Nova Scotia Company
ACI06 Champaign IL LLC
AC07 Lawrenceville GA LLC
AFL05 Duncan SC LLC
AFL05 Duncan SC Member LLC
APML07 Hialeah FL LLC
CDLCI07 Mason OH LLC
CI05 Clintonville WI LLC
CMI04 Canton NC LLC
CMS06-3 LLC
COCO04 Austin TX, L.P.
COCO04 Austin TX GP LLC
Corning Big Flats LLC
DBPI07 Bolingbrook IL LLC
Dorval Property Trust
EE, 208 South Rogers Lane, Raleigh NC LLC
EE07 Raleigh NC, L.P.
EE07 Raleigh NC GP LLC
EI07 Tewksbury MA LLC
First Park Ten COCO San Antonio, L.P.
First Park Ten COCO San Antonio GP LLC
FTCHI07 Grand Rapids MI LLC
GBI07 Syracuse NY LLC
GCC Acquisition Holdings LLC
GCC COCO, INC
GCC Dorval LLC
GCC Granby LLC
GCC Norfolk LLC
GCLP Business Trust I
GCLP Business Trust II
Gladstone Commercial Advisers, Inc.
Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership
Gladstone Commercial Partners LLC
Gladstone Lending LLC
Granby Property Trust
HMBF05 Newburyport MA LLC
Little Arch 04 Charlotte NC Member LLC
Little Arch Charlotte NC LLC
MPI06 Mason OH LLC
MSI05-3 LLC
NJT06 Sterling Heights MI LLC
NW05 Richmond VA LLC
OB Crenshaw GCC, L.P.
OB Crenshaw SPE GP LLC
OB Midway NC Gladstone Commercial LLC
Pocono PA GCC GP LLC
Pocono PA GCC, L.P.
PZ05 Maple Heights OH LLC
RC06 Menomonee Falls WI LLC
RCOG07 Georgia LLC
SJM06 Baytown TX GP LLC
SJM06 Baytown TX L.P.
SLEE Grand Prairie, L.P.
STI05 Franklin NJ LLC
SVMMC05 Toledo OH LLC
TCI06 Burnsville MN LLC
UC06 Roseville MN LLC
WMI05 Columbus OH LLC
WMI05 Hazelwood MO LLC
WPI07 Tulsa OK LLC
YorkTC05 Eatontown NJ LLC

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-128783) of Gladstone Commercial Corporation of our report dated February 27, 2008 relating to the financial statements, financial statement schedules and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 10-K.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
McLean, VA
February 27, 2008

CERTIFICATION
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, David Gladstone, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Gladstone Commercial Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2008

/s/ DAVID GLADSTONE

David Gladstone
Chief Executive Officer and
Chairman of the Board of Directors

CERTIFICATION
Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Harry Brill, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Gladstone Commercial Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and we have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2008

/s/ HARRY BRILL

Harry Brill
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned, the Chief Executive Officer of Gladstone Commercial Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 ("Form 10-K"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Dated: February 27, 2008

/s/ David Gladstone

David Gladstone
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

The undersigned, the Chief Financial Officer of Gladstone Commercial Corporation (the "Company"), hereby certifies on the date hereof, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 ("Form 10-K"), filed concurrently herewith by the Company, fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and that the information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Dated: February 27, 2008

/s/ Harry Brill

Harry Brill
Chief Financial Officer